

A review of neurobiological factors underlying the selective enhancement of memory at encoding, consolidation, and retrieval

Rebecca Crowley^{1,2}, Daniel Bendor³, Amir-Homayoun Javadi^{1,3,4 *}

¹ School of Psychology, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

² Department of Psychology, Royal Holloway, University of London, London, UK

³ Institute of Behavioural Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK

⁴ School of Rehabilitation, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

***Corresponding author:**

Amir-Homayoun Javadi
Address: School of Psychology,
Keynes College,
University of Kent,
CT2 7NP, Canterbury,
Kent, UK
Phone: +44 1227 827 770
E-Mail: a.h.javadi@gmail.com

Keywords: Memory, encoding, consolidation, retrieval, emotion, targeted memory reactivation, neural reinstatement, oscillatory reinstatement, adrenal stress hormones, electrical brain stimulation.

“Of some (experiences), no memory survives the instance of their passage. Of others, it is confined to a few moments, hours or days. Others, again... may be recalled as long as life endures. How can we explain these differences?” (William James, 1890)

Memory is conceptualised within the information processing theory of human cognition as a process whereby information is encoded from the environment, stored and consolidated within neural networks, and subsequently retrieved (Atkinson & Shiffrin, 1968; Baddeley, 2013). The capacity for memory has become increasingly adaptive for modern humans because this function underlies a variety of tasks spanning the recall of survival-related information to the development of language (Gathercole & Baddeley, 2014; Nairne, Thompson, & Pandeirada, 2007). However, it is well established that information is not equally well remembered because the memory system is also characterised by forgetting, whereby previously encoded information cannot be recalled (Wixted, 2004). The American psychologist, William James, acknowledged this discrepancy over 100 years ago with his question noted above. Since then, researchers have attempted to identify which factors determine whether a memory will be selectively enhanced in comparison to other memories (Buchanan & Adolphs, 2002; Javadi, Glen, Halkiopoulos, Schulz, & Spiers, 2017; LaBar & Cabeza, 2006; LeBlanc, McConnell, & Monteiro, 2015; McGaugh, 2018; Oudiette & Paller, 2013; Rasch & Born, 2013; Watrous & Ekstrom, 2014). This review will discuss how factors contribute to selective memory enhancement during each stage of processing: encoding, consolidation, and retrieval. Three main factors will be outlined (emotion, targeted memory reactivation, and neural reinstatement), and we will consider how these factors can be embedded intrinsically within the stimulus, or modulated extrinsically by the experimenter.

Encoding

In line with the perspective that memory is an adaptive cognitive function, it is predicted that recall will be superior for emotionally valenced information because positive and negative events are more related to survival and reproduction than neutral events (Adolphs & Damasio, 2000; McGaugh, 2000). Crucially, one of the most persistent findings in memory literature – the emotional enhancement of memory (EEM) effect – concerns the extent to which emotional

information is recalled quicker and more accurately than neutral information (Cahill & McGaugh, 1995; Ferré, Fraga, Comesaña, & Sánchez-Casas, 2015; Kensinger & Corkin, 2003; Pillemer, Rhinehart, & White, 1986; for reviews, see Buchanan & Adolphs, 2002; Hamann, 2001; LeBlanc et al., 2015). For example, Kensinger and Corkin (2003) asked participants to perform recognition tasks for neutral and negative words that had previously been encountered in a semantic judgement task. The recognition tasks required participants to indicate whether they vividly remembered previously encountered words (versus simply knowing that they were familiar) and to identify which colour the words had been presented in. Hence, the strength and contextual detail of memories were assessed. The results found that not only did all participants vividly remember more negative words than neutral words, but also 17/18 participants had greater source memory for negative compared to neutral words. Consequently, Kensinger and Corkin concluded that emotional stimuli incur quantitative and qualitative enhancements in memory such that negative information is remembered more robustly and with more detail than neutral information. Henceforth, these findings suggest that emotion is an inherent stimulus property determining the extent to which an individual's memory for that stimulus will be selectively enhanced.

Kensinger and Corkin (2003) proposed that the mechanism through which negative compared to neutral memories become selectively enhanced is related to heightened encoding of emotional information via autobiographical and semantic elaboration. Notably, autobiographical elaboration occurs when newly encoded information is associated with previously established autobiographical memories, whereas semantic elaboration refers to the association of newly encoded information to semantically related memories (Kensinger, 2004; Macrae, Moran, Heatherton, Banfield, & Kelley, 2004; Rogers, Kuiper, & Kirker, 1977). Although Kensinger and Corkin did not provide empirical evidence to support this proposal, it is plausible that negative words would be associated with autobiographical memories more easily than neutral words, because autobiographical memories refer to personal events and thus are inherently emotive (Schulkind & Woldorf, 2005). Similarly, semantic elaboration should be greater for negative compared to neutral words because emotional stimuli are often shown to be more semantically related than neutral stimuli (Talmi & Moscovitch, 2004; White, Kapucu, Bruno, Rotello, & Ratcliff, 2014). Crucially, previous research has demonstrated that elaborative encoding strategies are associated with increased activity in the prefrontal cortex and medial temporal lobe (Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Krendl, Macrae, Kelley, Fugelsang, & Heatherton, 2006; Savage et al., 2001;

Sharp, Scott, & Wise, 2004). Therefore, in order to provide more conclusive support for the suggestion that elaborative encoding underlies EEM, research should investigate whether increased remember responses for emotional information is associated with increased activity in these regions.

Dougal and Rotello (2007) noted that participants may have different response strategies for responding to emotional versus neutral words, and recognition accuracy cannot be appropriately compared between two conditions if the conditions differ with respect to response bias (Kroll, Yonelinas, Dobbins, & Frederick, 2002; Wixted, 2007). Consequently, Dougal and Rotello used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and modelling analyses to investigate differences in recognition accuracy for neutral and emotional words as a function of response bias. In this study, participants learned neutral, negative, and positive words before making old-new judgements on a 6-point confidence scale and indicating whether previously encountered words were explicitly remembered versus simply feeling familiar. The results found that although participants 'remembered' negative words more than positive or neutral words, a response bias was also present such that old judgements were most likely to be made for negative words regardless of whether they had actually been encountered previously. Crucially, modelling analyses revealed that this response bias was the primary cause for the finding that negative words received more remember responses than positive or neutral words. Consequently, perhaps it could be argued that the increased remember responses for negative compared to neutral words in Kensinger and Corkin's (2003) study was also caused by a response bias for negative stimuli rather than the selective enhancement of emotional memories per se (see also, Bowen, Spaniol, Patel, & Voss, 2016; Kapucu, Rotello, Ready, & Seidl, 2008). Therefore, memory advantages for emotional stimuli may be due to bias rather than increased salience, and this raises ambiguity towards the influence of emotion on memory performance.

Dimensional models of human emotion conceptualise emotion within a two-dimensional space of valence and arousal (Barrett & Russell, 1999; Citron, Gray, Critchley, Weekes, & Ferstl, 2014; Robinson, Storbeck, Meier, & Kirkeby, 2004). Crucially, evidence suggests that arousal, rather than emotion per se, is the inherent stimulus property causing EEM (Bradley, Greenwald, Petry, & Lang, 1992; Cahill et al., 1996; deVoogd, Fernández, & Hermans, 2016; Dolcos, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2004; Tambini, Rimmele, Phelps, & Davachi, 2016; for reviews, see LaBar & Cabeza, 2006; McGaugh, 2000). Dolcos et al. (2004) investigated this using the subsequent memory

paradigm whereby event-related potentials are used to identify patterns of brain activity, during stimulus encoding, associated with subsequent retrieval. Specifically, participants rated the pleasantness of low-arousal and high-arousal pictures that were positively or negatively valenced during fMRI, and subsequently performed a cued recall task. Behavioural findings revealed that regardless of emotional valence, recall was greater for high-arousal compared to low-arousal pictures. Further, fMRI analysis demonstrated that during encoding of subsequently recalled stimuli, activity in the basolateral amygdala, anterior hippocampus, and the entorhinal cortex was greater for high-arousal compared to low-arousal pictures, and these brain regions co-activated consistently more for high-arousal pictures. Hence, these results suggest that a particular dimension of emotion – arousal – is the inherent stimulus property causing selective memory enhancements by activating neural patterns associated with successful encoding (see also Kensinger & Corkin, 2004). Notably, these results are also in line with the modulation hypothesis of EEM, which assumes that emotional memories are selectively enhanced because the associated arousal facilitates consolidation via activation of the basolateral amygdala and its interactions with the medial temporal lobe (Cahill & McGaugh, 1998; McGaugh, 2004). Therefore, it seems that emotion contributes to selective memory enhancements during consolidation as well as encoding (see also, Dunsmoor, Murty, Davachi, & Phelps, 2015; for reviews, see Hermans, Battaglia, Atsak, de Voogd, Fernández, & Roozendaal, 2014; Roozendaal & Hermans, 2017).

Further, the modulation hypothesis of EEM suggests that the basolateral amygdala and medial temporal lobe are activated by arousal because arousal causes the release of adrenal stress hormones such as epinephrine and cortisol (Cahill & Alkire, 2003; Cahill, Prins, Weber, & McGaugh, 1994; Carr & Rickard, 2016; Maheu, Joobar, Beaulieu, & Lupien, 2004; for reviews, see LaLumiere, McGaugh, & McIntyre, 2017; McGaugh, 2000, 2004, 2018). Consequently, this theory predicts that if adrenal stress hormones are administered exogenously following an encoding phase, memory consolidation will be facilitated and thus recall will be enhanced. In a seminal paper, Cahill and Alkire (2003) investigated this by asking participants to freely recall neutral, pleasant, and unpleasant pictures one week after an initial encoding phase. Importantly, participants received an intravenous administration of either saline solution or epinephrine immediately after the encoding phase, and heart rate and electrodermal skin response were monitored throughout. The results found that recall of recency (final three) pictures did not differ between saline or epinephrine administration post-learning. However, recall of primacy (first

three) pictures was greater if participants were administered with 80ng/kg/min, 3 min of epinephrine compared to saline. Interestingly, it was also found that arousal, as indicated by increased heart rate and electrodermal skin response, was greater during the encoding of primacy compared to recency pictures. Consequently, Cahill and Alkire concluded that epinephrine activity, following post-learning administration, interacts with the arousal associated with a stimulus to facilitate consolidation of high-arousal memories specifically. Therefore, the modulation hypothesis seems correct in its assertion that selective memory enhancements occur for emotional information because high-arousal memory consolidation is facilitated by epinephrine. Moreover, these results indicate that the endogenous consolidation mechanism underlying EEM can be modulated exogenously via adrenal stress hormone administration.

To summarise, increasing the emotion of a stimulus results in an enhancement in memory strength. Despite potential problems with response bias, evidence suggests that emotional valence is an inherent stimulus property which attracts elaborative encoding strategies, and thus quantitative and qualitative memory enhancements result. Research has also demonstrated that arousal contributes to the selective enhancement of emotional memories by facilitating encoding (and consolidation) through activation of the basolateral amygdala and medial temporal lobe. Importantly, emotional arousal is a factor that can be manipulated exogenously, by administering adrenal stress hormones such as epinephrine, to facilitate endogenous mechanisms underlying memory processing. Henceforth, EEM is caused by factors that are generated intrinsically within stimuli and extrinsically through interventions, but ultimately these factors selectively enhance emotional memories by activating the same neural mechanisms.

=== Table 1 ===

Consolidation

The selective enhancement of memory can also be achieved using experimental interventions, which directly modulate neural mechanisms underlying memory consolidation. Notably, memory consolidation is dependent on the neural phenomenon of “replay”, whereby the neural pattern of activity representing an encoded behavioural episode (or stimulus) spontaneously reactivates. Repeated “replay” is thought to not only stabilize the initial memory trace but also

facilitate its redistribution into the neocortex for long-term storage (Diekelmann & Born, 2010; McClelland, McNaughton, & O'Reilly, 1995; O'Neill, Pleydell-Bouverie, Dupret, & Csicsvari, 2010). Consequently, targeted memory reactivation (TMR) has been developed as a technique to selectively enhance memories by experimentally biasing the content of neural reactivation. More specifically, using TMR, items are associated with contextual cues during encoding which are subsequently re-presented during sleep in order to reactivate the memory trace for those items (Belal et al., 2018; Bendor & Wilson, 2012; Cairney, Guttesen, Marj, & Staresina, 2018; Cousins, El-Deredy, Parkes, Hennies, & Lewis, 2016; Rasch, Büchel, Gais, & Born, 2007; Rothschild, Eban, & Frank, 2017; Smith & Weeden, 1990; for reviews, see Cellini & Capuozzo, 2018; Ólafsdóttir, Bush, & Barry, 2018; Oudiette & Paller, 2013; Rasch & Born, 2013, Spiers & Bendor 2014).

In one of the first investigations of TMR, Rasch et al. (2007) asked participants to perform a visuospatial card-pairing task and a finger-tapping task, in the presence of a rose-scented odour, before a retention interval consisting mostly of nocturnal sleep. Crucially, the same rose-scented odour or an odourless vehicle was presented in the retention interval during slow-wave sleep (SWS), rapid eye-movement (REM) sleep, or wakefulness. fMRI analysis revealed that hippocampal activity increased when the rose-scented odour was re-presented during SWS compared to wakefulness. Correspondingly, the behavioural data demonstrated that post-sleep performance on the card-pairing task was greater if the rose-scented odour, as opposed to the odourless vehicle, had been re-presented during SWS compared to REM sleep or wakefulness. In contrast, no such finding occurred for the finger-tapping task or if the rose-scented odour had not been presented during encoding. Consequently, Rasch et al. demonstrated that experimentally induced hippocampal reactivation facilitates the consolidation of hippocampus-dependent (declarative) memories but not hippocampus-independent (procedural) memories. Henceforth, there is evidence in support of the suggestion that the selective enhancement of memories can be modulated exogenously by experimentally influencing neural reactivation and thus memory consolidation of previously encoded stimuli.

Because the contextual cue (rose-scented odour) in the experiments by Rasch and colleagues, was not associated with specific stimuli (rather the entire learning phase), this alone does not fully demonstrate the ability to strengthen selective memories using TMR. However, Rudoy et al. (2009) used a variant of the spatial card-pair task, with the addition of auditory cues,

to provide unique auditory-visual-spatial associations. After presenting half of the auditory cues during a post-learning sleep session, decreased error rates in the cued stimuli were observed during post-sleep testing (compared to the non-cued stimuli), providing evidence for enhancement of specific memories using TMR. To examine the underlying mechanism responsible for TMR, Bendor and Wilson (2012) trained rodents to perform an auditory spatial association task, and recorded reactivation activity in the hippocampus during post-learning sleep. They observed that presenting a task-related auditory cue biased reactivations towards replaying the spatial trajectory previously associated with that auditory cue, revealing the underlying mechanism of TMR: cue-directed biasing of neural replay content towards the targeted memory.

Numerous studies have now explored which type of memories can benefit from TMR (Cellini & Capuozzo, 2018). For example, Cousins et al. (2016) asked participants to learn two serial reaction time (SRT) sequences that were simultaneously presented with high pitch or low pitch auditory tones, before a retention interval in which one auditory sequence was re-presented during SWS. Importantly, during post-sleep testing of the SRT sequences, reaction times were shown to have improved significantly more for the sequence that was acoustically cued during SWS compared to the uncued sequence. Moreover, fMRI analysis revealed that post-sleep performance of the cued SRT sequence, compared to the uncued sequence, elicited greater functional activity and connectivity in brain regions responsible for motor consolidation. Consequently, Cousins et al. provided behavioural and neural evidence demonstrating that after learning multiple SRT sequences, memory consolidation can be biased towards a sequence cued using TMR. Henceforth, the implications of these findings are two-fold. Firstly, it has been further demonstrated that contextual cues can be associated with specific stimuli in order for individual memories to be selectively reactivated and thus enhanced using TMR. Secondly, TMR was demonstrated to selectively enhance another form of memory – procedural memories, in contrast to the negative finding by Rasch et al. (2007).

Next, Tamminen, Ralph, and Lewis (2017) investigated whether TMR can facilitate qualitative memory enhancements, such as the integration of novel words into an existing mental lexicon (lexical integration). In this study, participants learned novel words (e.g., cathedruke), which were derived from phonologically similar real words (e.g., cathedral), before a 90-minute retention interval containing sleep or wakefulness. Importantly, participants in the sleep condition were acoustically re-presented with half of the learned novel words during SWS. All participants

completed test sessions immediately after learning and the retention interval, which assessed recall and recognition of the novel words as well as the speed of lexical decision judgments for phonologically similar real words. This lexical decision task measured lexical integration since reaction times to familiar words increase when there is competition from phonologically similar words in the mental lexicon (Dumay & Gaskell, 2007). Interestingly, Tamminen et al. found that the extent of lexical integration for cued, learned novel words correlated positively with the duration of REM sleep, whereas no such finding occurred for uncued words. Consequently, it was concluded that TMR has a qualitative memory enhancement effect, and this effect is dependent on REM sleep because words that are reactivated (and thus destabilised) during SWS are automatically ‘tagged’ for subsequent reconsolidation and integration during REM sleep. This interpretation is in line with the sequential hypothesis of sleep-dependent memory consolidation which assumes that memory consolidation involves the cyclic succession of destabilised memories in SWS being reconsolidated during REM sleep (Ambrosini & Giuditta, 2001; Diekelmann & Born, 2010; Giuditta et al., 1995). Crucially, an important caveat to this interpretation of Tamminen et al.’s findings, however, is that TMR is often shown to induce selective memory enhancements in the absence of REM sleep effects (Cairney, Durrant, Hulleman, & Lewis, 2014; Lehmann, Schreiner, Seifritz, & Rasch, 2016; Rasch et al. 2007; see also Tucker, Hirota, Wamsley, Lau, Chaklader, & Fishbein, 2006).

In addition to procedural and semantic memory, TMR has also been studied in relation to emotional memory. He et al. (2015) investigated whether TMR can facilitate memory extinction by re-presenting a conditioned stimulus (CS) in the absence of an unconditioned stimulus (US) during SWS. Firstly, participants performed a fear-conditioning paradigm whereby a mild electric shock (US) was associated with an auditory tone (CS) to elicit fear (conditioned response; CR) as indicated by electrodermal skin response. The same auditory tone (CS), a different auditory tone, or no auditory tone was then re-presented during SWS in a four-hour retention interval containing nocturnal sleep. Following this, electrodermal skin responses to the CS were reassessed, and it was found that responses decreased significantly more post-sleep if the same auditory tone had been represented during SWS compared to a different auditory tone or no auditory tone. These results suggest that TMR may work differently with emotional memories, selectively weakening rather than strengthening them, possibly a consequence of fear conditioning and extinction relying on different neural pathways (Tovote, Fadok, & Lüthi, 2015). These findings have practical

implications for clinical settings in which TMR could be used to modify fearful memories in psychological disorders such as anxiety and PTSD (see also Simon, Gómez, & Nadel, 2018). In fact, since individuals are asleep during TMR, they are unaware of CS re-exposure, and thus this technique might be preferable to traditional exposure therapies during wakefulness whereby anxiety symptoms can be worsened (Meuret, Siedel, Rosenfield, Hofmann, & Rosenfield, 2012).

To summarise, the consolidation and resulting selective enhancement of memory can be exogenously modulated by experimentally inducing neural reactivation using TMR. Although the neural mechanism (biased replay) governing TMR was observed in the hippocampus (Bendor & Wilson, 2012), this technique is still effective in enhancing a wide range of memory types, which are not exclusively hippocampally dependent.

=== Table 2 ===

Retrieval

Whilst evidence suggests that emotion and TMR contribute to quantitative and qualitative selective memory enhancements by facilitating encoding and consolidation processes, there is also a factor – neural reinstatement – which enhances the last stage of the memory process: retrieval. Neural reinstatement is related to the encoding specificity principle of context-dependent memory whereby memory performance is found to be optimal when conditions that were present during stimulus encoding are also present during retrieval (Tulving & Thomson, 1973). More specifically, neural reinstatement is the assumption that neural activity associated with stimulus encoding should reoccur during retrieval in order for memory recall to be facilitated (Marr, 1971; McClelland et al., 1995; Norman and O'Reilly, 2003; Teyler & Rudy, 2007). Notably, neural reinstatement depends on the hippocampus because CA3 pyramidal cells have extensive synaptic connections which enable previous patterns of neural activity to be easily reinstated during retrieval (Marr, 1971; Norman & O'Reilly, 2003; Rolls, 2016).

To investigate the role of neural reinstatement in selective memory enhancement, initial studies used a procedure in which fMRI data is analysed, using multivoxel pattern analysis, to assess memory performance as a function of the similarity between neural activity during encoding and retrieval (Kuhl, Rissman, & Wagner, 2012; Johnson, McDuff, Rugg, & Norman, 2009;

Johnson & Rugg, 2007; Polyn, Natu, Cohen, & Norman, 2005; Staresina, Henson, Kriegeskorte, & Alink, 2012). Using this paradigm, Staresina et al. (2012) asked participants to learn a series of words associated with specific scenes, and to subsequently perform a cued recognition task in which they indicated whether each word had previously been encountered and recalled its corresponding scene. The results found that not only was the specific neural activity pattern associated with stimulus encoding reinstated in the parahippocampal cortex (PHC) during retrieval, but also the extent of neural reinstatement was greater when participants successfully, compared to unsuccessfully, recalled the corresponding scene for a word. Moreover, Staresina et al. corroborated the role of the hippocampus in neural reinstatement because there was a positive correlation between the magnitude of hippocampal activity during retrieval and the degree of PHC neural reinstatement. Consequently, it seems that memory strength is directly related to the extent to which hippocampal-mediated neural reinstatement occurs during retrieval. Henceforth, this evidence demonstrates that neural reinstatement is a factor which selectively enhances memories by facilitating memory retrieval. An important point, however, is that improved recall via neural reinstatement does not necessarily indicate a stronger memory trace in the same way that emotion and TMR selectively enhance memories, but rather this form of enhancement could alternatively be explained solely by a stronger recall mechanism.

Although Staresina et al.'s (2012) findings support the assumption that the hippocampus is implicated in neural reinstatement; the temporal resolution of fMRI is limited because hemodynamic responses are used to assess neural activity (Huettel, Song, & McCarthy, 2004). Consequently, more recent studies have employed neuroimaging techniques with better temporal resolution to determine which specific oscillatory mechanisms underlie the role of the hippocampus in neural reinstatement (Jafarpour, Fuentemilla, Horner, Penny, & Duzel, 2014; Kerren, Linde-Domingo, Hanslmayr, & Wimber, 2018; Lohnas, Duncan, Doyle, Thesen, Devinsky, & Davachi, 2018; Parish, Hanslmayr, & Bowman, 2018; Sederberg et al., 2007; Staresina et al., 2016; Wimber, Maaß, Staudigl, Richardson-Klavehn, & Hanslmayr, 2012; Yaffe et al., 2014). For example, Staresina et al. (2016) recorded intracranial EEG (iEEG) activity in the hippocampus of pre-surgical epilepsy patients whilst they performed a cued recognition task for word-scene pairs (based on Staresina et al., 2012). Notably, time-frequency analyses were used to compare frequency-specific oscillatory activity during encoding and retrieval. The results furthered Staresina et al.'s (2012) findings by demonstrating that when participants successfully

recalled the corresponding scene for a word, greater hippocampal neural reinstatement was elicited during periods of high gamma activity (~50-90Hz) and low alpha activity (~8-12Hz). In contrast, no such finding occurred when the corresponding scene was not recalled. Crucially, increased gamma activity has previously been implicated in synchronising CA3 pyramidal cell firing rates, whereas decreased alpha activity reflects an increase in available mnemonic information during retrieval (Bartos, Vida, & Jonas, 2007; Hanslmayr, Staresina, & Bowman, 2016). Thus, these results suggest that synchronising CA3 activity plays an important role in neural reinstatement and the resulting selective memory enhancement.

Having said this, there are neurobiological memory models, such as the spectro-contextual encoding and retrieval theory (SCERT), which argue that oscillatory activity in any frequency band, rather than specifically gamma band activity, can underlie the selective enhancement of memories (Canavier, 2015; Hanslmayr & Staudigl, 2014; Siegel, Donner, & Engel, 2012; Sutterer, Foster, Serences, Vogel, & Awh, 2018; Watrous & Ekstrom, 2014; Watrous, Fell, Ekstrom, & Axmacher, 2015). Specifically, SCERT emphasises that oscillatory activity occurs at different frequencies between different encoding events, and it is the reinstatement of this frequency-specific oscillatory activity during retrieval which underlies neural reinstatement (hereinafter referred to as oscillatory reinstatement) and thus selective memory enhancement. Frequency-specific oscillatory activity is assumed to underlie neural reinstatement because such activity coordinates neural mechanisms (phase synchronisation and cross-frequency coupling) related to neural communication and plasticity (for reviews, see Canolty & Knight, 2010; Fell & Axmacher, 2011; Fries, 2005; Jutras & Buffalo, 2010; see also Womelsdorf et al., 2007). Hence, SCERT assumes that selective memory enhancement is not elicited by the occurrence of specific oscillatory activity per se, but rather selective memory enhancement is elicited when the frequency of oscillatory activity during retrieval is congruent with that which occurred during encoding. This is known as the oscillatory reinstatement hypothesis (Javadi et al., 2017).

In attempt to provide the first causal evidence for the oscillatory reinstatement hypothesis, Javadi et al. (2017) used transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) to experimentally induce implicit neural contexts during encoding and retrieval. Notably, tACS is a non-invasive electrical brain stimulation technique which has the capacity for neuronal entrainment whereby neural oscillations synchronise to the specific frequency of stimulation (Antal & Paulus, 2013; Helfrich et al., 2014). In Javadi et al.'s study, participants performed a word recognition task, and

tACS was administered to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) at either the same or different gamma frequency during encoding and retrieval. Compared to a sham stimulation condition, memory accuracy was greater when participants received the same frequency of stimulation during encoding and retrieval (60Hz & 60Hz or 90Hz & 90Hz). In contrast, no memory enhancement occurred between sham and active stimulation conditions if participants received different stimulation frequencies during encoding and retrieval (60Hz & 90Hz or 90Hz & 60Hz). Consequently, these results demonstrate that memory retrieval is not enhanced in the presence of gamma activity per se, but rather retrieval is enhanced when there is congruency between the frequency of oscillatory activity that occurred during encoding and retrieval (see also Crowley & Javadi, in submission). Moreover, these results demonstrate that, similarly to emotion and TMR, the effect of oscillatory reinstatement on selective memory enhancement can be modulated exogenously using electrical brain stimulation.

Despite this, it seems that the congruency of frequency-specific oscillatory activity during encoding and retrieval does not always lead to the selective enhancement of memories. The reason being that evidence indicates that oscillatory reinstatement effects may be dependent on congruency between other contextual features during encoding and retrieval (Staudigl & Hanslmayr, 2018). In this study, participants learned a series of words presented visually or acoustically, and performed a subsequent recognition memory task in which cue words were also presented visually or acoustically. The behavioural data demonstrated that when words were initially presented acoustically, recognition memory performance was greater if the cue words were also presented acoustically (match condition) compared to visually (mismatch condition). Interestingly, time-frequency analysis of magnetoencephalography recordings revealed that although reinstatement of theta (6-8 Hz) activity occurred in both match and mismatch conditions, the extent of oscillatory reinstatement was greater for remembered words in the match condition, whereas it was greater for forgotten words in the mismatch condition. Therefore, these results suggest that oscillatory reinstatement enhanced memory retrieval when sensory modalities were congruent between encoding and retrieval, whereas memory retrieval was impaired by oscillatory reinstatement when sensory modalities were incongruent. Henceforth, it seems that the selective enhancement of memories by oscillatory reinstatement may be limited to conditions in which there is also congruency between other contextual features between encoding and retrieval.

To summarise, neural, or oscillatory, reinstatement is a factor selectively enhancing memory retrieval. Whilst early research implicated CA3 pyramidal cells as having a functional role in this enhancement process, later research has indicated that oscillatory mechanisms are also fundamental. Moreover, evidence highlights that the extent of the role of oscillatory mechanisms in this process is related to the extent to which there is congruency between frequency-specific oscillatory activity during encoding and retrieval, rather than the mere presence of oscillatory activity. Although the effect of oscillatory reinstatement on selective memory enhancement is undermined by findings that retrieval is impaired given certain contextual conditions, the evidence overall implicates oscillatory reinstatement as another factor that is produced endogenously as well as exogenously, and which selectively enhances memory processing.

=== Table 3 ===

Future Directions

Since it has been shown that the utility of oscillatory reinstatement for selectively enhancing memories may be dependent on congruency between other contextual features, future research should determine whether there are conditions under which the other hitherto mentioned factors are unable to exert selective memory enhancements. For example, adrenal stress hormone administration has a dose-dependent inverted-U effect on emotional memory consolidation such that memory is impaired at high doses (Roosendaal, 2000). Importantly, the endogenous release of adrenal stress hormones fluctuates according to circadian rhythms (Leliavski, Dumbell, Ott, & Oster, 2014). Therefore, perhaps post-learning administration of adrenal stress hormones would impair memory performance during periods of the day when endogenous levels are already high, such as the morning. Similarly, auditory stimuli are commonplace phenomena that are associated with multiple contexts (Heittola, Mesaros, Eronen, & Virtanen, 2013). Therefore, perhaps auditory cues are a less effective tool for selectively enhancing specific memories using TMR than other contextual cues.

Additionally, future research should ask; which mechanisms determine whether emotional memories are selectively weakened versus enhanced by TMR? Do neural and oscillatory reinstatement enhance memory strength or simply the ability to retrieve a memory? Do these

factors have the same selective memory enhancement effects for patient groups and healthy populations? Can free recall, cued recall, and recognition be selectively enhanced by the same mechanisms? And, can these mechanisms be combined for a greater effect or will this lead to a reduction in efficacy?

Conclusion

To conclude, although there is mixed evidence regarding the role of each factor, this review has demonstrated ample theoretical and empirical evidence to suggest that each stage of memory processing is selectively enhanced by factors that are not only inherent within stimuli, but also those that constitute experimentally induced interventions. Firstly, emotion, or specifically arousal, is an inherent stimulus factor which selectively enhances memories quantitatively as well as qualitatively by facilitating encoding and consolidation, and this mechanism can be modulated exogenously via adrenal stress hormone administration. Targeted memory reactivation (TMR) is an experimentally induced intervention that selectively enhances memory consolidation by biasing the endogenous mechanism of neural replay. Finally, neural, or oscillatory, reinstatement is another factor produced endogenously, but can be modulated exogenously, which contributes to selective memory enhancement by facilitating the retrieval process. Therefore, in answer to William James' question, the selective enhancement of memories can be explained by the effects of both stimulus-inherent and experimentally induced factors at each stage of memory processing. Crucially, future research must examine the scope of these factors, whether their effects are constrained by other conditions, and whether these factors can be combined and used to enhance memory in educational and occupational settings that rely on optimal memory performance.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Ellie Tozer for preparing the summary table. Daniel Bendor is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) Starting Grant (CHIME).

References

- Adolphs, R., & Damasio, A. R. (2000). Neurobiology of emotion at a systems level. In J. C. Borod (Ed.), *Series in affective science: The neuropsychology of emotion* (pp. 194-213). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ambrosini, M. V., & Giuditta, A. (2001). Learning and sleep: The sequential hypothesis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 5(6), 477-490. doi: 10.1053/smr.2001.0180.
- Antal, A., & Paulus, W. (2013). Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS). *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7(317), 1-4. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00317.
- Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, 2, 89-195. doi: 10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60422-3.
- Baddeley, A. (2013). *Essentials of human memory (Classic edition)*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Barrett, L. F., & Russell, J. A. (1999). The structure of current affect: Controversies and emerging consensus. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 8(1), 10-14. doi: 10.1111/1467-8721.00003.
- Bartos, M., Vida, I., & Jonas, P. (2007). Synaptic mechanisms of synchronized gamma oscillations in inhibitory interneuron networks. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8(1), 45-56. doi: 10.1038/nrn2044.
- Belal, S., Cousins, J., El-Deredy, W., Parkes, L., Schneider, J., Tsujimura, H., Zoumpoulaki, A., Perapoch, A., Santamaria, L., & Lewis, P. (2018). Identification of memory reactivation during sleep by EEG classification. *NeuroImage*, 176, 203-214. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.04.029.
- Bendor, D., & Wilson, M. A. (2012). Biasing the content of hippocampal replay during sleep. *Nature Neuroscience* 15(10), 1439-1444. doi: 10.1038/nn.3203.
- Bowen, H. J., Spaniol, J., Patel, R., & Voss, A. (2016). A diffusion model analysis of decision biases affecting delayed recognition of emotional stimuli. *PLoS One*, 11(1), 1-20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146769.
- Bradley, M. M., Greenwald, M. K., Petry, M. C., & Lang, P. J. (1992). Remembering pictures: Pleasure and arousal in memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 18(2), 379-390. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/xlm/>.

- Buchanan, T. W., & Adolphs, R. (2002). The role of the human amygdala in emotional modulation of long-term declarative memory. In S. Moore & M. Oaksford (Eds.), *Emotional cognition: From brain to behaviour* (pp. 9-34). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Cahill, L., & Alkire, M. T. (2003). Epinephrine enhancement of human memory consolidation: Interaction with arousal at encoding. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 79(2), 194-198. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7427(02)00036-9.
- Cahill, L., Haier, R. J., Fallon, J., Alkire, M. T., Tang, C., Keator, D., Wu, J., & McGaugh, J. L. (1996). Amygdala activity at encoding correlated with long-term, free recall of emotional information. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(15), 8016-8021. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.15.8016.
- Cahill, L., & McGaugh, J. L. (1995). A novel demonstration of enhanced memory associated with emotional arousal. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 4(4), 410-421. doi: 10.1006/ccog.1995.1048.
- Cahill, L., & McGaugh, J. L. (1998). Mechanisms of emotional arousal and lasting declarative memory. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 21(7), 294-299. doi: 10.1016/S0166-2236(97)01214-9.
- Cahill, L., Prins, B., Weber, M., & McGaugh, J. L. (1994). β -Adrenergic activation and memory for emotional events. *Nature*, 371(6499), 702-704. doi: 10.1038/371702a0.
- Cairney, S. A., Durrant, S. J., Hulleman, J., & Lewis, P. A. (2014). Targeted memory reactivation during slow wave sleep facilitates emotional memory consolidation. *Sleep*, 37(4), 701-707. doi: 10.5665/sleep.3572.
- Cairney, S. A., Guttesen, A., El Marj, N., & Staresina, B. P. (2018). Memory consolidation is linked to spindle-mediated information processing during sleep. *Current Biology*, 28(6), 948-954. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.087.
- Canavier, C. C. (2015). Phase-resetting as a tool of information transmission. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 31, 206-213. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.12.003.
- Canolty, R. T., & Knight, R. T. (2010). The functional role of cross-frequency coupling. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(11), 506-515. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.001.
- Carr, S. M., & Rickard, N. S. (2016). The use of emotionally arousing music to enhance memory for subsequently presented images. *Psychology of Music*, 44(5), 1145-1157. doi: 10.1177/0305735615613846.

- Cellini, N., & Capuozzo, A. (2018). Shaping memory consolidation via targeted memory reactivation during sleep. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1–20. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13855.
- Citron, F. M., Gray, M. A., Critchley, H. D., Weekes, B. S., & Ferstl, E. C. (2014). Emotional valence and arousal affect reading in an interactive way: Neuroimaging evidence for an approach-withdrawal framework. *Neuropsychologia*, 56, 79-89. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.01.002.
- Cousins, J. N., El-Deredy, W., Parkes, L. M., Hennies, N., & Lewis, P. A. (2016). Cued reactivation of motor learning during sleep leads to overnight changes in functional brain activity and connectivity. *PLoS Biology*, 14(5), 1-21. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002451.
- Crowley, R., Javadi, A.-H. (in submission). The modulatory effect of oscillatory reinstatement during slow-wave sleep on declarative memory consolidation.
- Diekelmann, S., & Born, J. (2010). The memory function of sleep. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 114-126. doi: 10.1038/nrn2762.
- Dolcos, F., LaBar, K. S., & Cabeza, R. (2004). Dissociable effects of arousal and valence on prefrontal activity indexing emotional evaluation and subsequent memory: An event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage*, 23(1), 64-74. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.05.015.
- Dougal, S., & Rotello, C. M. (2007). “Remembering” emotional words is based on response bias, not recollection. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(3), 423-429. doi: 10.3758/BF03194083.
- Dumay, N., & Gaskell, M. G. (2007). Sleep-associated changes in the mental representation of spoken words. *Psychological Science*, 18(1), 35-39. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01845.x.
- Dunsmoor, J., Murty, V., Davachi, L., & Phelps, E. (2015). Emotional learning selectively and retroactively strengthens memories for related events. *Nature*, 520(7547), 345-348. doi: 10.1038/nature14106.
- Fell, J., & Axmacher, N. (2011). The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(2), 105-118. doi: 10.1038/nrn2979.
- Ferré, P., Fraga, I., Comesaña, M., & Sánchez-Casas, R. (2015). Memory for emotional words: The role of semantic relatedness, encoding task and affective valence. *Cognition & Emotion*, 29(8), 1401-1410. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2014.982515.

- Fries, P. (2005). A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: Neuronal communication through neuronal coherence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(10), 474-480. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.011.
- Gathercole, S. E., & Baddeley, A. D. (2014). *Working memory and language*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Giuditta, A., Ambrosini, M. V., Montagnese, P., Mandile, P., Cotugno, M., Zucconi, G. G., & Vescia, S. (1995). The sequential hypothesis of the function of sleep. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 69(1-2), 157-166. doi: 10.1016/0166-4328(95)00012-I.
- Hamann, S. (2001). Cognitive and neural mechanisms of emotional memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 5(9), 394-400. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01707-1.
- Hanslmayr, S., & Staudigl, T. (2014). How brain oscillations form memories - A processing based perspective on oscillatory subsequent memory effects. *Neuroimage*, 85, 648-655. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.121.
- Hanslmayr, S., Staresina, B. P., & Bowman, H. (2016). Oscillations and episodic memory: Addressing the synchronization/desynchronization conundrum. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 39(1), 16-25. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2015.11.004.
- He, J., Sun, H. Q., Li, S. X., Zhang, W. H., Shi, J., Ai, S. Z., Li, Y., Li, X. J., Tang, X. D., & Lu, L. (2015). Effect of conditioned stimulus exposure during slow wave sleep on fear memory extinction in humans. *Sleep*, 38(3), 423-431. doi: 10.5665/sleep.4502.
- Heittola, T., Mesaros, A., Eronen, A., & Virtanen, T. (2013). Context-dependent sound event detection. *EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing*, 1, 1-13. doi: 10.1186/1687-4722-2013-1.
- Helfrich, R. F., Schneider, T. R., Rach, S., Trautmann-Lengsfeld, S. A., Engel, A. K., & Herrmann, C. S. (2014). Entrainment of brain oscillations by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Current Biology*, 24(3), 333-339. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.12.041.
- Hermans, E. J., Battaglia, F. P., Atsak, P., de Voogd, L. D., Fernández, G., & Roozendaal, B. (2014). How the amygdala affects emotional memory by altering brain network properties. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 112, 2-16. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2014.02.005.
- Huettel, S. A., Song, A. W., & McCarthy, G. (2004). *Functional magnetic resonance imaging*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

- Jafarpour, A., Fuentemilla, L., Horner, A. J., Penny, W., & Duzel, E. (2014). Replay of very early encoding representations during recollection. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 34(1), 242-248. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1865-13.2014.
- James W. 1890. *Principles of Psychology*. New York: Henry Holt.
- Javadi, A. H., Glen, J. C., Halkiopoulos, S., Schulz, M., & Spiers, H. J. (2017). Oscillatory reinstatement enhances declarative memory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 37(41), 9939-9944. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0265-17.2017.
- Johnson, J. D., McDuff, S. G., Rugg, M. D., & Norman, K. A. (2009). Recollection, familiarity, and cortical reinstatement: A multivoxel pattern analysis. *Neuron*, 63(5), 697-708. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.08.011.
- Johnson, J. D., & Rugg, M. D. (2007). Recollection and the reinstatement of encoding-related cortical activity. *Cerebral Cortex*, 17(11), 2507-2515. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhl156.
- Jutras, M. J., & Buffalo, E. A. (2010). Synchronous neural activity and memory formation. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 20(2), 150-155. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2010.02.006.
- Kapucu, A., Rotello, C. M., Ready, R. E., & Seidl, K. N. (2008). Response bias in "remembering" emotional stimuli: A new perspective on age differences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 34(3), 703-711. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.34.3.703.
- Kensinger, E. A. (2004). Remembering emotional experiences: The contribution of valence and arousal. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 15(4), 241-252. doi: 10.1515/REVNEURO.2004.15.4.241.
- Kensinger, E. A., & Corkin, S. (2003). Memory enhancement for emotional words: Are emotional words more vividly remembered than neutral words? *Memory & Cognition*, 31(8), 1169-1180. doi: 10.3758/BF03195800.
- Kensinger, E. A., & Corkin, S. (2004). Two routes to emotional memory: Distinct neural processes for valence and arousal. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(9), 3310-3315. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0306408101.
- Kerren, C., Linde-Domingo, J., Hanslmayr, S., & Wimber, M. (2018). An optimal oscillatory phase for pattern reactivation during memory retrieval. *Current Biology*, 1-34. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3188413.

- Krendl, A. C., Macrae, C. N., Kelley, W. M., Fugelsang, J. A., & Heatherton, T. F. (2006). The good, the bad, and the ugly: An fMRI investigation of the functional anatomic correlates of stigma. *Social Neuroscience*, 1(1), 5-15. doi: 10.1080/17470910600670579.
- Kroll, N. E., Yonelinas, A. P., Dobbins, I. G., & Frederick, C. M. (2002). Separating sensitivity from response bias: Implications of comparisons of yes-no and forced-choice tests for models and measures of recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 131(2), 241-254. doi: 10.1037//0096-3445.131.2.241.
- Kuhl, B. A., Rissman, J., & Wagner, A. D. (2012). Multi-voxel patterns of visual category representation during episodic encoding are predictive of subsequent memory. *Neuropsychologia*, 50(4), 458-469. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.09.002.
- LaBar, K. S., & Cabeza, R. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience of emotional memory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7(1), 54-64. doi: 10.1038/nrn1825.
- LaLumiere, R. T., McGaugh, J. L., & McIntyre, C. K. (2017). Emotional modulation of learning and memory: Pharmacological implications. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 69(3), 236-255. doi: 10.1124/pr.116.013474.
- LeBlanc, V. R., McConnell, M. M., & Monteiro, S. D. (2015). Predictable chaos: A review of the effects of emotions on attention, memory and decision making. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 20(1), 265-282. doi: 10.1007/s10459-014-9516-6.
- Lehmann, M., Schreiner, T., Seifritz, E., & Rasch, B. (2016). Emotional arousal modulates oscillatory correlates of targeted memory reactivation during NREM, but not REM sleep. *Scientific Reports*, 6(39229). doi: 10.1038/srep39229.
- Leliavski, A., Dumbell, R., Ott, V., & Oster, H. (2015). Adrenal clocks and the role of adrenal hormones in the regulation of circadian physiology. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, 30(1), 20-34. doi: 10.1177/0748730414553971.
- Lohnas, L. J., Duncan, K., Doyle, W. K., Thesen, T., Devinsky, O., & Davachi, L. (2018). Time-resolved neural reinstatement and pattern separation during memory decisions in human hippocampus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(31), E7418-E7427. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1717088115.

- Macrae, C. N., Moran, J. M., Heatherton, T. F., Banfield, J. F., & Kelley, W. M. (2004). Medial prefrontal activity predicts memory for self. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14(6), 647-654. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhh025.
- Maheu, F. S., Joobar, R., Beaulieu, S., & Lupien, S. J. (2004). Differential effects of adrenergic and corticosteroid hormonal systems on human short-and long-term declarative memory for emotionally arousing material. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 118(2), 420-428. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.118.2.420.
- Marr, D. (1971). Simple memory: A theory for archicortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 262(841), 23-81. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1971.0078.
- McClelland, J. L., McNaughton, B. L., & O'Reilly, R. C. (1995). Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychological Review*, 102(3), 419-457. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/rev/>.
- McGaugh, J. L. (2000). Memory -- A century of consolidation. *Science*, 287(5451), 248-251. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5451.248.
- McGaugh, J. L. (2004). The amygdala modulates the consolidation of memories of emotionally arousing experiences. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 27, 1-28. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144157.
- McGaugh, J. L. (2018). Emotional arousal regulation of memory consolidation. *Behavioral Sciences*, 19, 55-60. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.10.003.
- Meuret, A. E., Seidel, A., Rosenfield, B., Hofmann, S. G., & Rosenfield, D. (2012). Does fear reactivity during exposure predict panic symptom reduction?. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 80(5), 773-785. doi: 10.1037/a0028032.
- Nairne, J. S., Thompson, S. R., & Pandeirada, J. N. (2007). Adaptive memory: Survival processing enhances retention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 33(2), 263-271. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.33.2.263.
- Norman, K. A., & O'Reilly, R. C. (2003). Modeling hippocampal and neocortical contributions to recognition memory: A complementary-learning-systems approach. *Psychological Review*, 110(4), 611-646. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.110.4.611.
- Ólafsdóttir, H. F., Bush, D., & Barry, C. (2018). The role of hippocampal replay in memory and planning. *Current Biology*, 28(1), R37-R50. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.073.

- O'Neill, J., Pleydell-Bouverie, B., Dupret, D., & Csicsvari, J. (2010). Play it again: Reactivation of waking experience and memory. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 33(5), 220-229. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2010.01.006.
- Oudiette, D., & Paller, K. A. (2013). Upgrading the sleeping brain with targeted memory reactivation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(3), 142-149. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2013.01.006.
- Parish, G., Hanslmayr, S., & Bowman, H. (2018). The Sync/deSync model: How a synchronized hippocampus and a de-synchronized neocortex code memories. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 2561(17), 1-33. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2561-17.2018.
- Pillemer, D. B., Rhinehart, E. D., & White, S. H. (1986). Memories of life transitions: The first year in college. *Human Learning: Journal of Practical Research & Applications*, 5(2), 109-123.
- Polyn, S. M., Natu, V. S., Cohen, J. D., & Norman, K. A. (2005). Category-specific cortical activity precedes retrieval during memory search. *Science*, 310(5756), 1963-1966. doi: 10.1126/science.1117645.
- Rasch, B., & Born, J. (2013). About sleep's role in memory. *Physiological Reviews*, 93(2), 681-766. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00032.2012.
- Rasch, B., Büchel, C., Gais, S., & Born, J. (2007). Odor cues during slow-wave sleep prompt declarative memory consolidation. *Science*, 315(5817), 1426-1429. doi: 10.1126/science.113858.
- Robinson, M. D., Storbeck, J., Meier, B. P., & Kirkeby, B. S. (2004). Watch out! That could be dangerous: Valence-arousal interactions in evaluative processing. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30(11), 1472-1484. doi: 10.1177/0146167204266647.
- Rogers, T. B., Kuiper, N. A., & Kirker, W. S. (1977). Self-reference and the encoding of personal information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35(9), 677-688. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/psp/>.
- Rolls, E. T. (2016). Pattern separation, completion, and categorisation in the hippocampus and neocortex. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 129, 4-28. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2015.07.008.
- Roozendaal, B. (2000). Glucocorticoids and the regulation of memory consolidation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 25(3), 213-238. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4530(99)00058-X.

- Roozendaal, B., & Hermans, E. J. (2017). Norepinephrine effects on the encoding and consolidation of emotional memory: Improving synergy between animal and human studies. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 14, 115-122. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.02.001.
- Rothschild, G., Eban, E., & Frank, L. M. (2017). A cortical–hippocampal–cortical loop of information processing during memory consolidation. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20(2), 251-259. doi: 10.1038/nn.4457.
- Rudoy, J.D., Voss, J.L., Westerberg, C.E., & Paller, K.A. (2009). Strengthening individual memories by reactivating them during sleep. *Science*, 326, 1079. doi: 10.1126/science.1179013.
- Savage, C. R., Deckersbach, T., Heckers, S., Wagner, A. D., Schacter, D. L., Alpert, N. M., Fischman, A. J., & Rauch, S. L. (2001). Prefrontal regions supporting spontaneous and directed application of verbal learning strategies: Evidence from PET. *Brain*, 124(1), 219-231. doi: 10.1093/brain/124.1.219.
- Schulkind, M. D., & Woldorf, G. M. (2005). Emotional organization of autobiographical memory. *Memory & Cognition*, 33(6), 1025-1035. doi: 10.3758/BF03193210.
- Sederberg, P. B., Schulze-Bonhage, A., Madsen, J. R., Bromfield, E. B., Litt, B., Brandt, A., & Kahana, M. J. (2007). Gamma oscillations distinguish true from false memories. *Psychological Science*, 18(11), 927-932. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.02003.x.
- Sharp, D. J., Scott, S. K., & Wise, R. J. (2004). Monitoring and the controlled processing of meaning: Distinct prefrontal systems. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14(1), 1-10. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhg086.
- Siegel, M., Donner, T. H., & Engel, A. K. (2012). Spectral fingerprints of large-scale neuronal interactions. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 13(2), 121-134. doi: 10.1038/nrn3137.
- Simon, K. C., Gómez, R. L., & Nadel, L. (2018). Losing memories during sleep after targeted memory reactivation. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 151, 10-17. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2018.03.003.
- Smith, C., & Weeden, K. (1990). Post training REMs coincident auditory stimulation enhances memory in humans. *Psychiatric Journal of the University of Ottawa*, 15(2), 85-90. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/journals/psychiatr-j-univ-ott/>.

- Spiers, H. J., & Bendor, D. (2014). Enhance, delete, incept: Manipulating hippocampus-dependent memories. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 105, 2-7. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2013.12.011.
- Staresina, B. P., Henson, R. N., Kriegeskorte, N., & Alink, A. (2012). Episodic reinstatement in the medial temporal lobe. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(50), 18150-18156. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4156-12.2012.
- Staresina, B. P., Michelmann, S., Bonnefond, M., Jensen, O., Axmacher, N., & Fell, J. (2016). Hippocampal pattern completion is linked to gamma power increases and alpha power decreases during recollection. *Elife*, 5, 1-18. doi: 10.7554/eLife.17397.
- Staudigl, T., & Hanslmayr, S. (2018). Reactivation of neural patterns during memory reinstatement supports encoding specificity. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/255166.
- Sutterer, D. W., Foster, J. J., Serences, J. T., Vogel, E. K., & Awh, E. (2018). Alpha-band oscillations track the retrieval of precise spatial representations from long-term memory. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/207860.
- Talmi, D., & Moscovitch, M. (2004). Can semantic relatedness explain the enhancement of memory for emotional words? *Memory & Cognition*, 32(5), 742-751. doi: 10.3758/BF03195864.
- Tambini, A., Rimmele, U., Phelps, E., & Davachi, L. (2016). Emotional brain states carry over and enhance future memory formation. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20(2), 271-278. doi: 10.1038/nn.4468.
- Tamminen, J., Ralph, M. A. L., & Lewis, P. A. (2017). Targeted memory reactivation of newly learned words during sleep triggers REM-mediated integration of new memories and existing knowledge. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 137, 77-82. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2016.11.012.
- Teyler, T. J., & Rudy, J. W. (2007). The hippocampal indexing theory and episodic memory: Updating the index. *Hippocampus*, 17(12), 1158-1169. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20350.
- Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P., & Lüthi, A. (2015). Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(6), 317-331. doi: 10.1038/nrn3945.
- Tucker, M. A., Hirota, Y., Wamsley, E. J., Lau, H., Chaklader, A., & Fishbein, W. (2006). A daytime nap containing solely non-REM sleep enhances declarative but not procedural memory. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 86(2), 241-247. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2006.03.005.

- Tulving, E., & Thomson, D. M. (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in episodic memory. *Psychological Review*, 80(5), 352-373. doi: 10.1037/h0020071.
- Watrous, A. J., & Ekstrom, A. D. (2014). The spectro-contextual encoding and retrieval theory of episodic memory. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8(75), 1-15. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00075.
- Watrous, A. J., Fell, J., Ekstrom, A. D., & Axmacher, N. (2015). More than spikes: Common oscillatory mechanisms for content specific neural representations during perception and memory. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 31, 33-39. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.07.024.
- White, C. N., Kapucu, A., Bruno, D., Rotello, C. M., & Ratcliff, R. (2014). Memory bias for negative emotional words in recognition memory is driven by effects of category membership. *Cognition & Emotion*, 28(5), 867-880. doi: 10.1080/02699931.2013.858028.
- Wimber, M., Maaß, A., Staudigl, T., Richardson-Klavehn, A., & Hanslmayr, S. (2012). Rapid memory reactivation revealed by oscillatory entrainment. *Current Biology*, 22(16), 1482-1486. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.054.
- Wixted, J. T. (2004). The psychology and neuroscience of forgetting. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 235-269. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141555.
- Wixted, J. T. (2007). Dual-process theory and signal-detection theory of recognition memory. *Psychological Review*, 114(1), 152-176. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.114.1.152.
- Womelsdorf, T., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., Singer, W., Desimone, R., Engel, A. K., & Fries, P. (2007). Modulation of neuronal interactions through neuronal synchronization. *Science*, 316(5831), 1609-1612. doi: 10.1126/science.1139597.
- Yaffe, R. B., Kerr, M. S., Damera, S., Sarma, S. V., Inati, S. K., & Zaghloul, K. A. (2014). Reinstatement of distributed cortical oscillations occurs with precise spatiotemporal dynamics during successful memory retrieval. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(52), 18727-18732. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1417017112.

Table 1. summary of the studies using selective enhancement of memory at encoding

Citation	N	Age Mean (SD)/ Range	Task/ Paradigm Summary	Stimuli	Manipulation	Findings Summary
Bowen et al. (2016)	73	18-30	Recognition	Pictorial	Emotion	arousal ↑ memory at immediate test but ↓ memory at delayed test, ↑ memory for positive stimuli at immediate and delayed test ¹
Bradley et al. (1992)	152	N/A	Free recall, recognition	Pictorial	Emotion	↑ memory for arousing stimuli
Cahill & Alkire (2003)	42	21.9 (0.7)	Free recall	Short stories	Ephinephrine immediately post-encoding	↑ arousal response for primacy stimuli, ↑ memory for primacy stimuli
Cahill & McGaugh (1995)	18	20.9	Recognition, free recall	Short stories	Emotion	↑ memory for emotionally arousing stimuli ²
Cahill et al. (1994)	36	27.4 (4.6)	Recognition, free recall	Short stories	Propranolol pre-encoding	↓ memory for emotionally arousing stimuli
Cahill et al. (1996)	8	21.1 (1.1)	Free recall	Film clips	Emotion	↑ memory for emotional stimuli, ↑ activity in right amygdaloid complex for recalled emotional stimuli
Carr & Rickard (2016)	37	35 (9.76)	Free recall	Pictorial	Music pre-encoding	emotional music ↑ memory for stimuli
Dolcos et al. (2004)	16	25-29.6	Cued recall	Pictorial	Emotion	↑ memory for arousing stimuli, ↑ activity in basolateral amygdala, anterior hippocampus, and entorhinal cortex for arousing stimuli

Dougal & Rotello (2007)	60	N/A	Recognition	Verbal	Emotion	↑ memory for emotional stimuli ³
Dunsmoor et al. (2015)	119	23.4 (3.15)	Recognition	Pictorial	Fear conditioning	fear conditioning ↑ memory for stimuli
Ferré et al. (2015)	159	21.8 (4.4)	Free recall	Verbal	Emotion	↑ memory for emotional stimuli ⁴
Kapucu et al. (2008)	22, 23	19.6 (0.8), 71.9 (7.5)	Recognition	Verbal	Age, emotion	↑ memory for negative stimuli in younger and older adults ⁵
Kensinger & Corkin (2003)	108	18-33	Recognition	Verbal	Emotion	↑ memory for negative stimuli, ↑ source memory for negative stimuli
Kensinger & Corkin (2004)	28	Young adults	Recognition	Verbal	Emotion	↑ memory for negative stimuli, arousal ↑ activity in amygdalar–hippocampal network, valence ↑ activity in prefrontal cortex–hippocampal network
Maheu et al. (2004)	64	19-36	Free recall	Pictorial	Propranolol, metyrapone, arousal	propranolol ↓ short-term and long-term memory for emotional stimuli, metyrapone ↓ long-term memory for emotional and neutral stimuli
Pillemer et al. (1986)	137	Young adults	Auto-biographical recall	None	Emotion	emotional intensity ↑ autobiographical memory
Tambini et al. (2016)	44	19-34	Recognition	Scene	Emotion	↑ memory for emotional stimuli, ↑ memory for neutral stimuli after encoding emotional stimuli, neural activity during emotional encoding was reinstated during subsequent neutral encoding

¹ Emotional valence and emotional arousal elicited memory and response biases

- ² The first study to use the same stimuli to create different (neutral and emotional) stories in order to overcome problems associated with having different stimuli in each condition
- ³ Modelling analyses showed a response bias for negative stimuli
- ⁴ Effects of emotion were modulated by encoding task
- ⁵ Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses revealed a response bias for negative stimuli in younger adults and a response bias for positive and negative stimuli in older adults

Table 2. summary of the studies using selective enhancement of memory at consolidation

Citation	N	Age Mean (SD)/Range	Task/ Paradigm Summary	Stimuli	Manipulation	Cue	Phase	Findings Summary
Bendor & Wilson (2012)	4	Rats	Navigation task	None	None	Auditory tone	NREM, awake	↑ memory for auditory-spatial associations cued during sleep ¹
Cairney et al. (2014)	15	20.4 (3.07)	Cued recall	Picture, location	Emotion	Auditory stimuli	SWS	↑ memory for cued negative picture-location associations
Cairney et al. (2018)	46	19.70 (1.51)	Cued recall	Picture, words	None	Auditory words	SWS, wake	cues during sleep ↑ fast spindles and ↑ memory, picture-word association decoding during cue-induced fast spindles predicted TMR benefit
Cousins et al. (2016)	22	23.5 (4.3)	Serial reaction time task	Number sequences	None	Auditory tones	SWS	↓ RT for cued sequence, ↑ activity in bilateral caudate nucleus, hippocampus, cerebellum, and motor cortex for cued sequence ²
Crowley & Javadi (in submission)	82	19.98 (2.16)	Free recall	Picture, words	tACS frequency	tACS	SWS, REM	congruent tACS frequency between encoding and SWS ↑ memory
He et al. (2015)	96	24.0 (2.4)	Fear conditioning	Auditory tone	Shock	Auditory tone	SWS	cue during SWS ↓ fear response
Lehmann et al. (2016)	62	22.1 (0.5)	Cued recall	Picture, words	Emotion	Auditory words	NREM, REM, wake	↑ memory for emotional picture-word associations cued during NREM sleep, ↑ theta and spindle oscillations for cued emotional stimuli

Rasch et al. (2007)	70	20-30	Visuospatial memory, finger-tapping task	Picture, location, number sequences	None	Odour	SWS, REM, wake	↑ memory for picture-location associations cued during SWS, ↑ hippocampal activity during SWS cueing
Rudoy et al. (2009)	24	19-24	Visuospatial memory	Picture, location	None	Auditory tone	SWS, wake	↑ memory for stimuli cued during sleep
Smith & Weeden (1990)	20	Young adults	Logic task	Verbal	None	Auditory clicks	REM	↑ memory performance for cued participants
Tamminen et al. (2017)	40	19.3	Free recall, recognition, lexical competition	Verbal	None	Auditory words	SWS	extent of lexical integration for cued words correlated positively REM sleep duration

¹ Findings based on research with rodents

² Activity in bilateral caudate nucleus and hippocampus was associated with time in SWS, activity in cerebellum and motor cortex was associated with time in REM sleep

Table 3. summary of the studies using selective enhancement of memory at retrieval

Citation	N	Age Mean (SD)/Range	Task/Paradigm Summary	Stimuli	Method	Findings Summary
Jafarpour et al. (2014)	11	23.0 (2.0)	Cued recall	Picture, word	MEG	retrieval cues triggered neural reinstatement
Javadi et al. (2017)	70	22.12 (2.16)	Free recall	Picture, word	tACS	congruent tACS frequency between encoding and retrieval ↑ memory ³
Johnson & Rugg (2007)	26	18-35	Recognition	Picture, word	fMRI	scene recollections ↑ activity in regions associated with scene encoding (left occipital cortex and anterior fusiform gyrus), sentence recollections ↑ activity in regions associated with sentence encoding
Johnson et al. (2009)	16	18-31	Recognition	Verbal	fMRI	neural reinstatement elicited during recollection and familiarity judgements
Kerren et al. (2018)	24	22.1 (4.7)	Cued recall	Picture, word	EEG	neural reinstatement is modulated by theta phase
Kuhl et al. (2012)	18	18-27	Cued recall	Picture, word	fMRI	temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex activity during encoding indicate picture category, classifier estimates of picture category correlate positively with memory
Lohnas et al. (2018)	5	19-42	Recognition	Picture	iEEG ¹ , ECoG ²	neural reinstatement in hippocampus and occipitotemporal cortex, extent of neural

						reinstatement correlates positively with hippocampal encoding
Parish et al. (2018)	Simulation	N/A	None	None	None	successful memory encoding and retrieval rely on desynchronisation of neocortical alpha and synchronisation of hippocampal theta
Polyn et al. (2005)	9	18-27	Free recall	Picture	fMRI	encoding-related activity for picture category was reinstated during category recall
Sederberg et al. (2007)	52	8-53	Free recall	Verbal	iEEG	reinstatement of gamma activity in hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and left-temporal lobe ↑ memory
Staresina et al. (2012)	20	20-35	Cued recall	Picture, word	fMRI	neural reinstatement in parahippocampal cortex, extent of neural reinstatement ↑ memory, extent of neural reinstatement correlates positively with hippocampal activity
Staresina et al. (2016)	11	23-51	Cued recall	Picture, word	iEEG	periods of high gamma activity and low alpha activity ↑ neural reinstatement in hippocampus
Staudigl & Hanslmayr (2018)	24	19-26	Recognition	Verbal	MEG	reinstatement of theta activity ↑ memory when stimulus modality was congruent between encoding and retrieval and ↓ memory when stimulus modality was incongruent

Sutterer et al. (2018)	51	18-35	Visuospatial memory	Picture	EEG	neural reinstatement in the alpha-band, alpha reinstatement is related to accuracy and speed
Wimber et al. (2012)	16	20-28	Recognition	Verbal	EEG	neural reinstatement in the frequency of background flicker that occurred during encoding
Yaffe et al. (2014)	32	33.5 (2.2)	Cued recall	Verbal	iEEG	neural reinstatement is mediated by high-gamma activity that precedes theta activity in the temporal lobe, timing of theta and gamma activity changes between encoding and retrieval

¹ iEEG: intracranial EEG

² ECoG: electrocortigography

³ This study used transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS), rather than neuroimaging, to investigate neural reinstatement because it was the first study attempting to provide causal evidence that reinstatement of neural oscillations facilitates memory