AN ANALYTICAL STUDY ON SIGMUND FREUD'S CONCEPT OF PSYCHO-SEXUAL BASED ON ISLAMIC PSYCHOLOGY PERSPECTIVE

Septi Gumindari^{1*}, Ilman Nafi'a²

^{1,2}Lecturers of IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon, Indonesia

*Email: septigumindari@gmail.com

Science is the result of human construction that depends heavily on who created it. It is not neutral sex. It serves and reinforces the social values and concepts created by its own creator. When it is held tightly in the hands of men, then the bias ensured the experience of women will not be a source of knowledge. Women will only be the object of study, including in the study of psychology. This study examined critically Sigmund Freud's concept on Psychosexual suspected to have a view of the skewed view of the existence of female sexual organs, in addition to show the position of women as the second sex in the social order of society. From Islamic Psychology perspective, this research tried to address the issues of androcentrism and women's representation in Psychology, recognizing the different ways of thinking and knowledge of women and men, and considering women's life experiences.

Keywords: Gender, Psikology, Islam and Psycho-Sexual

INTRODUCTION

It can't be doubt that scientific discourse is one of the discourses which is very significant and should not be underestimated when discussing about gender equality. Through the theories of science, behavioral justice or equality bias can be socialized in the society. By the other words, As a means of dissemination of culture, science not only teaches child literacy, numbers and language, but also as a means of transmission of various ideology held by the creators of the science themselves, including gender ideology. Norms, ideologies and values transferred by the creators hiddently or directly, either through scientific concepts, as well as in theories manifested in values and gender norms that take place in the cultural community (Davies, 1996).

In this context, it is interesting to refer to Pierre Bourdieu's concept on "symbolic violence (Bordieu, 1990: 3). Symbolic violence is a violence that is invisible and is not perceived as a violence, but rather as something natural or reasonable. This symbolic violence has long been rooted in the activities of science by marginalizing one of sexes in building the body of science. While on the other hand, the public mindset led to believe, thatthe existence of science is value-free, objective, and not related to 'sex'. Science is, in short, always a 'neutral sex'. Yet, hidden within it is not so. Critics of feminism saw that in the development of science, theories of science have developed on what could becalled as a sex-blindness, because science sustaining it, is not neutral sex. It is very dependent on who created it.

Responding to the issue above, it is pertinent to lift the statements of the experts in this regard. Virginia Woolf in Sandra Harding (1987: 45) said that *"science is not a neutral sex. It is actually a man and a father."* In line with this opinion, Hugh Drummond in Sandra Harding (1987: 46-47) argued that *"science is clutched tightly in the hands of men while women along with the poor and the black women usually only investigated and never examined"* Carol Travis (1992) in her article, *The Mismeasure of Women*, and Carol Gilligan in his phenomenal book, *Women's Place in Men's Life Cycle* (1979) stated that *"Women have been lost in science even though as a research subject."*

Some samples of the experts' statement above seem to show that the men are the subjects of science. Men are the dominant epistemology maker. When the history showed that the science is clutched tightly in the hands of men, it could be ascertained that the truth claims of science was hanging on the male perspective. the consequences will be visible for a lot of the concepts of humanity in science inadequately measured because the standard of measurement is homogeneous samples which consist of men only. This is a real example of a real methodological fatality occurred from Androcentrism tendency in building a science.

Various studies reinforced the assumptions above. A research conducted by Misiyah (2006: 39-51) on *"Pengalaman Perempuan: Sumber Pengetahuan yang Membebaskan"* saw, that women's experiences are always considered too emotional and subjective to serve as a knowledge base. Their experiences can become knowledge if they are interpreted with the eyes of men. When women affirm the importance of considering their Experience and perspective in analytical activities and science development, they had to deal with claims of "unscientific."

The above research is strengthened by Saskia E. Wieringa (1999) which got research findings that Women experience is not seen as a legitimate basis for constructing a theory. Women's lives are not assessed. In fact, theories of social, economic, psychological and history sciences are based on the experiences of men. Similarly, critical analyzes done by Gadis Arivia (2003) in her study about Philosophy with Feminist perspective showed that one of the fatal errors come from male philosophers throughout the ages is their effort to always claim that their view of women as a universal one and that they have the legitimacy of their statements about women.

From these studies above, it can be concluded that science generally uses a male perspective as a reference. It just focuses on the problems of men and takes for granted that traditional gender roles. As revealed by Barbara Du Bois (1992: 13-15), that science would tend to "serve and strengthen social values and concepts of reality which are already dominant. This is where the symbolic violence is occurred. Symbolic violence is initiated by men with dictating how women to think, act and even how they speak. women should follow and obey to the categories of knowledge created by man. This is due not least because phallocentrism situation where men dominate knowledge, language, discourse, action, and become central criteria for everything.

Similarly in the context of the science of Psychology, the reality also shows the same thing. Psychological science becomes a struggle discourse which is collected, analyzed and summarized based the perspective of Psychologists androcentric regime. It was built based on experience, the expression of thought and perception of men. That's why the research on women's issues are often designed, interpreted and analyzed based on the masculine perspective. They were never discussed in women's experience. Life experiences, ideas concerns and needs of women have been relatively absent from the science of psychology research because people live in a world that prioritizes the masculine values, perspectives and knowledge becoming objective truth. Hence, the product of knowledge which is presented by the science of psychology became very masculine. so that, the inevitable impact comes when the formulation of knowledge is used as a reference for reading the symptoms that appear in everyday life, they found striking inequalities between groups of men and women.

One of the psychological theories that seem to gender bias occurs in Psychosexual theory initiated by Sigmund Freud (1908: 166-181). Freud saw the existence of the female sexual organ as a sign of their inferiority, in addition to indicate the position of women as the second sex in the social institutions of society. Freud's concept on '*Anatomy is destiny*' revealed that the female body is their destiny. Women's social life is largely determined by their biological conditions. Freud's thought was socialized more by his followers. One of them is Erikson who spoke of the uterus of the woman. According to Erikson, the uterus is a space in the female body recessed. The position of the uterus shows the social position of women is the same as the uterus which is located inside home not in the public area. In addition, the depth of the uterus will determine the attributes of women who are more oriented towards something inner which is associated with the womb itself, which has functions of caring for, maintaining and nurturing their babies (Jalaludin Rahmat. 1994).

Freud's theory which is no less interesting is his theory about penis envy. This theory is very fundamental in Gender mainstreaming discourse, but unfortunately this theory often escapes discussion. This is where the significance of this research is gaining momentum. This study not only tried to analyze things beyond mere exploration of the concepts of Sigmund Freud who rated ignore the existence of women in scientific experimentation, but also tried "to add women," term used by Sandra Harding (1989) in the study and analysis of science which is expected to be the main agenda of this study.

This research is very important because this study is an effort that is very significant in terms of complementary treasury of the science of Psychology, Gender and Islam. This study is expected to provide a special color to the dynamics of human development theory in life equally. In addition to initiate for the birth of the ideas of feminist methodology that are able to answer the inevitable existence between men and women based on Islamic Psychology Perspective. Besides, in practical terms, the results of this study are expected to be useful to overcome the problem of Androcentrism and representation of women in science, to recognize

the different ways of thinking and knowledgeable between women and men and to take into account women's experiences through the perspective of Islamic Psychology.

METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH

This research used qualitative methodology with a literature approach. And since this study explored gender bias in the Psycho-sexual thought of Sigmund Freud, the first and foremost sources are the monumental works of Sigmund Freud such as "Some psychical consequences of the anatomical distinction between sexes," "Totem and Taboo; Some Points of Agreement between The Mental Lives of Savages and Neurotic," "Civilized sexual morality and modern nervous illness," "Sexuality and the psychology of love." While the secondary sources are all literatures based on three multiple dicipline of Psychology, Islam and Gender that try to respond to Freud's thinking. As much as possible data is attempted to be obtained from primary sources, but it does not rule out the retrieval of data from secondary sources. To obtain an accurate interpretation about the content of the concept of Penis Envy Sigmund Freud, the author uses Gadamer's Hermeunetic approach. While in analyzing the data, the researcher uses content analysis.

DISCUSSION

A. Sigmund Freud and his Concept on *Penis Envy*.

Sigmund Freud is a smart, brave and analytical psychologist. During the period 1901-1905, he published such books as *The Psychopathology of Everyday Life* (1901), *Three Essays on Sexuality* (1905), and *Jokes and Their Relation to the Unconscious* (1905) (Suryabrata Sumadi. 2010: 122). In 1905, he shocked the world with his concept of Psychosexual Development. This theory said that sexuality is the strongest motivating factor for human to do something and even in ages of children, they are attracted to sexual needs (Copleston. 1963: 123-124). Besides, Freud also has another controversial theory which is called by the theory of Penis Envy.

Penis envy is a theory appeared in the 1800s and early 1900s. Penis departing from the word phallic which means the phallus. Penis Envy departing from the English language, which means jealousy to the penis. In this theory, Freud concluded that the sexual development of young girls is driven by jealousy for not having a penis (Penis Envy). The jealousy of the penis occurs when a female child changes her object of love from her mother asthefirst one to her father. This transition occurs when the girl realizes that she does not have a penis that is clearly visible, prominent and like a big brother or playmate. Soon the girls confront the sexual organ is small and hidden (the clitoris) and inferior when compared with penis which is superior. This continues until they grow to be adult females. Freud concluded that the women were created because of the jealousy of the penis (penis-envy) owned by men who further strengthen women position and their role oin the public area (Freud, 1963: 33).

Genealogy of Freud's thought above was actually inspired from the story of Oedipus. Therefore, Freud's theory is also called by the Oedipus complex. Oedipus is a boy who is in love and sexual desire peak towards his mother. Oedipus was originally viewed his father as a rival in obtaining maternal affection. But when he saw the clitoris owned by her mother and sister different from his, he thought that it had been castrated by his father and he became afraid to be castrated. then, he tried to identify with his father by following the rules and values belonged to the father. He is no longer against his father and making him as an idol.

Afraid of being castrated by father, it pushes boys to obey for a law-abiding father. By obeying a law-abiding father, the boys actually learn to control the desire for their mother and be patient waiting for their turn to get their own someday. Therefore, Fear of being castrated, it allows the boys develop into mature males (adults). They were able to control themselves, morality, and can learn to follow the rules applied in society. According to Freud, it will be a provision for the boys to enter into the community, to actively organize even politics.

Unlike the boys, girls do not get this Oedipus complex. They did not feel a fear of being castrated as experienced by boys. Just like the boys, the mother is also the object of the first love for girls. This is reasonable considering the first psychosexual stages, namely oral, both boys and girls get satisfaction from their mother, especially through the mother's breast that carries food. However, When looking at girls', mothers' n any other girls' clitoris, asw well as comparing penis belonging to boys, the girls actually believed that theirs had been castrated by the fathers. Recognizing this, the girls should feel contempt for their mother, and only then they turned their love for father. Girls made their father as the object of love and make their mothers as the objects of envy. This transfer process is emphasized by Freud. According to him, women can at any time go back to the origin of the object of love. Therefore, women are most likely to be a lesbian.

In the process of changing the object of love from the mother to the father, then the sexual gratification of women changed from the clitoris to the vagina. Clitoris is similar to the penis although it has been castrated. Clitoris is an active sexuality in Freud's view while the vagina is something passive, requiring the penis to achieve satisfaction. So when the girls divert the object of love to the man, they lose their masculinity (active) and began to take on feminine values (passive) (Freud, 1963: 33-35).

The transfer of the clitoris to the vagina can be a forerunner to the emergence of neuroticism (a psychiatric disorder) for women, if women can not pass it well. There is a possibility of adult women will return to the satisfaction of clitoral masturbation as at the age of 4-6 years in phallic stage. Women also can be frigid, ending the sexuality of boredom for keep pressing their clitoris desire. Therefore, in the perspective of Freud, women will be easier to be neurotic than men because of their sexual satisfaction changed from the clitoris

to the vagina while the men remained on their penis. Furthermore, this neurotic woman would be difficult to heal despite treatment. This is because the *superego* is not well developed, so that they become rigid and can not use the chances for available recovery eventhough they are treated by therapy (M. Sadker and D. Sadker. 1994: 271–303) .

In other words, because the girls have never experienced the fear of being castrated, as experienced by boys, girls' *superego* never develop properly. Because the *superego* does not develop, the development of social cognition was also to be blocked. Girls will always be a weak human, who never learned to obey the father's laws, and finally unable to participate in the public sphere. Women only can stay in domestic region, namely at home and be a wife and mother (Freud, 1918).

Furthermore, according to Freud, jealousy daughters of the penis, transferred in adult with a desire to have a baby, as a substitute of penis. Being a mother and childbirth, according to Freud, can replace the loss of the penis for women. Especially if the child is male, which can be used by the mother as the realization of ambitions that have been suppressed when she should shift clitoral satisfaction (active, masculine) to the vagina (the passive, feminine) (Gilligan, 1982: 4).

In fact, according to Freud, even if the penis can be replaced with a baby, jealousy of the penis itself has long-term consequences on women. Freud called it a remnant / residue from jealousy of the penis (residual of Penis Envy). This residue appears in three forms. *Firstly*, women will become narcissistic. Women have a strong desire to be loved, a desire which is passive because they have transferred their sexual purpose of the clitoris to the vagina. *Secondly*, women will face the empty/loneliness so that they will always focus on their physical appearance. An attractive physical appearance becomes a tool to cover its shortcomings on the penis which they dont have. *Finally*, women have a big and exaggerated ashamed. So that they needed a private room to change clothes because they were embarrassed forhaving been castrated (Freud, 1908: 166-181).

From Those explanations above, it can be concluded that in Freud's view, the development of men and women began to differ on the phallic stage. Oedipus complex is experienced by boys, but not experienced by girls. The impact of not going through the Oedipus complex brings a very significant influence in the development of women. The Oedipus complex in the context of the women is called the Elektra complex. Elektra complex describes on how the girls loved their father and took their mother's values. By becoming like her mother, daughter believes will gain their father's love just like her mother.

B. Bias Gender Constructed in Freud's Concept on Human Psycho-Sexual Development from Islamic Perspective

This paper is intended to open up a more comprehensive understanding of the concept of sexual development, in particular the concept of Freud's Penis

Envy. Hopefully, through this study, psychologists after Freud do no longer make mistakes in using Freud's theories, no longer discuss the development of the theory of women with male development. Because if it is done by generalizing Freud's theory for all women and men, they will have actually done a methodological error.

Based on the study of documentation, there are some bias genders occurred in Freud's concepts on human psychosexual development such as:

1) Anatomy is Destiny

'Anatomy is destiny' is the main concept underlying the presence of Sigmund Freud's thought that is assumed as bias equality. According to Freud, the biological organ of men and women is something given (destiny), which also determines the existence of women and men in social role. In this theory, Freud noticed that the existence of the sexual organs of women who do not have a penis is a sign of inferiority of women, in addition to indicate the position of women as second sex, being passive, inferior and powerless in society. On contrary, males are superior in social life because of the presence of the penis (Sulloway. 1979: 56)

In Freud's view, penis is symbolized as strength/power, which distinguishes the authority of the sexes. Freud directs women to believe wrongly, that the women were disabled due to the absence of a penis in their bodies. In the absence of a penis, women always feel inferior, passive, uncomfortable and dissatisfied. The penis is capable of satisfying the sexual organs of women and make them comfortable. That is why women are always jealous to the penis of men. Women are just being imperfect (defect). Women will only be complete if the existence of women's sexuality is determined in relation to men, not because women have their own identity.

And ironically, as compensation for physical limitations of women, Freud stimulated the penis replacement pattern of a baby that is so wanted by women through their wombs. Through this pattern of view, in fact, Freud has been wooing women to get into the trap of feminine mystique. Freud has encouraged women to become receptive passive, dependent on others, and always ready to achieve what should be the "ultimate aim" of their sexual life, namely the desire for pregnant women and mothers (Wollheim, R. (ed.) 1974: 23).

Freud's view of anatomy is destiny, of course, is very pessimistic in view of the existence of female sexuality. The concept of psychoanalysis is very phallocentrism. Some feminists and homosexuals did not agree with the concept. They said that the concept of psychoanalysis is very phallocentric where the penis becomes a symbol of male which is too adorable and regarded as the main center of attention for boys. Meanwhile, women who do not have a penis are second class and are assumed as incomplete human. Vagina is regarded as a "defects" organ without penis. The existence of penis is something desirable and powerful for women to be envied for having it.

We get to believe that both men and women are basically the same. All humans are born with strengths and weaknesses. In other words, the biological organs of women and men basically have the same function satisfactorily. Not only the penis that can satisfy the needs of female sexuality, the female biological matter, vagina, of course, can satisfy the sexual needs of men. Both biological organs inherent in the women and men created to complement each other, and perform the function of breeding out for the next human generation. Although physical, sexual organs of men and women are different, but the differences should not be used as an excuse to discriminate and treat them differently in all aspects of life.

Moreover, inferiority and superiority are the strengths and weaknesses that are owned by both sexes; boy and girl. Does not human infantile experience on powerlessness and "inferiority" become the source of human's lifetime to struggle in general against the feeling of powerlessness? In an effort to achieve superiority, the experience of un-empowerment is merely specified by the fact that some people have a penis, and some others have a vagina.

In addition to have a weaknesses, every human has "creative potentation" which provides a number of possible meanings of the "destiny" of human biological. Humans are a species that is shaped more by the outlook of human themselves about the future rather than always conditioned by their past. Human biological organs is definitely a destiny of human when they were merely the material used to form the human into the uniqueness of each and not to respond with a discrimination.

Furthermore, as the pioneer of psychoanalysis, Freud stated that our anatomy is our destiny. Women with their anatomy, were born to be mothers. Anatomy which Freud meant is that women do not have a penis, and only had a clitoris, Freud called clitoris as a penis that has been castrated. Because of his penis had been castrated, women were no longer afraid castrated by their father. What emerged instead is women feeling of inferiority because their penis had been castrated and jealous of the penis which is owned by men.

Women's desire to have a penis will be replaced with the desire to have a baby. So the baby is considered as a substitute for the penis that will never possessed by woman. Baby is a source of satisfaction for women due to have a baby, woman has a penis that has been wanted. Especially if the baby is a male, because of the male baby, woman can realize the ambition of masculinity that has been suppressed.

Fortunately psychology was developed with the emergence of intellectual personalities who are more positive in view life, especially the lives of women. such Psychologies as Karen Horney, Alfred Adler, and Clara Thompson stating that the female body is not destiny. According to them, human life can not be determined by biological conditions. Each individual

has the urge within his/herself to grow with better beyond what she/he can do with his/her biological conditions (Karen Horney. 1937: 11).

In view of Adler, women are not merely seen as the uterus and vagina, but rather to women who may struggle to actualize themselves. Human identity, particularly in the case of women, is not a single identity, which is to be a mother (Schultz. 1993: 106). Patricia W. Linville, a social psychologist, stated that healthy human must have a self complexity. with another sentence, human must have a variety of roles to show the diverse identities (Patricia W. Linville. 1987: 663-676).

Linville found that women can and even should undergo many roles besides being a wife and mother. The diversity of the role that women will actually keep them from prolonged stress conditions when one of their purposes is no longer functioning. Borrowing the term of Linville, self complexity will be retaining (buffer) for a woman when they lose one of their purposes. Women who attach their identity just as a mother would be depressed when they are unable to fulfill its function as a mother. Their pride eroded, as was no longer worth as a woman (Patricia W. Linville. 1985, 94-120).

It needs the support of the social order in the treatment of women. Often, women who can not be pregnant even more depressed because of negative views surrounding communities. It was time for people to adopt new values which are more positive in view of the functioning of the female. Women do not have to be mothers to be the perfect women. Women are human beings, and every human being has the right to define herself in efforts to achieve perfection.

2) Men's Superego Develop More Than Women

As is it mentioned earlier, that Freud used two terms: Oedipus and Elektra complexes story. From both concepts, women are marginalized as the second sex and their superego never develop properly. Because women are not castrated, they do not develop fear of the father so that they did not internalize the values and laws of their fathers. Consequently, superego is not formed for women. Then, women are not able to develop morality that allows them to live in a society. Therefore, Freud decided the duties of women is in the home, being a wife and mother, not in the general public. Because women superego does not develop, the development of social cognition was also to be blocked. Girls will always be a weak human, who never learned to obey the father's laws, and finally unable to participate in the public sphere. Women only can stay in domestic region, namely home and be a wife and mother (Abramson, J.B. 1984).

From the explanation above, it can be concluded that Freud believed that human *superego* development (social moral adaptability) is strongly influenced by biological factors and childhood experiences. Freud had less attention, if we want to say that he denied the role of the environment in the broad sense that also has contributed to the development of one's *superego*.

It is true that "biological predisposition" has an influence on the growth and development of human *superego*, but there are other factors, which should also be remembered. There are some other factors helping human shaping their moral and social behaviors in the future such as the role of the environment, education, and social relationships between children and parents and so forth. In other words, development of human *superego* can not be explained solely by their biological factors or congenital/descent (Heredity) but also by the process of learning, the social environment in which human was living and family treatment.

Embodiment of girls *superego* development is determined by the inner and outer individual that is called by the environment, with engineering impersonal and directive. In this case, a family environment and the surrounding communities have been shown to determine the level of quality and the future behavior of a student. Parents or family Factors especially the nature and circumstances will determine the future direction of development of the students they proclaim. The nature of parents (parent trait) is a distinctive style in the act, perceive, think about, and to treat the child. For example, the child who is not wanted (for example due to promiscuity), this would lead to attitude and treatment of parents who are refused (parental rejection). On the contrary, if the attitude of parents is overprotected to the children, it can also interfere with children's development. Behavior excessively spoil the child, according to research results of Chazen, et.al. (1983) turned out to be closely linked to deviant behavior and social inability of children at a later date.

If reality shows that male's *superego* is more evolved beyond women, it must be considered as it was the social formation that strengthen families and the environment, not because of biological factors alone. However, if the patriarchal mindset internalized in the institution of family and community perspective, it can be sure that the access of women and men will also be differentiated from the beginning. The traditions of various regions showed that boy got better treatment than girls. This is influenced by social construction that put girls lower than boys in various life participation. The social construction is already embedded such persons into society in general. A general understanding, a man has the right and is supposed to have a free, gets wider opportunities than women. Demands and values which are determined by the public have necessitated a man to be smarter, richer, more powerful than a woman. As a result, all attention and treatment given to each of the two sexes, male and female are also adjusted and geared to meet these demands.

Special treatment for boys socialized in family education, where boys From the time they grew up, they had to be separated from their mother. They were not allowed to behave weepy, sensitive, emotional. Boys are constructed to be independent and assertive. While girls are treated differently. Girls amplified sensitivity. Girls are allowed to be spoiled, whiny and sensitive in domestic chores such as helping mothers in household chores.

The environment created within the family environment reinforces the social conditions that discriminate between the sexes: girls and boys. The social conditions which in turn prepares boys for more tough, have a superego (social skills) in the public sector are better than women. Therefore, this reality is not present due to biological factors alone, but also is constructed by social factors (family), which then continued in the larger social sphere, such as society.

In other words, it can be concluded that the various social construction above actually came from the understanding and efforts were made, intentionally or not, to direct the men to be masculine and women as feminine. This understanding and businesses in the form of socialization patterns that run in evolution and ultimately affect their biological gender. The socialization in turn will affect the emotional development, vision, ideology and superego women in addition to the physical and biological. Socialization as it happens and is formed from an early age through four institutions, namely the family, school or formal education, mass media, and language.

If a girl gets an adequate opportunity to study political science, of course she would be a politician. Because she has a learning experience in the field of politics, she will never be a musician, even though her parents are musician. Another example, a group of people who live in slums with the ability of the economy under the average and no public facilities such as mosques, schools, and sports fields has proven to be fertile ground for the growth of juvenile delinquents. Children in this kind of environment did not have enough reasons to not be brutal, especially when their parents are less or are not educated.

In Islamic perspective, the role of the environment is also recognized as one of the determinants of the development of the human. Many verses of the Koran describe the role of the environment, such as the hadith in which the Prophet Muhammad showed how friends can influence the behavior of all people surrounding them, characters and actions. By giving the syllogism, the Prophet Muhammad said:

مَثَلُ الْجَلِيسِ الصَّالِحِ وَالْجَلِيسِ السَّوِّءِ كَمَثَلِ صَاحِبِ الْمِسْكِ وَكَبِيرِ الْحَدَّادِ لَا يَعْدَمُكَ مِنْ صَاحِبِ الْمِسْكِ إِلَّا مَا تَشْتَرِيهِ أَوْ تَبْجُدُ رِيحَهُ وَكَبِيرِ الْحَدَّادِ يُحْرِقُ بَدَنَكَ أَوْ تُؤَبِّكَ أَوْ تَبْجُدُ مِنْهُ رِيحًا خَبِيثَةً

"Equation of good and bad friends is like musk oil trader and fire blowers blacksmith. The musk oil traders will probably give you, or you buy them, or at least you can get the scent of it, but the fire blowers blacksmith may make your clothes catch fire, you will get an unpleasant smell of him." (HR. Bukhari)

3) Some stereotypes of women as Residual effects of Penis Envy

Freud's concepts on Penis Envy presented some stereotypes for women such as (1) women will become narcissistic. They have a strong desire to be loved. (2) women will face the emptiness/loneliness so that they

will always focus on their physical appearance. An attractive physical appearance becomes a tool to cover its shortcomings on the penis which they don't have. (3) women have a big and exaggerated ashamed. So that they needed a private room to change clothes because they were embarrassed for having penis that have been castrated (Rueuben Fine. 1963: 72).

Some difference responses on women's attitudes described above in terms of gender are also called stereotypes. The negative stereotypes of course

detrimental to women. Because if there are women who do not conform with the labeling, they will be issued and bullied by people surround them. For example, girls who have aggressive attitudes and do not like to dress considered as less feminine. they will Often get burlesque as masculine or not seldom become the target of violence from their friends.

It can be said elaboratively, that gender identity, gender behavior, and sexual orientation of women (and men) are not the result of a biological fact, it is the result of social values. Biology is not destiny that women are always conditioned to be able to play only in the private sphere. The absence of female penis becomes important only because people are more likely to favor men than women socially. The identity of the woman and the man did not appear on the biology of men and women who regularly, but it appears the idea of social change on a continuous sense of being male and female.

Freud's view above, of course, is very pessimistic in looking at the existence of women's sexuality. it can be seen clearly, that in constructing his concept, Freud ignored a lot women's abilities and their real experiences. His theoretical experimentation was merely built on the basis of male's life experience and subjectivity of thought which were highly misogynistic. Freud has released women from all over the explanation of their existence and potential. In Freud's construction of knowledge, women have been excluded from science and were never included in the explanations about it. Helplessness of women are explored and dictated: made into an object of study.

Freud had initiated psychologists and society after his life, that gender inequality is a necessity that comes from childhood experiences of both sexes. His point of view effected to not only the way men see themselves as masculine, and women see themselves as feminine, but also the way the public perceived that masculinity is better than femininity. Instead of providing a new understanding, Freud, is considered by the Feminist Psychology, assumed even perpetuate inequality relationships between men and women. Freud's explanation above gave a way and moreover inspired men to subordinate women.

In the guidance of Islam, men and women are undifferentiated. Both sexes have the same equality as well as the potential capacity to achieve the highest stratification in front of God. Both have the potential and the

opportunity to be an ideal creature ('abd). Koran asserted that (1) men and women alike as slaves, (2) men and women alike as caliph, (3) men and women accept primordial agreement , and (4) male and female have potentions to get achievement. The distinguished between creatures, in the holy Koran is at the level of piety to God, not on gender differences or ethnicity. Besides, God also gave the same award between positive work done by men of women, the same price (QS. al-Ahzab: 35).

Nasarudin Umar stated that Islam has always had its own definition of women's rights, as well as a clear definition of the position of women. Despite having biological differences but Islam has the same status as the ethical-moral. According to the Koran, the reason of equality of both man and woman is that both were created to live together within the framework of mutual love and recognize each other. In the Qur'an, man and woman would come from one self, at the same time and in the same way, they are similar and come from the same source (Nasaruddin Umar. 1999: 12).

5) Oedipus and Electra Complexes

Instead of that, Freud's concept of the Oedipus Complex is not real. Many theorists and scientists who do not agree with the concept of the Oedipus Complex as lifted from the Greek myths and fairy tales that are not clear for its originality and never existed in real life (Rudnytsky, P.L. 1987: 55). Nevertheless, Freud had his own reasons why Oedipus Complex is considered highly relevant to real life and the psychosexual development of boys. Freud thought that fairy tales and myths is actually a thing that reflects the collective unconscious of man, and is something fundamentally truths veiled in real life. Fairy tale is also an expression of the human past experience repressed unconscious. Theorists deny that the fairy tale is a collective unconscious repressed unconscious sphere (Bell, Robert E. 1991:177-78). Fairy tale is the fruit of human imagination and creativity that has nothing to do with the actual conditions explicitly.

On the other hands, the concept of Electra Complex is only as a supplement. Oedipus complex is a concept created by Freud deliberately and mature. Meanwhile, to complete his theory, he created electra complex which is really only intended as a complement Oedipus theory to be considered as a theory that is not biased. In fact, feminists do not necessarily agree with the Oedipus complex, especially with electra complex that has obviously only a theory created to complement the Oedipus complex.

CLOSING

Freud's thought on human psychosexual development was not born in a vacuum space. Freud's thinking is definitely also influenced by social and cultural conditions in which Freud was born. Hence, according to Betty Freidan statement, Freud's notion is formed by the culture which he described as a Victorian and patriarchy; social and cultural conditions in which the male figure is the dominant figure over women in various ways (Susan Oliver. 2008: 34). Men are the most

superior human types. When co-opted by the mainstream thinking patterns and patriarchal Victorian view of the above, it can be ascertained if then Freud's concepts looked more exalting the male figure and despise the existence of women. With this condition, of course standpoint of Freud's thought is a scientist who is not able to think objectively, because he got some difficulties to release the standpoint of patriarchy in each postulate and theory.

However, his theory of the development of human sexuality, is considered by the feminist psychologist, exalts the figure of a man and despise the existence of women. However, Freud's thoughts on the relation between men and women as it is mentioned above is very bias and patriarchal. It should not be blamed on the fact that Freud lived during the reign of queen victoria in which at that time, gender inequity has not materialized. Men made a lot of norms and standards in their life and society, while women only functioned as the subordinate group.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, Irwan. (2000). *Seks, Gender dan Reproduksi Perempuan*. Yogyakarta: Tarawang Press.
- Abramson, J.B. (1984). *Liberation and Its Limits: The Moral and Political Thought of Freud*. New York: Free Press, Look at Deigh, J. 1996. *The Sources of Moral Agency: Essays in Moral Psychology and Freudian Theory*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Aldridge, J.D. Sexton, B. Booker, and C. Holley. (1996). "Expanding the theoretical and pedagogical foundations of early childhood education and early intervention," *College Student Journal*, vol. 30, p. 345-348.
- Arivia, Gadis. (2003). *Filsafat berperspektif Feminis*. Jakarta: Yayasan Jurnal Perempuan.
- Bell, Robert E. (1991) *Women of Classical Mythology: A Biographical Dictionary*. California: Oxford University Press, p.177-78
- Bordieu, Pierre. (1990). *An Introduction to the work of Pierre Bordieu*. London: Macmillan Press Ltd.
- Brinich, Paul and Christopher Shelley. (2002). *The Self and Personality Structure*. Buckingham, p. 61.
- Copleston. (1963). *A History of Philosophy*, Volume 7 Part 2, From Schopenhauer to Nietzsche, New York, Image books, p. 123.
- Davies, Susan E. (1996). "Education", in Letty M. Russell dan Shannon Clarkson (eds.), *Dictionary of Feminist theologies*, Louisville, Kentucky: Westminster John Knox Press.
- Fine, Rueuben. (1963). *Freud; A Critical Re-Evaluation of His Theories*. London: George Allen & Unwin Ltd., p. 72.
- Freud, Sigmund. (1908). "Civilized sexual morality and modern nervous illness," in *Freud On Women: A Reader*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton, p. 166-181.
- Freud, Sigmund. (1925). *Some psychical consequences of the anatomical distinction between the sexes*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton.
- Freud, Sigmund. (1918). *Totem and taboo*. New York: Moffat, Yard & Co.
- Freud, Sigmund. (1963). *Sexuality and the psychology of love*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton. p. 33.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development*. vol. 326. Harvard University Press.
- Harding, Sandra. (1989). "Is There Feminist Method" dalam Nancy Tuana (ed), *Feminism and Science*. USA: Indiana University Press.
- Harding, Sandra. (ed.), (1987). *Feminism and Methodology: Social Sciences Issue*. Loomington and Indianapolis, Indiana University Press, p. 46-47.
- Horney, Karen. (1937). *The Neurotic Personality of Our Time*. New York: Norton.
- Horney, Karen. (1945). *Our Inner Conflicts: A Constructive Theory of Neurosis*. New York: Norton and 1950. *Neurosis and Human Growth*. New York: Norton.
- Jones, E. (1954). *Sigmund Freud: Life and Work* (3 vols), Basic Books, 1953-1957.
- Linville, Patricia W. (1985). *Self-Complexity and Affective Extremity: Don't Put All Your Eggs in One Cognitive Basket in Social Cognition*, 3: 94-120.

- Linville, Patricia W. (1987). *Self-Complexity as a cognitive Buffer Against Stress-Related Illness and Depression in Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 52: 663-676.
- Sadker, M. and D. Sadker. (1994). *Failing at fairness: How our schools cheat girls*. New York: Touchstone, p. 271-303.
- Misiyah. (2006). "Pengalaman Perempuan: Sumber Pengetahuan yang Membebaskan" dalam *Jurnal Perempuan*, No. 48. p. 39-51.
- Oliver, Susan. (2008). *Betty Freudian: The Personal is Political*. University of Virginia: Pear Longman, p. 34.
- Rahmat, Jalaludin. (1994). "Dari Psikologi Androsentris ke Psikologi Feminis: Membongkar Mitos-mitos tentang Perempuan" in *Jurnal Ulumul Qur'an* No. 5 & 6, Vol V.
- Reinharz, Shulamit. (1992). *Feminist Research Methods in Social Research*. Oxford: Oxford Uni Press.
- Rudnytsky, P.L. (1987). *Freud and Oedipus*. Columbia University Press, p. 55.
- Schultz and Schultz. (1993). *Theories of Personality*. California: Brooks/ Cole Publishing Company. p. 106.
- Sulloway. 1979. F. *Freud; Biologist of the Mind*. Basic Books, p. 56.
- Sumadi, Suryabrata. (2010). *Psikologi Kepribadian*. Jakarta: Rajagrafindo Persada, p.122.
- Tong, Rosemarie. (2009). *Feminist Thought: A More Comprehensive Introduction*. Westview Press, p. 9.
- Travis, Carol. (1992). *The Mismeasure of Women*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Umar, Nasaruddin. (1999). *Argumen Kesetaraan Gender*. Jakarta: Paramadina, p. 12.
- Wieringa, Saskia Elonora. 1999. *Penghancuran Gerakan Perempuan Indonesia*. Jakarta: Garba Budaya.
- Wollheim, R. (ed.). (1974). *Freud: A Collection of Critical Essays*. Anchor, p. 23.
- Yusuf, Syamsu dan Achmad Juntika Nurihsan. 2011. *Teori Kepribadian*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya, p. 50-59.