To appear in *Cognitive Science*.

Everyday parameters for episode-to-episode dynamics in the daily music of infancy

Jennifer K. Mendoza and Caitlin M. Fausey

Department of Psychology, University of Oregon

Author Note

This research was funded in part by a grant from the GRAMMY Museum® to CF. The authors declare no conflict of interest. In accordance with family consent, audio recordings and extracted music clips are available on HomeBank (<https://doi.org/10.21415/T5JM4R>; <https://doi.org/10.21415/T47D-5K51>). Study materials, behavioral coding manuals, numerical data, and analysis code are available on Open Science Framework (<https://doi.org/10.17605/osf.io/eb9pw>). We thank Heather Anderson for programming consultation. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Caitlin M. Fausey, 1227 University of Oregon, Department of Psychology, Eugene, OR, 97403, USA.
E-mail: fausey@uoregon.edu

Abstract

Experience-dependent change pervades early human development. Though trajectories of developmental change have been well charted in many domains, the episode-to-episode schedules of experiences on which they are hypothesized to depend have not. Here, we took up this issue in a domain known to be governed in part by early experiences: music. Using a corpus of longform audio recordings, we parameterized the daily schedules of music encountered by 35 infants ages 6-12 months. We discovered that everyday music episodes, as well as the interstices between episodes, typically persisted less than a minute, with most daily schedules also including some very extended episodes and interstices. We also discovered that infants encountered music episodes in a bursty rhythm, rather than a periodic or random rhythm, over the day. These findings join a suite of recent discoveries from everyday vision, motor, and language that expand our imaginations beyond artificial learning schedules and enable theorists to model the history-dependence of developmental process in ways that respect everyday sensory histories. Future theories about how infants build knowledge across multiple episodes can now be parameterized using these insights from infants' everyday lives.

Keywords: input, dynamics, spacing, burstiness, music, LENA

Everyday parameters for episode-to-episode dynamics in the daily music of infancy

As learners encounter their world over time, they experience a given activity for some duration, then engage with other people, places, and things, and then move on to another activity that may be reminiscent of past episodes. These temporal rhythms of life can be described by quantifying how long activities persist, the duration of interstices between activities of like kind, and an overall temporal rhythm that is the combination of episode-to-episode clustering and spacing. These parameters offer the “when” in addition to the “what” of encountered episodes.

Ample evidence suggests that “when” matters for “what” is learned from episodes in multiple domains throughout life (Carvalho & Goldstone, 2015; Cepeda et al., 2008; Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016; Vlach et al., 2008; Yu & Smith, 2012; Yu et al., 2019; see also Ebbinghaus, 1913; Hintzman, 1984; Rovee-Collier, 1995; Thiessen & Pavlik Jr., 2012). Yet despite strong evidence for synergy between the “what” and the “when” of learning, we know very little about parameters of the temporal schedules available to shape learning in real life. Without the everyday “when”, insights about the everyday “what” like frequency distributions of seen objects and heard voices (Clerkin et al., 2017; Fausey et al., 2016; Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a) will be of limited value to theories of experience-dependent learning because attention, encoding, retrieval, and integration happen over time. Luckily, extended continuous sampling of everyday environments – capturing schedules in which activities happen, stop happening, then happen again – can now begin to address longstanding calls to incorporate everyday dynamics into theories of learning (Thelen & Smith, 1994). Here, we address this issue in one early and foundational experience-dependent domain: music.

Toward dynamics theories of musical enculturation. Infants become preferentially sensitive to the musical rhythm and scale structures that they experience in their first post-natal year of life (Hannon & Trainor, 2007; Trainor & Unrau, 2012) and so theories of musical enculturation are theories of experience-dependent learning. Recent evidence has begun to detail what this experience is. Caregivers report that infants encounter live and recorded music (Custodero & Johnson-Green, 2003). Long-form audio recordings of infants’ soundscapes at home have confirmed these reports (Costa-Giomi & Benetti, 2017; Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a) and have also discovered some additional properties of infants’ everyday music. For example, a recent investigation found that infants encounter multiple tunes and voices per day distributed non-uniformly such that some musical identities are much more available than others (Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a). Everyday music episodes thus present opportunities for infants to encounter various pitches, chord sequences, musical rhythms, lyrics, and more. These quantifications emphasize the “what” but leave open many questions about the “when” of infants’ soundscapes. For example, do infants encounter their daily music in a single continuous episode? Or, do infants have opportunities to benefit from temporal proximity as well as temporal distance as they encounter multiple music episodes throughout a day? Discovering the temporal parameters for daily schedules of music in infancy is a next essential step in building theories of musical enculturation grounded in infants’ learning opportunities over time.

Everyday dynamics in infancy. One emerging priority in the science of experience-dependent change is to model the learning opportunities that arise across activity contexts as young learners cumulatively sample the world (Montag et al., 2018). Music is one activity among many in everyday infancy (Trehub & Degé, 2016) that together may provide overlapping opportunities to build skills. For example, infants encounter words in musical as well as other linguistic episodes, they practice coordinating with social partners during musical and non-musical episodes, and more. Musical episodes themselves package linguistic, motoric, visual, and social experiences and thus engage multiple complex processes (Pearce & Rohrmeier, 2012; Trainor & Hannon, 2013). The dynamics of musical episodes therefore potentially impact how young learners build a wide range of skills. Thus, insights about the episode-to-episode

dynamics of this common activity in human infancy will inform theories of musical enculturation and broader theories of building knowledge alike.

Episode duration. Scott Joplin's *Treemonisha* opera is almost an hour and a half long, the complete album of Andreyana Triana's *Giants* takes roughly 46 minutes from start to finish, and *Country Lass*, a song featured in studies of early musical memory, lasts for about 30 seconds. Unlike for concertgoers, audiophiles, and lab infants, everyday encounters with music need not respect composed starts and stops (Hawes, 1974). Thus, a first question about temporal schedules of everyday music is the duration of its episodes. Prior results about some kinds of everyday music suggest that many of these episodes may be brief (Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a).

Episode duration is important for theories of experience-dependent learning in part because duration both shapes and reflects attention. For example, brief music episodes that punctuate the everyday hubbub may capture infants' attention, providing a boost to encoding the encountered music's pitches and rhythms (Nakata & Trehub, 2004). In contrast, more extended music episodes may function in concert with related behaviors from responsive social partners to promote sustained attention and learning (Nakata & Trehub, 2004; Yu & Smith, 2016; Yu et al., 2019) and provide opportunities to detect multiple levels of musical structure (Margulis, 2014). Further, encountered episode durations may provide clues about operative mechanisms for learning. An hour of daily music that is distributed across many brief episodes could create frequent opportunities to retrieve prior episodes and this practice could stabilize memories for encountered musical structures (Rovee-Collier, 1999). In contrast, more continuous extended episodes could engage mechanisms that integrate over persistently available input (Hasson et al., 2015; James et al., 2014; Margulis, 2014). Interestingly, recent evidence about everyday sensory histories in several domains suggests that early experiences are a mix of many brief and few extended episodes that together could helpfully engage multiple learning mechanisms (Jayaraman & Smith, 2019; Lee et al., 2018; Suarez-Rivera et al., 2019).

Interstice duration. Any temporal schedule includes episodes and the periods in between those episodes. We refer to these periods as interstices. They provide opportunities for learners to connect prior and subsequent episodes across distinct interstice durations. Toward one extreme, interstices may exceed 24 hours if learners do not encounter multiple music episodes per day (e.g., Monday 8 AM to Tuesday 9 AM). Toward another extreme, brief one-second interstices could separate multiple music episodes throughout a day. Evidence suggests interstice durations shorter than daily (Custodero & Johnson-Green, 2003; Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a) but plausible durations within a day are currently unknown.

Interstice duration is important for theories of experience-dependent learning because interstices create opportunities to forget and then later retrieve encountered input. Extended interstices increase retrieval challenges, sometimes acting as "desirable difficulties" that support learning (Bjork, 1994; Vlach, 2019). For example, young children often show better memory and generalization for words and objects if they encounter them in schedules with extended rather than brief interstices (Childers & Tomasello, 2002; Vlach et al., 2008). Intriguingly, brief interstices between newly encountered episodes may potentiate memories that are tolerant to increasingly extended interstices (Rovee-Collier, 1995). The impact of interstice duration on learning depends on many other aspects of sensory histories including but not limited to prior history of interstice durations (Rovee-Collier, 1995), delays until needing to retrieve encountered input (Cepeda et al., 2008; Vlach et al., 2012), and the learner's developmental age (Benitez et al., 2020), with many open questions about young learners (Vlach, 2019). Interstices involving sleep may engage additional mechanisms (Gómez & Edgin, 2015; Hupbach et al., 2009).

Laboratory studies of early music learning typically implement schedules with interstice durations on the order of seconds and infants learn pitch and musical rhythmic patterns in these studies (Trehub & Degé, 2016). Other evidence also suggests the relevance of longer-term mechanisms for early music learning, such as infants remembering various aspects of a tune

played daily when tested days to weeks later (see Saffran, 2003; Trainor & Hannon, 2013, for review). Recent evidence from longform sampling of everyday language suggests the presence of at least some everyday interstices on the order of minutes to hours (Cristia et al., 2021; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 2017). Everyday opportunities to integrate across multiple episodes may be a mix of briefer and extended periods without music, creating multiple routes to retrieval practice over multiple timescales in everyday learning.

Temporal rhythm. Episodes and interstices do not exist in isolation; together they structure an overall temporal rhythm. An everyday mix of both brief and extended episodes and interstices may give rise to a clustered temporal rhythm. Quantifying temporal rhythm *per se* provides clues about mechanisms relevant for building knowledge across multiple episodes in everyday life.

Burstiness (B) is a measure of overall temporal rhythm that is an efficient summary of both temporal proximity and distance across multiple episodes (Barabási, 2005; Goh & Barabási, 2008; Kim & Jo, 2016). Burstiness quantifies how episode onsets arise over time, thus highlighting opportunities for switching into the target activity. Broadly, temporal rhythms can be periodic, random, or bursty. Periodic rhythms in which episodes begin ‘on the hour, every hour’ are characterized by identical inter-onset intervals throughout the day (e.g., one hour between each episode onset). Random rhythms are characterized by a distribution of inter-onset intervals whose mean and standard deviation are similar. Bursty rhythms, in which some episodes are clustered in close temporal proximity with extended lulls between other episodes, are characterized by a distribution of highly heterogeneous inter-onset intervals whose standard deviation exceeds its mean. Interestingly, many human activities show bursty temporal rhythms (Abney et al., 2018; Karsai et al., 2018).

Temporal rhythm is important for theories of experience-dependent learning because it suggests the nature of opportunities to integrate information from related episodes. Periodic rhythms could suggest a role for prediction, as learners come to anticipate regularly occurring input. Random rhythms might instead engage more local attention and learning mechanisms. Bursty rhythms could provide opportunities for learners to build knowledge by harnessing shorter-term (Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016; Trehub & Degé, 2016) as well as longer-term (Ilari & Polka, 2006; Vlach, 2019) integration. Like other insights about sensory histories sampled outside the laboratory (Smith et al., 2018), longform sampling of everyday experiences may reveal an overall temporal rhythm comprised of multiple, distinct learning opportunities each more commonly tested in isolation in current laboratory-based protocols.

Everyday parameters. Theorists make discoveries about the everyday experiences that are available to drive developmental change by sampling instead of scripting experiences (de Barbaro & Fausey, 2022). Quantifying theory-relevant properties of sampled experiences sharpens our understanding about how infants build knowledge across episodes in part by revealing the extent to which parameter-values-as-implemented-in-the-lab match the everyday opportunities that infants really face (see also Hasson et al., 2020; Nastase et al., 2020). Sampling everyday life for extended periods of time, in particular, has the potential to dramatically update our insights about these opportunities by revealing structure at timescales traditionally outside of empiricists’ toolkits (i.e., one cannot smoosh a two-hour interstice into a 15-minute study). Thus, we advance theories of musical enculturation by quantifying everyday dynamics, with implications for infants’ opportunities and challenges to build knowledge across multiple episodes.

We parameterize the daily temporal schedules of everyday music in infancy by quantifying episode durations, interstice durations, and temporal rhythms in the Mendoza Music Corpus (Mendoza & Fausey, 2018; 2021a). This corpus consists of 35 longform audio recordings sampled from the at-home everyday lives of infants ages 6- to 12-months-old. This developmental period is sampled in order to make discoveries about the experiences available to drive soundscape-specific enculturation that emerges around the end of the first post-natal

year (Hannon & Trainor, 2007). Importantly, this corpus sampled raw audio, in families' homes without researchers present, and continuously through a full day in order to minimize artificial perturbations of everyday sensory input due to caregiver self-report or excess conscious monitoring. This corpus provides a unique opportunity to discover everyday parameters for the durations of music episodes, the durations of interstices in between episodes, and the overall temporal clustering of music episodes throughout a day (see Figure 1 for one illustrative day-in-the-life).

Figure 1. One day-in-the-life of an infant encountering music episodes. 3,941 musical seconds distributed across 164 episodes. (a) Histogram of this day's episode (purple) and interstice (green) durations, which are mostly brief together with some extended durations of each. (b) Music episodes and interstices distributed in an overall clustered daily temporal schedule.

Method

Ethics and open science. We analyze the corpus presented in Mendoza and Fausey (2021a). Thus, we repeat key information here. The University of Oregon (USA) approved this research protocol. Caregivers provided informed consent for their family's participation. Most caregivers consented to share their recordings with the research community and these .wav files are available on HomeBank (Fausey & Mendoza, 2018a). Study materials, behavioral coding manuals, numerical data, and analysis code are available on Open Science Framework (Mendoza & Fausey, 2019; henceforth "OSF").

Participants, materials, and procedure. One audio recording from each of 35 infants (sex assigned at birth: 20 female, 15 male) between the ages of 6 and 12 months ($M = 38.78$ weeks, $SD = 6.66$ weeks; was annotated and analyzed. Infants wore the digital language processor from the Language Environment Analysis system (Ford et al., 2008) for up to 16 hours of audio at home. Caregivers were not told about music as an analytic target (see Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a, for additional details).

Approximately 467 hours of the everyday sounds of infancy were captured (*Median* = 13.13 hours per recording; *Interquartile range (IQR)* = 4.32 hours per recording). Musical sounds were operationalized as live or recorded singing, instrument playing, and pitched, rhythmic, repetitive patterns that were vocally or instrumentally produced (OSF). Music episodes were operationalized as the uninterrupted, continuous presence of music. Annotators identified music episodes by listening continuously to each recording and upon hearing a musical sound marked the onset of an episode. Annotators marked the offset of an episode when the source of

the music stopped producing musical sounds or the musical sounds became too faint or too obscured to be perceived. Thus, everyday music episodes presented infants with opportunities to potentially learn about many musical pitches, musical rhythms, and more. As reported by Mendoza and Fausey (2021a), two independent annotators identified music in each recording and the number of seconds identified as music per each minute of each recording was highly correlated (*Median* $r = .93$, *IQR* $r = .11$). Therefore, one annotation per recording was randomly selected for analysis. Each recording is represented as a timeseries of seconds, some of which are music episodes (*Median* = 3,311 music seconds per recording; *IQR* = 3,858.50 music seconds per recording).

Results

Everyday parameters: Overview. We present new discoveries about the daily temporal dynamics of music in infants' everyday lives during the second half of their first postnatal year, based on 35 longform audio recordings sampled from this developmental period. We first report the durations of music episodes and the durations of interstices between episodes. We then show that episodes are not distributed randomly or periodically throughout a day, but rather in a bursty rhythm.

Figure 2. Durations of everyday music episodes and interstices between music episodes. Histograms of the durations of all (a) music episodes ($N = 4,798$) and (b) interstices between music episodes ($N = 4,763$) in the corpus. Dashed lines are each distribution's median duration.

Everyday music episodes are (mostly) shorter than a minute. Figure 2a shows the durations of everyday music episodes. The distribution of all music episodes in the corpus is non-uniform, with .88 of episodes shorter than one minute and a long tail of episode durations extending to a maximum of 827 seconds. The median duration of an everyday music episode is 13 seconds (for additional descriptive statistics, see Table 1). The non-uniform distribution of music episode durations also characterizes music episodes within each daily recording (OSF). We invite readers to listen to everyday music episodes on HomeBank (Mendoza & Fausey, 2018; illustrative brief (Clip 629), median (Clip 1027), and extended (Clip 179) episodes).

Everyday interstices between music episodes are (mostly) shorter than a minute. Figure 2b shows the durations of everyday interstices between music episodes. The distribution of all interstices in the corpus is non-uniform, with .71 of interstices shorter than one minute and a long tail of interstice durations extending to a maximum of 42,566 seconds. The median duration of an everyday interstice between music episodes is 17 seconds (Table 1). The non-uniform distribution of interstice durations also characterizes individual daily temporal schedules (OSF). We invite readers to listen to an example of four music episodes interleaved with three interstices on HomeBank (Fausey & Mendoza, 2018b; file G448_001102, 00:54:26 to 00:56:31).

Table 1. Descriptive summaries of music episodes, interstices between episodes, and inter-onset intervals across episodes in the corpus (N = 4,798 episodes; N = 4,763 interstices and inter-onset intervals).

	Median Duration (s)	Interquartile Range Duration (s)	Range Duration (s)	Proportion shorter than one minute	Proportion longer than five minutes
Episodes	13	21	2-827	0.88	0.01
Interstices	17	76	1-42566	0.71	0.12
Inter-Onset Intervals	48	115.5	3-42627	0.56	0.13

Though the acoustic details of interstices are not the main empirical contribution here (see Discussion), we quantified the proportion of interstice seconds that were silence (.44), non-musical sounds (.51), or due to the recorder being turned off during the day (.05). Thus, infants encountered everyday music episodes interleaved with a combination of silence and other non-musical sounds.

Notably, every recording in this corpus had at least one interstice between music episodes at the hourly timescale. As illustrated in Figure 3b, these extended lulls within a day suggest an overall clustered schedule of everyday music episodes. Such daily clustering can be quantified using the burstiness parameter.

Everyday music episodes are distributed as bursty throughout a day. Burstiness is a measure that quantifies deviations from randomly organized episodes using the distribution of inter-onset intervals in an overall temporal rhythm. Burstiness considers the onset of each episode, ignoring duration *per se*, in order to classify temporal rhythms as random, periodic, or bursty (Goh & Barabási, 2008; Kim & Jo, 2016). Random rhythms are characterized by a distribution in which the mean and standard deviation of inter-onset intervals are equal (Burstiness B is closer to 0). Periodic rhythms are characterized by a distribution in which the standard deviation of inter-onset intervals is 0 (Burstiness B closer to -1). Bursty rhythms are characterized by a distribution in which the standard deviation exceeds the mean of the inter-onset intervals (Burstiness B closer to 1).

Formally, we computed burstiness using the following equation that represents the total number of onsets in a schedule in addition to its inter-onset intervals (Kim & Jo, 2016). n represents the total number of onsets and r represents σ/μ of the inter-onset intervals:

$$\text{Burstiness } (B) = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}r - \sqrt{n-1}}{(\sqrt{n+1}-2)r + \sqrt{n-1}}$$

Figure 3a shows that the overall temporal rhythm of everyday music episodes is bursty, with a median Burstiness $B = .63$. Figure 3b shows three illustrative daily schedules with Burstiness B close to this observed median (see OSF for all daily schedules). Interpreted categorically, these daily temporal rhythms are clearly bursty. Interpreted continuously, these temporal rhythms are similar to or exceed the degree of burstiness observed in other human activities (Abney et al., 2018; Altmann et al., 2009; Goh & Barabási, 2008).

Supplemental analyses. A suite of analyses addressed supplemental questions. Briefly, these analyses revealed (a) no correlations of any reported parameters with infants' age, and (b) everyday music episode durations, interstice durations, and daily temporal schedules differ from artificial perturbations of everyday music in which the same total amount of music is distributed randomly throughout a day (OSF).

Figure 3. Bursty rhythms of music episodes over a day. (a) Histogram of the burstiness parameter from each recording in this corpus ($N = 35$). Dashed line depicts median burstiness. (b) Three illustrative daily schedules (top: $B = .67$, middle: $B = .63$, bottom: $B = .59$; episodes in purple and interstices in green).

Discussion

Longform audio recordings of infants' everyday lives revealed that the daily temporal schedule of music in infancy includes many brief music episodes and interstices, together with some extended durations of each. This clustered daily schedule suggests that the mechanisms responsible for musical enculturation may exploit opportunities to integrate encountered musical pitches and musical rhythms at multiple timescales. Everyday music episode duration, interstice duration, and overall temporal rhythm parameters can now guide manipulation and modeling efforts designed to advance theories of musical enculturation – and potentially other experience-dependent learning processes that are also distributed over time – that respect the nature of everyday learning opportunities.

Everyday parameters. Encountering musical episodes over time yields sensitivities to culture-specific pitch and rhythmic patterns (Hannon & Trainor, 2007; see also Gerry et al. 2010). Related experience-dependent sensitivities in multiple domains are known to arise from distributional learning mechanisms that operate over multiple episodes (Saffran & Kirkham, 2018; Smith & Yu, 2008). Theorists typically (though not exclusively; see Introduction) test

hypotheses about how infants build musical knowledge by instantiating brief episode and interstice durations. Overall temporal rhythms are often undefined (as when music plays continuously, e.g., Saffran et al., 1999), periodic (e.g., Trehub et al., 1984), or unknown (as in infant-controlled habituation protocols, e.g., Costa-Giomi & Davila, 2014). Though sensible from the perspective of the original hypotheses tested, such theorist-designed schedules diverge from the everyday schedules available to shape infants' musical enculturation over their first year of life.

Indeed, the practice of implementing trial-to-trial schedules at short timescales in order to test mechanistic hypotheses dominates many areas of research and is increasingly recognized as a barrier to insights about mechanisms that operate for everyday learners. Prompts for greater fidelity to properties of everyday time include favoring continuous time instead of "trial" units (Huk et al., 2018), instantiating slower rates of switching between instances (Duncan & Schlichting, 2018), investigating extended timescale dynamics (Creel, 2019; Halpern & Bartlett, 2010; Naselaris et al., 2021; Spencer et al., 2011; Vlach, 2019) and connecting multiple scales of integrating information over time (Hasson et al., 2015; Thelen & Smith, 1994). Sampling extended timescales of everyday life is a foundational step en route to better aligning empirical protocols with everyday temporal realities in part because it affords discoveries about multiple timescales (Mendoza & Fausey, 2021b; Ritwika et al., 2020). The everyday parameters discovered here propel theorists toward testing hypotheses in which learners encounter many opportunities to integrate musical episodes over seconds mixed with some opportunities to integrate over hours.

Building knowledge across multiple episodes. Encountering a musical episode may prompt retrieval of prior episodes due to feature overlap in singers, tunes, pitches, and/or musical rhythms (Love et al., 2004; Mack et al., 2018; Thiessen & Pavlik, 2013). The hippocampus may play a critical role in this process, including in infants (Ellis et al., 2021; Zeithamova et al., 2012; see also Ramsaran et al., 2019). One illustrative mechanism for building knowledge is thus iterative reactivation. Interestingly, iterative reactivation is partially governed by temporal dynamics. For example, blocked episodes promote strong initial encoding, subsequent reactivation, and integration (Schlichting et al., 2015). Novelty-driven differentiation arises for dissimilar episodes that occur within seconds of each other, along with integration of episodes separated by restful states during a day (Duncan & Schlichting, 2018). Over time, the push and pull of integration and differentiation may shape infants' emerging sensitivities to both specific (Cirelli & Trehub, 2018; Creel, 2012) and general (Hannon & Trainor, 2007) musical content. The current results enable testing new hypotheses about iterative reactivation by suggesting everyday parameters for episode-to-episode dynamics.

Theorists could harness technology like Lookit (Scott & Schulz, 2017) or mobile applications (Franchak, 2019) to present musical episodes to infants at scheduled moments during their everyday lives. Or, caregivers could implement schedules at home by activating musical recordings embedded in toys (Mehr et al., 2016). New hypotheses can also be tested via computational modeling. Interestingly, training regimes that respect the heterogeneity of infants' everyday experiences outperform status quo regimes in several domains, including soccer-playing robots' goals when trained on infants' variable motor paths (Ossmy et al., 2018) and convolutional neural nets' object recognition when trained on toddlers' variable egocentric views (Bambach et al., 2016). The variability in timescales across which infants encounter everyday musical episodes may similarly optimize learning by creating varied pressures on attention, encoding, retrieval, and/or integration. We look forward to discoveries in which models that are sensitive to sequence, order, and/or real time (Carvalho & Goldstone, 2019; Honey et al., 2017; Howard & Kahana, 2002; Mozer & Lindsey, 2017; Smith et al., 2018; Thiessen & Pavlik, 2013) learn from input with parameters inspired by infants' everyday dynamics.

Toward more expansive everyday parameters. The parameters reported here arose in one sample from a particular place, time, and community. Evidence from multiple domains

suggests that opportunities to integrate episodes across multiple timescales will generally characterize infants' everyday dynamics, but this deserves empirical inquiry for everyday music. Encouragingly, related efforts are underway (Benetti & Costa-Giomi, 2019; Yan et al., 2021). Importantly, many details of musical episodes -- like their pitches and rhythms -- must be specified in order to understand how they matter for infants' learning, memory, and musical enculturation trajectories. These details can be annotated together with details of non-musical episodes like linguistic exchanges and naps in order to advance theories of experience-dependent change that respect the rich nature of infants' everyday soundscapes (Warlaumont et al., 2022). We encourage theorists to collectively make progress by annotating the shared everyday recordings (Mendoza & Fausey, 2018; 2021a; 2021b).

Overall, quantitative parameters reported across initial investigations of everyday infancy are broadly consistent with non-uniform temporal and feature distributions. Non-uniform temporal distributions arise for many behaviors, including music as well as other kinds of everyday audio like language (Abney et al., 2016; 2018; Cristia et al., 2021; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 2017) and crying (de Barbaro et al., 2021). Additionally, non-uniform feature distributions arise not only for musical tunes and voices (Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a) but also for linguistic and visual object distributions (see Introduction). Future research in which multiple modalities are sampled in the same way at the same timescales will help to populate a more fully specified everyday parameter space. Non-uniformity can be a signal of a complex system with many interacting components (Warlaumont et al., 2022). Accumulating evidence sampled from everyday infancy motivates theorists to more closely approximate such non-uniformity when testing hypotheses about how experiences matter for building skills within these complex systems over time.

Conclusion. Infants encounter everyday music across a mix of brief and extended episodes, interspersed among a mix of brief and extended interstices, in a clustered daily schedule. These findings join recent discoveries from many domains of everyday infancy that expand our imaginations beyond artificial parameters (Adolph, 2020; Bulgarelli & Bergelson, 2020; Casillas et al., 2020; Custode & Tamis-LeMonda, 2020; Herzberg et al., 2021; Jayaraman et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2018; Mendoza & Fausey, 2021a; Weisleder & Fernald, 2013) and enable theorists to model the history-dependence of developmental process (Smith et al., 2020) in ways that respect everyday sensory histories. Theories about how infants build knowledge across episodes -- musical pitch and rhythmic patterns as in musical enculturation, but also linguistic, motor, and emotion regulation skills that are bundled with multimodal musical activities (Trainor & Hannon, 2013) -- can now be parameterized using insights from infants' everyday lives.

References

- Abney, D. H., Warlaumont, A. S., Oller, D. K., Wallot, S., Kello, C. T. (2016). Multiple coordination patterns in infant and adult vocalizations. *Infancy*, 22(4), 514–539. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infa.12165>
- Abney, D. H., Dale, R., Louwerse, M. M., & Kello, C. T. (2018). The bursts and lulls of multimodal interaction: Temporal distributions of behavior reveal differences between verbal and non-verbal communication. *Cognitive Science*, 42(4), 1297-1316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12612>
- Adolph, K.E. (2020). Ecological validity: Mistaking the lab for real life. In R.J. Sternberg (Ed.), *My biggest research mistake: adventures and misadventures in psychological research* (pp. 187-190). Sage.
- Altmann, E. G., Pierrehumbert, J. B., & Motter, A. E. (2009). Beyond word frequency: Bursts, lulls, and scaling in the temporal distributions of words. *PLoS ONE*, 4(11), e7678. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007678>
- Bambach, S., Crandall, D. J., Smith, L. B., & Yu, C. (2016). Active viewing in toddlers facilitates visual object learning: An egocentric vision approach. In *Proceedings of the 38th annual conference of the cognitive science society*. Cognitive Science Society.
- Barabási, A-L. (2005). The origin of bursts and heavy tails in human dynamics. *Nature*, 435(7039), 207-211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03459>
- Benetti, L., & Costa-Giomi, E. (2019). Music in the lives of American and Tanzanian infants and toddlers: A daylong sampling [Conference Paper]. In *2019 meeting of the society for music perception and cognition*.
- Benitez, V. L., Zettersten, M., & Wojcik, E. (2020). The temporal structure of naming events differentially affects children's and adults' cross-situational word learning. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 200, 104961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2020.104961>
- Bjork, R. A. (1994). Memory and metamemory considerations in the training of human beings. In J. Metcalfe & A. P. Shimura (Eds.), *Metacognition: Knowing about knowing* (pp. 185–205). MIT Press.
- Bulgarelli, F., & Bergelson, E. (2020). Look who's talking: A comparison of automated and human-generated speaker tags in naturalistic day-long recordings. *Behavior Research Methods*, 52(2), 641-653. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-019-01265-7>
- Carvalho, P. F., & Goldstone, R. (2019). A computational model of context-dependent encodings during category learning. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/8psa4>
- Carvalho, P.F., & Goldstone, R.L. (2015). What you learn is more than what you see: What can sequencing effects tell us about inductive category learning? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6(505), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00505>
- Casillas, M., Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (2020). Early language experience in a Tzeltal Mayan village. *Child Development*, 91(5), 1819-1835. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13349>
- Cepeda, N. J., Vul, E., Rohrer, D., Wixted, J. T., & Pashler, H. (2008). Spacing effects in learning a temporal ridgeline of optimal retention. *Psychological Science*, 19(11), 1095-1102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02209.x>
- Childers, J. B., & Tomasello, M. (2002). Two-year-olds learn novel nouns, verbs, and conventional actions from massed or distributed exposures. *Developmental Psychology*, 38(6), 967-978. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.38.6.967>
- Cirelli, L. K., & Trehub, S. E. (2018). Infants help singers of familiar songs. *Music & Science*, 1, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2059204318761622>
- Clerkin, E. M., Hart, E., Rehg, J. M., Yu, C., & Smith, L. B. (2017). Real-world visual statistics and infants' first-learned object names. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 372(1711), 20160055. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0055>
- Costa-Giomi, E., & Benetti, L. (2017). Through a baby's ears: Musical interactions in a family

- community. *International Journal of Community Music*, 10(3), 289-303. https://doi.org/10.1386/ijcm.10.3.289_1
- Costa-Giomi, E., & Davila, Y. (2014). Infants' discrimination of female singing voices. *International Journal of Music Education*, 32(3), 324–332. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0255761413491211>
- Creel, S. C. (2019). Protracted perceptual learning of auditory pattern structure in spoken language. In K.D. Federmeir (Ed.), *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (Vol. 71, pp. 67- 105). Academic Press.
- Creel, S. C. (2012). Similarity-based restoration of metrical information: Different listening experiences result in different perceptual inferences. *Cognitive Psychology*, 65(2), 321-351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2012.04.004>
- Cristia, A., Lavechin, M., Scaff, C., Soderstrom, M., Rowland, C., Räsänen, O., Bunce, J., & Bergelson, E. (2021). A thorough evaluation of the Language Environment Analysis (LENA) system. *Behavior Research Methods*, 53, 467-486. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01393-5>
- Custode, S. A., & Tamis-LeMonda, C. (2020). Cracking the code: Social and contextual cues to language input in the home environment. *Infancy*, 25(6), 809-826. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infa.12361>
- Custodero, L. A., & Johnson-Green, E. A. (2003). Passing the cultural torch: Musical experience and musical parenting of infants. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 51(2), 102-114. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3345844>
- de Barbaro, K., & Fausey, C.M. (2022). Ten lessons about infants' everyday experiences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 31(1), 28-33. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/qa73d>
- de Barbaro, K., Micheletti, M., Yao, X., Khante, P., Johnson, M., & Goodman, S. (2021). Sensing a day in mom's life: Objective markers of everyday experiences predict real-time fluctuations in maternal mental health. *Manuscript submitted for publication*.
- Duncan, K. D., & Schlichting, M. L. (2018). Hippocampal representations as a function of time, subregion, and brain state. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 153, 40-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2018.03.006>
- Ebbinghaus, H. (1913). *Memory: A contribution to experimental psychology*. New York, NY: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Ellis, C. T., Skalaban, L. J., Yates, T. S., Bejjanki, V. R., Córdova, N. I., & Turk-Browne, N. B. (2021). Evidence of hippocampal learning in human infants. *Current Biology*, 31(15), 3358-3364.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.04.072>
- Fausey, C. M., Jayaraman, S., & Smith, L. B. (2016). From faces to hands: Changing visual input in the first two years. *Cognition*, 152, 101-107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2016.03.005>
- Fausey, C. M., & Mendoza, J. K. (2018a). FauseyTrio HomeBank Corpus. <https://doi.org/10.21415/T5JM4R>
- Fausey, C. M., & Mendoza, J. K. (2018b). FauseyTrio-Public HomeBank Corpus. <https://doi.org/10.21415/T56D7Q>
- Ford, M., Baer, C. T., Xu, D., Yapanel, U., & Gray, S. (2008). The LENA™ language environment analysis system: Audio specifications of the DLP-0121. Retrieved from http://www.lenafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/LTR-03-2_Audio_Specifications.pdf
- Franchak, J. M. (2019). Changing opportunities for learning in everyday life: Infant body position over the first year. *Infancy*, 24(2), 187-209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infa.12272>
- Gerry, D. W., Faux, A. L., & Trainor, L. J. (2010). Effects of Kindermusik training on infants' rhythmic enculturation. *Developmental Science*, 13(3), 545-551. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00912.x>

- Goh, K. I., & Barabási, A. L. (2008). Burstiness and memory in complex systems. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 81(4), 48002. <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/81/48002>
- Gómez, R. L., & Edgin, J. O. (2015). Sleep as a window into early neural development: Shifts in sleep-dependent learning effects across early childhood. *Child Development Perspectives*, 9(3), 183–189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12130>
- Halpern, A. R., & Bartlett, J. C. (2010). Memory for Melodies. In M. R. Jones, R. R. Fay, & A. N. Popper (Eds.), *Music Perception* (Vol. 36, pp. 233–258). Springer. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6114-3>
- Hannon, E. E., & Trainor, L. J. (2007). Music acquisition: effects of enculturation and formal training on development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(11), 466–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.08.008>
- Hasson, U., Chen, J., & Honey, C. J. (2015). Hierarchical process memory: memory as an integral component of information processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 19(6), 304–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.04.006>
- Hasson, U., Nastase, S. A., & Goldstein, A. (2020). Direct fit to nature: An evolutionary perspective on biological and artificial neural networks. *Neuron*, 105(3), 416–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.12.002>
- Hawes, B. L. (1974). Folksongs and function: Some thoughts on the American lullaby. *Journal of American Folklore*, 140–148.
- Herzberg, O., Fletcher, K.K., Schatz, J., Adolph, K.E., & Tamis-LeMonda, C.S. (2021). Infant exuberant object play at home: Immense amounts of time-distributed, variable practice. *Child Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13669>
- Hintzman, D. L. (1984). MINERVA 2: A simulation model of human memory. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 16(2), 96–101. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03202365>
- Honey, C. J., Newman, E. L., & Schapiro, A. C. (2017). Switching between internal and external modes: a multiscale learning principle. *Network Neuroscience*, 1(4), 339–356. https://doi.org/10.1162/NETN_a_00024
- Howard, M. W., & Kahana, M. J. (2002). A distributed representation of temporal context. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 46(3), 269–299. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmps.2001.1388>
- Huk, A., Bonnen, K., & He, B. J. (2018). Beyond trial-based paradigms: Continuous behavior, ongoing neural activity, and natural stimuli. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 38(35), 7551–7558. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1920-17.2018>
- Hupbach, A., Gómez, R.L., Bootzin, R.R., & Nadel, L. (2009). Nap-dependent learning in infants. *Developmental Science*, 12(6), 1007–1012. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00837.x>
- Ilari, B., & Polka, L. (2006). Music cognition in early infancy: Infants' preferences and long-term memory for Ravel. *International Journal of Music Education*, 24(1), 7–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0255761406063100>
- James, K. H., Jones, S. S., Smith, L. B., & Swain, S. N. (2014). Young Children's Self-Generated Object Views and Object Recognition. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 15(3), 393–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15248372.2012.749481>
- Jayaraman, S., Fausey, C. M., & Smith, L. B. (2015). The faces in infant-perspective scenes change over the first year of life. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5), e0123780. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123780>
- Jayaraman, S., & Smith, L. B. (2019). Faces in early visual environments are persistent not just frequent. *Vision Research*, 157, 213–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2018.05.005>
- Karsai, M., Jo, H. H., & Kaski, K. (2018). *Bursty human dynamics*. Springer International Publishing.
- Kim, E. K., & Jo, H. H. (2016). Measuring burstiness for finite event sequences. *Physical Review E*, 94(3), 032311. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032311>

- Lee, D. K., Cole, W. G., Golenia, L., & Adolph, K. E. (2018). The cost of simplifying complex developmental phenomena: a new perspective on learning to walk. *Developmental Science*, 21(4), e12615. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12615>
- Love, B. C., Medin, D. L., & Gureckis, T. M. (2004). SUSTAIN: a network model of category learning. *Psychological Review*, 111(2), 309-332. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.111.2.309>
- Mack, M. L., Love, B. C., & Preston, A. R. (2018). Building concepts one episode at a time: The hippocampus and concept formation. *Neuroscience Letters*, 680, 31-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.07.061>
- Margulis, E. H. (2014). *On repeat: How music plays the mind*. Oxford University Press.
- Mehr, S. A., Song, L. A., & Spelke, E. S. (2016). For 5-month-old infants, melodies are social. *Psychological Science*, 27(4), 486-501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615626691>
- Mendoza, J.K., & Fausey, C.M. (2021a). Everyday music in infancy. *Developmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.13122>
- Mendoza, J.K., & Fausey, C.M. (2021b). Quantifying everyday ecologies: Principles for manual annotation of many hours of infants' lives. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.710636>
- Mendoza, J. K., & Fausey, C. M. (2019). Everyday Music in Infancy. <https://doi.org/10.17605/osf.io/eb9pw>
- Mendoza, J. K., & Fausey, C. M. (2018). MendozaMusic HomeBank Corpus. <https://doi.org/10.21415/T47D-5K51>
- Montag, J.L., Jones, M.N., & Smith, L.B. (2018). Quantity and diversity: Simulating early word learning environments. *Cognitive Science*, 42, 375-412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12592>
- Mozer, M. C., & Lindsey, R. V. (2017). Predicting and improving memory retention: Psychological theory matters in the big data era. In M. Jones (Ed.), *Big Data in Cognitive Science* (pp. 34-64). Routledge.
- Nakata, T., & Trehub, S. E. (2004). Infants' responsiveness to maternal speech and singing. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 27(4), 455-464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2004.03.002>
- Naselar, T., Allen, E., & Kay, K. (2021). Extensive sampling for complete models of individual brains. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 45-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.12.008>
- Nastase, S. A., Goldstein, A., & Hasson, U. (2020). Keep it real: rethinking the primacy of experimental control in cognitive neuroscience. *NeuroImage*, 222, 117254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117254>
- Ossmy, O., Hoch, J.E., MacAlpine, P., Hasan, S., Stone, P., & Adolph, K.E. (2018). Variety wins: Soccer-playing robots and infant walking. *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, 12, 19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2018.00019>
- Pearce, M., & Rohrmeier, M. (2012). Music cognition and the cognitive sciences. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 4(4), 468-484. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2012.01226.x>
- Ramsaran, A. I., Schlichting, M. L., & Frankland, P. W. (2019). The ontogeny of memory persistence and specificity. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 36, 100591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.09.002>
- Ritwika, V. P. S., Pretzer, G. M., Mendoza, S., Shedd, C., Kello, C. T., Gopinathan, A., & Warlaumont, A. S. (2020). Exploratory dynamics of vocal foraging during infant-caregiver communication. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66778-0>
- Rovee-Collier, C. (1999). The development of infant memory. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 8(3), 80-85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00019>

- Rovee-Collier, C. (1995). Time windows in cognitive development. *Developmental Psychology*, 31(2), 147-169. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.31.2.147>
- Saffran, J. R. (2003). *Mechanisms of musical memory in infancy*. In I. Peretz & R. Zatorre (Eds.), *The cognitive neuroscience of music* (p. 32–41). Oxford University Press.
- Saffran, J. R., Johnson, E. K., Aslin, R. N., & Newport, E. L. (1999). Statistical learning of tone sequences by human infants and adults. *Cognition*, 70(1), 27-52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277\(98\)00075-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277(98)00075-4)
- Saffran, J.R., & Kirkham, N.Z. (2018). Infant statistical learning. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 69, 181-203. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011805>
- Schlichting, M. L., Mumford, J. A., & Preston, A. R. (2015). Learning-related representational changes reveal dissociable integration and separation signatures in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9151>
- Schwab, J. F., & Lew-Williams, C. (2016). Repetition across successive sentences facilitates young children's word learning. *Developmental Psychology*, 52(6), 879. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dev0000125>
- Scott, K., & Schulz, L. (2017). Lookit (part 1): A new online platform for developmental research. *Open Mind*, 1(1), 4-14. https://doi.org/10.1162/OPMI_a_00002
- Smith, L. B., Byrge, L., & Sporns, O. (2020). Beyond Origins. Developmental pathways and the dynamics of brain networks. In A. J. Lerner, S. Cullen, and S-J. Leslie (Eds.) *Current Controversies in Philosophy of Cognitive Science*. Routledge.
- Smith, L. B., Jayaraman, S., Clerkin, E., & Yu, C. (2018). The developing infant creates a curriculum for statistical learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 22(4), 325-336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.02.004>
- Smith, L.B., & Yu, C. (2008). Infants rapidly learn word-referent mappings via cross-situational statistics. *Cognition*, 106(3), 1558-1568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2007.06.010>
- Spencer, J. P., Perone, S., & Buss, A. T. (2011). Twenty years and going strong: A dynamic systems revolution in motor and cognitive development. *Child Development Perspectives*, 5(4), 260-266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-8606.2011.00194.x>
- Suarez-Rivera, C., Smith, L. B., & Yu, C. (2019). Multimodal parent behaviors within joint attention support sustained attention in infants. *Developmental Psychology*, 55(1), 96–109. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000628>
- Tamis-LeMonda, C. S., Kuchirko, Y., Luo, R., Escobar, K., & Bornstein, M. H. (2017). Power in methods: Language to infants in structured and naturalistic contexts. *Developmental Science*, 20(6), e12456. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12456>
- Thelen, E., & Smith, L.B. (1994). *A dynamic systems approach to the development of cognition and action*. MIT Press.
- Thiessen, E. D., & Pavlik Jr, P. I. (2013). iMinerva: A mathematical model of distributional statistical learning. *Cognitive Science*, 37(2), 310-343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12011>
- Trainor, L. J., & Hannon, E. E. (2013). Musical development. In D. Deutsch (Ed.), *The psychology of music* (pp. 423–497). Elsevier Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-381460-9.00011-0>
- Trainor, L. J., & Unrau, A. (2012). Development of pitch and music perception. In L. Werner, R. Fay, & Popper, A. (Eds.), *Human auditory development* (pp. 223-254). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1421-6_8
- Trehub, S. E., Bull, D., & Thorpe, L. A. (1984). Infants' perception of melodies: The role of melodic contour. *Child Development*, 821-830. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1130133>
- Trehub, S.E., & Degé, F. (2016). Reflections on infants as musical connoisseurs. In G. McPherson (Ed.), *The child as musician: A handbook of musical development* (pp. 31-51). Oxford University Press.

- Vlach, H. A. (2019). Learning to remember words: Memory constraints as double-edged sword mechanisms of language development. *Child Development Perspectives*, 13(3), 159-165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12337>
- Vlach, H. A., Ankowski, A. A., & Sandhofer, C. M. (2012). At the same time or apart in time? The role of presentation timing and retrieval dynamics in generalization. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 38(1), 246–254. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025260>
- Vlach, H. A., Sandhofer, C. M., & Kornell, N. (2008). The spacing effect in children's memory and category induction. *Cognition*, 109(1), 163-167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2008.07.013>
- Warlaumont, A.S., Sobowale, K., & Fausey, C.M. (2022). Day-long mobile audio recordings reveal multi-timescale dynamics in infant vocal productions and auditory experiences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 31(1), 12-19. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zsj4u>
- Weisleder, A., & Fernald, A. (2013). Talking to children matters: Early language experience strengthens processing and builds vocabulary. *Psychological Science*, 24(11), 2143–2152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613488145>
- Yan, R., Jessani, G., Spelke, E., de Villiers, P., de Villiers, J., & Mehr, S. A. (2021). Across demographics and recent history, most parents sing to their infants and toddlers daily. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/fy5bh>
- Yu, C., & Smith, L. B. (2016). The social origins of sustained attention in one-year-old human infants. *Current Biology*, 26(9), 1235–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.03.026>
- Yu, C., & Smith, L. B. (2012). Embodied attention and word learning by toddlers. *Cognition*, 125(2), 244-262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2012.06.016>
- Yu, C., Suanda, S. H., & Smith, L. B. (2019). Infant sustained attention but not joint attention to objects at 9 months predicts vocabulary at 12 and 15 months. *Developmental Science*, 22, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12735>
- Zeithamova, D., Dominick, A. L., & Preston, A. R. (2012). Hippocampal and ventral medial prefrontal activation during retrieval-mediated learning supports novel inference. *Neuron*, 75(1), 168-179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.05.010>