**Parent-reported social-communication changes in Children diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder
during the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK.**

Phoebe O. Morris¹, Edward Hope¹, Tom Foulsham² and John P. Mills¹

¹ School of Sport, Exercise Science and Rehabilitation, University of Essex

² Department of Psychology, University of Essex

*At time of submission to PsyArXiv (06/01/2021) this document is a preprint and has not yet undergone the
peer-review process*

Author Note

Author list: Phoebe Morris; Edward Hope; Tom Foulsham ; John P. Mills
University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex, CO34SQ, United Kingdom

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Corresponding Author: Phoebe Morris

E: phoebe.morris@essex.ac.uk

Permanent Address: School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Science, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex, CO34SQ, United Kingdom.

Abstract

The current coronavirus pandemic has swept across the United Kingdom (UK), causing the devolved governments to implement nationwide lockdowns and local restrictions. Given the ever-evolving situation, little is known about the repercussions of coronavirus and the subsequent lockdowns for children diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). However, the hallmark features of ASD, such as chronic social-communication challenges, put autistic children at greater risk of being negatively impacted by the coronavirus pandemic. Therefore, this study explores the social-communicative impact of the first lockdown (March 2020 – July 2020) in the UK and the return to school period (September 2020 – October 2020), following prolonged disruption to routine, in children diagnosed with ASD. 176 parents of autistic children from across the UK completed 2 separate online surveys. The results suggested that self-regulation skills ($P < .05$) and co-operation skills ($P < .05$) were most affected over the course of the lockdown. Additionally, children's physical activity levels were perceived to significantly increase during the return to school ($P < .0001$), and this was positively associated with better social-communication outcomes ($P < .05$). The results also suggested the importance of school support ($P < .01$), maintaining contact with friends and family during the lockdown period ($P < .01$), and also attending school regularly during the return to school period ($P < .01$) for protecting against social skills worsening during these times. Future work is needed to confirm and further explore the findings of this study. Such work could be implemented to protect and improve the social-communicative outcomes of autistic children.

1. Introduction

1.1 Coronavirus

The current coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has spread rapidly across the world, causing much stress and uncertainty for all. Whilst medical doctors, researchers, epidemiologists, chemists, and many others work tirelessly to find an end to COVID-19, the short- and long-term impacts of the pandemic for certain populations, such as those living with physical or mental disabilities, seem somewhat overlooked (Crane et al., 2020). A saying that epitomises the current situation is - “*We may all be in the same storm, but we do not all have the same boats*” (Unknown, n.d). There have been millions of confirmed COVID-19 cases worldwide, and whilst most individuals have recovered, sadly some have lost their lives to the virus. The lasting effects of COVID-19 in those who have recovered are still unknown; although, it has been predicated some long-term complications may occur in the heart (Xiong et al., 2020), lungs (Salehi et al., 2020), and brain (Desforges et al., 2020; Pereira, 2020) of some individuals. However, most individuals diagnosed with COVID-19 are expected to make a full recovery. In contrast, the alternative lasting effects of COVID-19 on the economy and in the social world we live in are deemed to be significant and long-standing (Lopes & Jaspal, 2020; Nicola et al., 2020; Ozili & Arun, 2020; Torales et al., 2020).

On March 23rd the United Kingdom’s (UK) Government imposed a nationwide lockdown; requiring everyone to remain within the four walls of their home, unless for essential trips to the shops or pharmacy, essential work, and limited exercise. The introduction of social distancing, which is now referred to as ‘physical distancing’, caused families and friends to not see each other for months, hospital and social care visits to be cancelled, and restaurants, pubs, and clubs to close (Aminnejad & Alikhani, 2020). Whilst physical distancing is believed to reduce the spread of the virus, the negative side effects of participating in physical distancing should not be underestimated (Chu et al., 2020). Over long periods, social isolation can lead to the increased risk of a variety of health problems, including heart disease, mental health decline, depression, dementia, and in severe cases – mortality (Alcaraz et al., 2019; Pantell et al., 2013). For example, a 2010 meta-analysis determined that chronic social isolation increased the risk of death by 29%, highlighting the severe consequences of social isolation (Miller, 2010; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2010). In a more recent study, ‘physical distancing’ introduced as a result of COVID-19 caused elevated feelings of anxiety and depression amongst the general public (Williams et al., 2020). Furthermore, believing you had/have COVID-19 symptomology and being exposed to the constant media coverage regarding the pandemic also increased the risk of depression and anxiety disorders in a sample of UK residents (Shevlin et al., 2020).

1.1.1 School Closures

Schools provide an ideal environment for the development of communication and social skills, whether that be in the classroom or during break-times. School time allows children to safely explore independence and leadership; and to form long-lasting relationships with their peers and teachers (Eccles, 1999). However, alongside the majority of public and hospitality sectors being forced to close, many schools closed their doors, only remaining open for vulnerable pupils, special education needs and disability (SEND) children, and those of key workers during the nationwide lockdown. It is believed the school closures have now affected the education of over 80% of children worldwide (Lancker & Parolin, 2020). There is still ongoing scientific debate regarding the effectiveness of school closures on viral transmission, despite a desire for schools to be kept open for the 20/21 academic year in the UK (Cohen & Kupferschmidt, 2020). Nonetheless, most schools in the UK closed for a long period of time and children missed a considerable proportion of their 2019/2020 summer-term. The school closures across the UK could have had detrimental educational and social consequences for children across the country (Dorn et al., 2020). For many children, these consequences are likely to be exacerbated when living in poverty or with a disability (Lancker & Parolin, 2020).

1.2 Coronavirus and Autistic Children

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is the medical term used to describe common neurodevelopmental conditions characterised by chronic social communication challenges and restricted, repetitive, and inflexible patterns of behaviour, interests, and activities (Bell, 1994; Lyall et al., 2017). Although we appreciate some individuals prefer the term Autism Spectrum Condition, we have decided to use the term ASD to fit in line with the National Health Service's description (NHS, 2019). Additionally, we have opted to use both the identity-first language and person-first language, for describing an autistic individual, interchangeably throughout the manuscript (Kenny et al., 2016).

Understandably given the ever-evolving situation, little is known about the repercussions of COVID-19 and the subsequent lockdown for children diagnosed with ASD. However, the hallmark features of ASD put autistic children at greater risk of being negatively impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (Bellomo et al., 2020). Already experiencing significant deficits in social-communicative behaviours, the resultant lockdown and change to routine may have imparted unique difficulties for autistic children.

It is currently estimated that one in every 100 children in the UK has an ASD diagnosis (Green et al., 2005). Many of these children and their families require some form of additional support, such as; educational, occupational, or behavioural support, alongside support for carers and parents. However, care needs vary substantially between autistic children, families with different needs, and across an autistic person's lifespan, owing to the large heterogeneity and spectrum of the disorder (Lai et al., 2020). The recent COVID-19 pandemic has greatly altered how local communities and the wider global society operate, impacting autistic children and their families, alongside clinical autism services and research progress (Amaral & de Vries, 2020). Furthermore, the disruption to schools, minimised contact with peers, and reduced face-to-face support may worsen the social communication challenges already experienced by a larger number of children living with ASD. A recent study, which surveyed 527 parents and guardians of ASD individuals, demonstrated that ASD children who did not receive school support since the start of the COVID-19 outbreak expressed significantly more intense behavioural problems than those who did (Colizzi et al., 2020). This suggests that maintaining contact with schools during the emergency had an important impact. However, the effect of receiving school support on social development and communication skills of autistic children was not evaluated, despite poor communication skills being a core symptom of ASD.

Since the closure of schools and rehabilitation centres, the required practical aid for the physical, social and educational needs of ASD children has not been reached, or at least not at the level it was pre-pandemic (Narzisi, 2020). The very nature of social isolation during the lockdown made immersing autistic children in caring, supportive environments that gently challenged their social development near impossible to do with anyone other than their immediate family members (Bellomo et al., 2020). Therefore, the social development of autistic children may have been impeded during the COVID-19 pandemic, which could have lasting effects into adolescence and adulthood. In contrast, however, a recent study by Renzo et al. (2020) indicated that despite behavioural problems worsening and symptomology intensifying in autistic children during the pandemic, parents reported improvements in their communicative-relationships with their children. This suggests that the UK lockdown may have improved some social skills for children diagnosed with ASD. As a result, it is unclear what the actual impact of COVID-19 and the lockdowns may be for children diagnosed with ASD. Therefore, research priorities such as attempting to understand the impact of COVID-19, whether positive or negative, on the social development of autistic children are needed. Furthermore, understanding the individual variability in the developmental trajectories and the outcomes associated with autism that

existed before and as a consequence of, the COVID-19 outbreak will be of importance to the field during this time (Amaral & de Vries, 2020).

1.3 Coronavirus, Autistic Children, and Physical Activity

Physical distancing and the ‘stay-at-home’ orders issued in cities across the globe have reduced the opportunities for physical activity among children. Although the UK government allowed individuals to partake in one daily exercise routine outside during the height of the first lockdown, they placed a strong emphasis on walking, jogging, and running, requesting people not to stop to rest in public spaces. It is recognised that most children are more likely to engage in physical activity through play; for example, chasing, climbing, or scooting, than they are through a linear activity like jogging (Russell & Stenning, 2020). Therefore, engaging in exercise may have been difficult for many children during the height of the pandemic in the UK, due to restrictions on being outside the house and with other children; for example, if they were an only child. In addition, sedentary activities and screen-time increased as a result of the viral outbreak, with the available evidence demonstrating that online video gaming usage in children soared due to the lockdown measures (Rundle et al., 2020).

During the pandemic, low levels of physical activity have been associated with poor mental health in typically developing children; including, increased depression, anxiety, and irritability (Olive et al., 2020). Previous research indicates that low levels of physical activity and inactive behaviour can have particularly adverse effects on the quality of life, health, and well-being of children diagnosed with ASD (Ferreira et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2017). Increasing levels of physical activity has been linked with improving social development and enhancing communication skills in autistic children (Best, 2010; Lang et al., 2010; Lee & Vargo, 2017; Sam & Tong, 2015). The reported increase in sedentary activity and limited opportunity for play and physical activity may have had worse effects on children diagnosed with ASD, in comparison to their typically-developing peers (Jones et al., 2017). Therefore, it is important to understand whether levels of physical activity in autistic children have also changed since the outbreak of the pandemic.

1.4 Research Question

To understand the impact of COVID-19 on the social development and communication skills of autistic children, and to investigate if levels of physical activity have changed, we posed the research question:

“What is the effect of COVID-19, the subsequent lockdown and return to school period on the social development, communications skills, and levels of physical activity of children diagnosed with ASD?”.

1.5 Aims and Hypotheses

The main aims of this study were three-fold: 1) to understand the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, the subsequent lockdown and return to school period, on the social development and communication skills of autistic children in the UK from their parent’s perspective, 2) to establish if levels of physical activity have decreased or increased due to the lockdown measures implemented by the government in the UK, and 3) to examine if any associations exist between social-communicative behaviours and the variables affected by the lockdown or return to school period, including physical activity. Based on previous research and commentaries we have four main hypotheses:

1. Social development and communication skills will have worsened during the lockdown period (Amaral & de Vries, 2020; Colizzi et al., 2020; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2010) and more parents will have noticed a worsening of social-communicative behaviours than an improvement or no change in behaviours during the lockdown than during the return to school period (H^1).
2. Based on parental ratings; social-communicative behaviours will have significantly improved since returning to school in comparison to the end of lockdown (H^2).
3. Physical activity levels will have increased since returning to school, in comparison to the end of lockdown (H^3).
4. Decreased levels of physical activity, not attending school regularly, not feeling supported by the school, and not seeing people outside of school/outside the household will be associated with detrimental changes in social-communicative scores during the lockdown and also during the return school period (H^4). For example, more parents of children, who did not regularly attend school during the lockdown, will report a worsening of social-communicative behaviours during the lockdown, in comparison to parents of children who did regularly attend school.

2. Methods

2.1 Recruitment and Participants

Ethical approval for the study was given by the Science and Health Ethics sub-committee at the University of Essex prior to starting the recruitment phase. During recruitment, a database of known autism charities, schools, and support groups within the UK was created. Organisations were then contacted via email, inviting them to distribute our questionnaire to parents of autistic children. Emails were addressed to the recipient and a brief description of the research project was given.

There were two rounds of data collection within the study. The first round of data collection, time-point 1 (T1), was concerned with social-communication scores of the child over the course of lockdown (T1a), and at the time the parent completed the questionnaire (T1b). The second round of data collection, time-point 2 (T2), was concerned with social-communication scores of the child during the course of the first half-term of the 2020/2021 academic year (T2a), and at the time the parent completed the questionnaire (T2b; see figure 1). Data collection ran for 3 weeks in 2020 during the end of August/start of September for T1, and then for 2 weeks during the end of October 2020 for T2.

Fig. 1

Schematic timeline of the two main time-points included in this study, including how data collection was split during the two time-points

Before completing the questionnaires, all respondents were required to give their informed consent to participating online by answering a Yes/No checkbox. Over 293 participants accessed the questionnaire at

T1 and of those, 193 met the inclusion criteria and provided some kind of response. Only those who consented, met the inclusion criteria, and provided at least an 80% partial response were included in the analysis ($n=176$).

Participants who wished to take part in the study at T2 were contacted via an email they had given in the T1 questionnaire. Only sixty-eight respondents agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study; as a result, significantly fewer parents participated in the follow-up questionnaire, in comparison to the T1 questionnaire. Sixty-two parents from across the UK, who had previously taken part in the T1 questionnaire, accessed the follow-up questionnaire providing a 91.1% retention rate. Of these responses, 2 parents did not agree to participate in the questionnaire again and 6 responses did not reach the 80% completion threshold. Subsequently, 54 responses were used in the analysis of T2. See figure 2 for a flow diagram of participants.

Fig. 2

Flow diagram for the number of responses used in the data analysis for T1 and T2

2.2 Materials

Participants completed online questionnaires, which were created on the Qualtrics™ platform (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). Online questionnaires allow for enhanced access to geographically dispersed participants, increased participant safety, anonymity, and greater flexibility for scheduling, which were all important factors for our sample population (Drabble et al., 2016; Evans & Mathur, 2005).

The questionnaires were split into 4 main sections. The first section asked simple socio-demographic questions before moving on to the second section, which explored five main factors that lockdown (T1) and

the return to school (T2) may have affected. These included: 1) school attendance (*yes/no*), 2) support from school (*yes/no*), 3) access to family and friends (*yes/no*), 4) additional support (private therapy) (*yes/no*), and 5) change to levels of physical activity; as rated on 3-point Likert scale (1 – *decreased*, 2 – *no change*, and 3 – *increased*).

The third and fourth sections collected relevant information regarding how the social/communication skills of children diagnosed with ASD may have changed during the course of the lockdown, from March 24th (the start of lockdown) up until the ~22nd of July (when most schools in the UK broke up for the summer holiday), and then how communication skills were at the time of taking the questionnaire. The follow-up questionnaire collected information on how social-communicative skills may have changed during the course first half term of the 20/21 academic year (~1st September 2020 to ~26th October 2020) and then how communication skills were at the time of taking the questionnaire.

The questions focused on three important subdomains of social development that are observed to be commonly affected in ASD, including: 1) communication skills (Chin & Bernard-Opitz, 2000), 2) self-regulation skills (Loveland, 2005), and 3) co-operation skills (Colombi et al., 2009; Jahr et al., 2000). Seven questions were formed for each subdomain, which were inspired by elements of already validated questionnaires, including the Conversation Skills Rating Scale (Spitzberg, 1995) for assessment of communication skills, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (Goodman, 1997) for assessment of self-regulation skills, and the Preschool and Kindergarten Behaviour Scale (Merrell, 1994) for assessment of co-operation skills. Parents were asked to rate whether they believed their child's skills within each subdomain had: 1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a little better, or 5 – Got a lot better, over the course of lockdown (T1a) and over the course of the first half-term (T2a). Then, parents were asked to score their child's social skills currently at the time of taking both questionnaires (T1b and T2b), based on a 5-point Likert scale, where: 1 – Poor, 2 – Below average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, and 5 – Excellent (*see Supplementary Material 1 and 2 for an example of the questionnaires at T1 and T2, respectively*).

2.3 Data Analysis

Initially, we calculated descriptive statistics of the socio-demographic data and social communication scores using SPSS (*IBM SPSS Statistics*, 2017). An average score was calculated for each child, in each social skill (communication, self-regulation and co-operation) for perceived change in social skill and perceived competence of social skill at the time of taking the questionnaire, at both time-points. To analyse the effect of

lockdown and the return to school period on the change in autistic children's social and communicative skills we performed a chi-squared test between each social-communication skill assessed and the two time-points of interest. In addition, we also performed two-way, repeated-measures, mixed-model (due to missing values) ANOVA between the average parental rating scores for each social communication skill at the time of taking the questionnaire, with time-point as the between-group factor and social skill as the within-subject factor. Tukey posthoc was performed when ANOVA suggested significance ($p < .05$).

We also performed an unpaired, nonparametric t-test (Mann-Whitney U test assuming standard deviation were not equal between groups) to assess if levels of physical activity significantly differed between time-points. To assess the effect of physical activity on change in social communication scores over the course of lockdown and the course of the first half-term, we performed a correlation analysis and then simple linear regression analysis.

To establish how different variables of the lockdown or the first half-term were associated with an overall change in social-communicative behaviour over these time periods, we performed simple logistic regressions; where models were fitted to binary dependent variables coded as 1s or 0s and the perceived change in overall social-communication was the independent variable. For example, whether a child regularly attended school during the lockdown was answered as Yes or No, therefore 'Yes' became 1 and 'No' become 0, and was plotted on the Y-axis, where the change in overall social-communication score was plotted on the X-axis.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of respondents and their children

Data from the first questionnaire (T1) included 176 parents from across the UK, whose children all had a formal diagnosis of ASD. Most parents had known of their child's diagnosis for 2 – 3 years. The majority of children were verbal and did not attend any kind of private therapy. The children were aged between 3 and 12 years old (see Table 1).

Data from the second, follow-up questionnaire (T2) included responses from 54 parents from across the UK who had all previously taken part in the study. Again, all children had a formal diagnosis of ASD, were aged between 3 and 12 years old, most were verbal, did not attend private therapy, and the majority of

parents had known about their child's diagnosis for 2 years (see Table 1). We also asked parents what type of local restrictions were in place in their area due to COVID-19 at the time of taking the questionnaire (Table 1).

Table 1

Characteristics of children whose parents took part in the time-point 1 (T1) questionnaire and time-point 2 (T2) questionnaire shown as frequency (n) and percentage (%)

Question		T1 Frequency (n)	T1 Valid Percentage (%)	T2 Frequency (n)	T2 Valid Percentage (%)
<i>What region of the UK is your child from?</i>	East Midlands	3	1.7	2	3.7
	East of England	20	11.4	10	18.5
	London	4	2.3	1	1.9
	North East	1	0.6	1	1.9
	North West	18	10.2	5	9.3
	Scotland	72	40.9	7	13.0
	South East	21	11.9	9	16.7
	South West	22	12.5	13	24.1
	Wales	1	0.6	0	0
	West Midlands	7	4.0	1	1.9
	Yorkshire and the Humber	7	4.0	5	9.3
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>Within your geographical location, what restrictions are currently in place?</i>	Tier 1 Restrictions (England)	-	-	17	31.5
	Tier 2 Restrictions (England)	-	-	22	40.7
	Tier 3 Restrictions (England)	-	-	7	13
	Central Belt Restrictions (Scotland)	-	-	4	7.4

	Other	-	-	4	7.4
	Total	-	-	54	100
<i>How old is your child?</i>	3 – 4 Years old	17	9.7	5	9.3
	5 – 6 Years old	32	18.2	9	16.7
	7 – 8 Years old	42	23.9	15	27.8
	9 – 10 Years old	46	26.1	13	24.1
	11 – 12 Years old	39	22.2	12	22.2
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>Is your child verbal or non-verbal?</i>	Verbal	151	85.8	48	88.9
	Non-verbal	24	13.6	6	11.1
	Not reported	1	0.6	-	-
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>How long have you known about the diagnosis?</i>	Less than one year	29	12.5	9	16.7
	1 Year	22	22.2	10	18.5
	2 Years	39	29.3	13	24.1
	3 Years	34	10.2	10	18.5
	4 Years	18	19.3	4	7.4
	5+ Years	34	16.5	8	14.8
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>Does your child attend private therapy?</i>	Yes	26	14.8	6	11.1
	No	150	85.2	48	88.9
	Total	176	100	54	100

3.2 Factors affected by lockdown and the return to school

Outcomes of factors affected by the lockdown are detailed in Table 2. Results indicated that only 10.2% of children, whose parents answered the questionnaire, regularly attended school during the lockdown, suggesting that the school routine was disrupted for nearly 90% of children in the sample. Furthermore, over half (55.7%) of the parents felt they did not receive sufficient support from their child's school over the course of the lockdown. Despite this, when asked what type of support was offered, many parents reported having online homework and activities set, regular phone calls home, interactions over Skype, Teams or Zoom, and email updates. However, some parents reported having no support at all, consequently struggling with the home learning and lack of help.

Outcomes from the T2 questionnaire are also shown in Table 2. In contrast to T1, 81.5% sample regularly attended school, indicating that most of the parents had sent their child back to school following the summer break. However, from the data collected it is unclear what percentage of children were already home-schooled prior to the outbreak of COVID-19 and therefore would not be "returning to school" as such. Over half of the parents felt that they were supported by their child's school during the return school period (64.8%), and reported that their child saw people outside of the home and school environment (66.7%).

Table 2

Parental responses of factors affected during the lockdown (T1) and the return to school period (T2) represented as frequency (n) and percentage (%)

Question		T1 Frequency (n)	T1 Valid Percentage (%)	T2 Frequency (n)	T2 Valid Percentage (%)
<i>Does your autistic child have a sibling?</i>	Yes	135	76.7	42	77.8
	No	41	23.3	12	22.2
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>Did your child regularly attend school during the lockdown/return to school?</i>	Yes	18	10.2	44	81.5
	No	158	89.8	10	18.5
	Total	176	100	54	100

<i>Did you feel you had sufficient support from school during the lockdown/return to school?</i>	Yes	78	44.3	35	64.8
	No	98	55.7	18	33.3
	Not reported	-	-	1	1.9
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>Did your child regularly see people outside the household (and not in school) during the lockdown/return to school?</i>	Yes	55	31.3	36	66.7
	No	120	68.2	18	33.3
	Not reported	1	0.6	-	-
	Total	176	100	54	100
<i>How often did they see people outside the house (and not in school) during the lockdown/return to school?</i>	Daily	7	4.0	2	3.7
	Weekly	23	13.1	15	27.8
	Fortnightly	15	8.5	7	13
	Monthly	18	10.2	11	20.4
	Never	110	62.5	19	27.8
	Not reported	3	1.7	-	-
	Total	176	100	54	100

3.3 Changes in Physical Activity

When asked if their child's levels of physical activity had changed over the course of lockdown (yes/no answer), the majority (84.1%) of parents surveyed at T1 reported that they had changed. When asked how parents thought their child's physical activity levels had changed as rated on a three-point scale, 46.6% of parents reported a decrease in overall physical activity, whilst 33.5% of parents stated levels of physical activity stayed the same, and 19.9% reported that levels of physical activity had increased. During the return to school, the majority (77.8%) of parents surveyed at T2 said physical activity levels had changed (yes/no

answer). In contrast to T1, only 7.4% reported a decrease in physical activity levels, 29.6% reported physical activity levels staying the same, and 63% reported an increase in physical activity levels as rated on a three-point scale. An unpaired, nonparametric t-test (Mann-Whitney test) between overall means of change in physical activity levels between T1 and T2 suggested significantly more parents perceived their child's level of physical activity to increase during the course of the first half-term, in comparison to the course of lockdown ($P < .0001$; figure 3).

Fig. 3

*Mean ($\pm$ SEM) on a three-point scale of parent's perceptions of their child's change in overall physical activity levels during T1, the course of lockdown ($n=176$), and T2, the course of the first half term ($n=54$), represented as a bar chart. The scatter plot (horizontal lines) represents the individual values and proportion of parents who perceived their child's activity levels to either increase, decrease or stay the same. Mann-Whitney test revealed a statistical difference between means of each time point ($P < .0001$; ****)*

3.4 Changes in Social-Communicative Behaviours

3.4.1 Changes in Communication Skills

Descriptive statistics indicated that over half (51.7%) of the parents surveyed perceived their child's communication skills to '*not change*' during the lockdown (T1a*; n=176; no missing responses). 4.5% perceived their child's communications skills to get '*a lot worse*', 26.7% reported their skills to get '*a bit worse*', whereas 13.6% perceived their child's skills to get '*little bit better*', and only 3.4% reported skills to get '*a lot better*' throughout lockdown. Combining the percentage of parents who noted an improvement in communication skills and combining parents who reported a worsening of communication skills revealed that more parents saw an overall worsening of communication skills in comparison to an improvement of communication skills at T1a, but not compared to no change (figure 4). At T2a* (n=54, no missing responses), descriptive statistics revealed that most (57.4%) of the parents surveyed perceived their child's communication skills to '*not change*' during the first half term. 3.7% thought they had got '*a lot worse*', 20.4% thought they had got '*a little bit worse*', whereas, 16.7% believed them to get '*a little bit better*', and only 1.9% perceived them to get '*a lot better*'. Again, we combined the percentage of parents who noted an improvement and percentage of parents who saw a worsening of communication skills, which revealed a slightly higher percentage of parents reporting a worsening of communication skills than an improvement at T2a, however most reported no change (figure 4). A chi-squared test revealed there was no significant relationship between parent's perception of change in communication skills and time-point ($X^2 = (2, N=176) = 1.025, p > .05$).

Fig. 4

Combined percentage of parents who reported their child's social-communication skills to either improve (by a little bit and a lot), worsen (by a little bit and by a lot) or not change over the course of lockdown (T1a) and over the course of the half-term (T2a). Key to the right

* T1a = Over the course of lockdown

* T2a = Over the course of the first half-term of the 20/21 academic year (UK)

Only parents, whose children had been back at school for less than 3-weeks were asked to rate their child's communication skills at the time of taking the questionnaire (n=109; no missing response). At T1b*, 8.3% of parents reported their child's communication skills as '*poor*', 31.2% reported them as '*below average*', 42.2% perceived them as '*average*', 16.5% saw them as '*good*', and 1.8% rated them as '*excellent*'. At the end of the first half (T2b*; n=54, no missing responses) most parents rated their child's communication skills as '*average*' (51.9%). 3.7% rated them as '*poor*' and 35.2% rated them as '*below average*'. In contrast, 7.4% of parents perceived their child's communication skills as '*good*', and again 1.9% rated them as '*excellent*'. When considering the overall parental rating for communication skills and taking into account those that perceived their child's communication skills to be average, there was no notable difference in the overall means of perceived competence of communication skills between T1b and T2b (Figure 5) correspondingly a two-way, repeated-measures, mixed-model ANOVA revealed there was no statistically significant difference between T1b and T2b for communication skills ($P > .05$).

* T1b = Time of taking the questionnaire at the end of lockdown/start of the 2020/21 academic year (UK)

* T2b = Time of taking questionnaire at the end of the first half-term of the 2020/21 academic year (UK)

Fig. 5

Mean ($\pm$ SEM) of parental rating scores of their child's social-communication skills; including communication, self-regulation, and co-operation, at the time of taking the first questionnaire following lockdown (T1b) and at the time of taking the follow-up questionnaire at the end of the first half-term (T2b). With parent rating scale on the Y-axis, time point on the X-axis and key to the right

3.4.2 Changes in Self-regulation Skills

Descriptive statistics at T1a (n=176; no missing responses) demonstrated that 19.9% of parents reported their child's self-regulation skills to get '*a lot worse*' during the lockdown and 36.6% of parents reported them to get '*a little bit worse*'. In contrast, 25.6% of parents reported their child's self-regulation skills to '*not change*' over lockdown and even 15.3% reported these skills getting '*a little bit better*'. However, only 2.3% of parents survey perceived their child's self-regulation skills to get '*a lot better*' during the lockdown. Combining the percentage of parents who reported an improvement in self-regulation skills and combining parents who noted a worsening of self-regulation skills clearly suggests that a large number of parents saw an overall worsening of self-regulation skills in comparison to parents who saw an improvement or reported no change (figure 4). Descriptive statistics at T2a (n=54; no missing responses) indicated that the majority (42.6%) of parents surveyed perceived their child's self-regulation skills to '*not change*' during the course of the first half-term. 7.4% of parents believed their child's self-regulation skills to get '*a lot worse*',

31.5% perceived them to get '*a little bit worse*', and 18.5% saw them to get '*a little bit better*'. However, no parents reported their child's self-regulation skills to get '*a lot better*'. Combining the percentage of parents who saw an improvement and percentage of parents who saw a worsening of self-regulation skills during T2a, revealed that a larger percentage of parents saw an overall worsening of self-regulation skills during this time in comparison to an improvement, but not in comparison to no change. A chi-squared test suggested there was a significant relationship between parent's perception of self-regulation skills and time-point ($X^2 = (2, N=176) = 6.136, p < .05$), given that more parents noted a worsening of self-regulation skills during the course of lockdown than during the course of the first half-term.

Only parents whose children had been back at school for less than 3 weeks were asked to rate their child's self-regulation skills at the time of taking the first questionnaire (n=109; no missing responses). At T1b, parents reported their child's self-regulation skills as mostly '*below average*' (51.4%), 19.3% rated them as '*poor*', 24.8% rated them as '*average*', 3.7% rated them as '*good*', and less than 1% rated them as '*excellent*'. Descriptive analysis at T2b (n=54; no missing responses) showed that a small number of parents surveyed rated their child's self-regulation skills as '*poor*' (11.1%) at the end of the first half-term, 57.4% rated them as '*below average*', 27.8% rated them '*average*', and 3.7% rated them as '*good*'. No parent rated their child's self-regulation skills as '*excellent*'. When considering the overall means of parental rating for self-regulation skills and taking into account parent's reporting their child self-regulation ability to be average, a small increase in the overall mean ratings of self-regulation skills was noted between T1b and T2b. However, a two-way, repeated-measures, mixed-model ANOVA revealed this was not statistically significant ($P > .05$; figure 5).

3.4.3 Changes in Co-operation

Descriptive statistics of the responses (n=176; 3 missing responses) at T1a revealed 14.5% of parents surveyed perceived their child's co-operation skills to get '*a lot worse*' and 35.2% perceived them to get '*a little bit worse*' over the lockdown. Similarly, 35.2% also reported their child's co-operation and co-operative play skills to '*not change*'. However, 10.8% and 2.8% of parents perceived their child's co-operation skills to get '*a little bit better*' and '*a lot better*', respectively. The combined percentage of parents who saw an improvement in co-operation skills or noted no change was substantially lower than the combined percentage of parents who reported a worsening of co-operation skills (figure 4). At T2a (n=54; no missing responses) descriptive statistics showed that during the first half-term 5.6% of parents surveyed recalled their child's co-

operation skills to *'get a lot worse'*, 27.8% reported them to *'get a little bit worse'*, 50.0% said they did *'not change'*, 14.8% recalled them getting *'a little bit better'*, and only 1.9% report them to *'get a lot better'*. Again, the combined percentage of parents who noted an improvement of co-operation skills over the course of the first half-term was lower than the percentage of parents who reported a worsening over the course of the first half-term, but not lower than those that reported no change (figure 4). A chi-squared test revealed a significant association between co-operation skills and time-point ($X^2 = (2, N=176) = 6.179, p < .05$), where more parents noted a worsening of co-operation skills during the lockdown than during the first half-term.

Only parents, whose children had been back at school for less than 3-weeks were asked to rate their child's co-operation skills at the time of taking the first questionnaire ($n=109$; 1 missing response). At the end of the lockdown, 13% of parents perceived their child's co-operation skills as *'poor'*, 53.7% perceived them as *'below average'*, 25.9% rated them as *'average'*, 6.5% perceived them as *'good'*, and less than 1% perceived them as *'excellent'*. At the end of the half-term, 14.8% of parents rated their child's co-operation skills as *'poor'*, 42.6% rated them as *'below average'*, 35.2% perceived them as *'average'*, and 7.4% rated them as *'good'*. A two-way, repeated-measures, mixed-model ANOVA revealed between the end of lockdown and the end of the half-term, there was no statistically significant difference in parent's scoring of their child's co-operation skills (Figure 5).

3.5 Associations between the overall change in Social-Communicative Skills and Lockdown Variables

Spearman's r correlation analysis revealed there was no significant correlation between change in overall social-communicative behaviours of autistic children as rated by their parents and the overall change of their physical activity levels over the course of lockdown ($r = 0.1034; P > .05$). As a result, a simple linear regression analysis was not warranted.

Simple logistic regressions were used to test the effects of, 1) having a sibling (yes/no) as a proxy for greater social interaction at home throughout the lockdown period, 2) regularly attending school (yes/no) during the period of interest, 3) parent feeling sufficiently supported by the school (yes/no) throughout the lockdown period, and 4) seeing friends/family outside the household and outside of school (yes/no) during the lockdown (Table 3). Only sufficient support from school during the lockdown period, and seeing friends and family during the lockdown period were positively associated with an improvement in overall social-communicative behaviours during the lockdown. Therefore, children whose parents felt supported by their schools were reported to, on average, show an improvement in their social communication skills (i.e. social-

communicative skills got a little bit better, or got a lot better) over the course of the lockdown ($P < .01$; Table 3). Similarly, children who continued to see friends and family outside of school and outside of the household over the course of lockdown were perceived to also show a slight improvement in their overall social-communicative behaviours in comparison to those who did not ($P < .01$; Table 3). The model suggests that children in the sample analysed ($n=176$) were 1.824 times more likely to see friends or family outside the household/outside of school during the lockdown period for every increment on the Likert scale provided (1 – 5; *communication skills got a lot worse – communication skills got a lot better*). For example, a child whose overall score was 5 – social-communication skills got a lot better, was 1.824 times more likely to have seen friends or family during the lockdown than a child who scored 4 – social-communication skills got a little bit better overall.

Table 3

*Predictors of change in overall social-communication skills of autistic children as perceived by their parents during the lockdown (S.E; standard error, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval; $P < .01$; **)*

Lockdown Variables	$\beta 1$	S.E	Log-likelihood ratio	p-Value	OR	95% CI (profile likelihood) for OR
<i>Having a sibling</i>	0.253	0.217	1.401	0.237	1.287	0.850 to 1.996
<i>Regularly attending school throughout lockdown</i>	-0.289	0.307	0.940	0.338	0.749	0.399 to 1.337
<i>Support from school during the lockdown</i>	0.494	0.187	7.394	0.007 **	1.638	1.145 to 2.390
<i>Seeing friends/family outside of the household and outside of school throughout the lockdown</i>	0.601	0.199	9.722	0.002 **	1.824	1.246 to 2.727

3.6 Associations between overall change in Social-Communicative Skills and First Half-term Variables

Spearman's r correlation revealed there was a small, but significant, positive correlation between change in overall social-communicative behaviour and overall change in physical activity levels during the course of the first half-term ($r = 0.285$; $P < .05$). Simple linear regression revealed the change in overall communication score could be predicted from the overall change in physical activity levels such that an

increase in overall physical activity level predicts an improvement in social communication skills in autistic children, as perceived by their parents ($R^2 = 0.085$; $P < .05$).

Further simple logistic regressions were used to test the effects of 1) having a sibling (yes/no) as a proxy for greater social interaction at home throughout the first half-term, 2) regularly attending school (yes/no) during the first half-term, 3) parent feeling sufficiently supported by the school (yes/no) throughout the period of interest, and 4) seeing friends/family outside the household and outside of school (yes/no) during the first half-term (Table 4). Again, having a sibling was not associated with the overall change of social-communication skills in autistic children as perceived by their parents. However, regularly attending school throughout the first half term ($P < .01$; Table 4) and parents feeling sufficiently supported by the school over the course of the first half term ($P < .01$; Table 4) were positively associated with a change in overall communication scores. Parents of children who regularly attended school throughout the first half-term were more likely to report an increase in their child's overall social-communication score in comparison to children that did not attend school regularly during the first half-term. The likelihood of regularly attending school during the first half-term increased by 4.254 with every increment on the Likert scale (i.e., 1 – 5; *communication skills got a lot worse – communication skills got a lot better*). Seeing family and friends outside of the household and outside of school also showed a positive association with changing social skills, however this association did not reach significance.

Table 4

*Predictors of change in overall social-communication skills of autistic children as perceived by their parents during the first half-term (S.E; standard error, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval; $P < .01$; **)*

Half-term Variables	$\beta 1$	S.E	Log-likelihood ratio	p-Value	OR	95% CI (profile likelihood) for OR
<i>Having a sibling</i>	0.164	0.407	0.164	0.686	1.178	-0.632 to 0.992
<i>Regularly attending school throughout the first half-term</i>	1.448	0.577	8.294	0.004 **	4.254	1.533 to 15.550
<i>Support from school during the first half-term</i>	1.195	0.457	8.681	0.003 **	3.304	1.453 to 8.903

<i>Seeing friends/family outside of the household and outside of school throughout the first half-term</i>	0.6794	0.3928	3.285	0.0699	1.973	0.9480 to 4.526
--	--------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-----------------

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that explored the social-communicative impacts of lockdown as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak, and the return to school period following prolonged disruption to routine, in children diagnosed with ASD living in the UK. Results from the surveys indicated that the majority of parents believed that their child's self-regulation and co-operation skills had worsened during the course of lockdown. However, the majority of parents surveyed perceived that their child's communication skills had not changed over the course of the lockdown. During the return to school, the majority of parents believed their child's communication, self-regulation or co-operation skills did not change. As a result, H¹ can only be partially accepted. Parents reported a worsening of some social-communicative skills during the lockdown; however, this was not true when looking only at communication skills, as most reported no change. Additionally, there was no significant difference between the group means for the overall change of competence of social-communication skills between T1b and T2b, therefore H² cannot be accepted as social-communication skills, as rated by parents, did not significantly improve since returning to school. In contrast, physical activity levels significantly increased during the return to school ($P < .0001$). Therefore, H³ can confidently be accepted, and the null hypothesis rejected. In addition, H⁴ can also be partially accepted, as positive changes in overall social-communication skills during the lockdown were significantly associated with parents feeling supported by their child's school ($P < .01$) and still seeing friends and family, despite the lockdown rules ($P < .01$); however no significant associations could be made between change in overall social-communication skills and physical activity levels or school attendance. Positive changes during the return to

H¹ = Social development and communication skills will have worsened during the lockdown period, and more parents will have noticed a worsening of social-communicative behaviours during the lockdown than during the return to school.

H² = Social-communicative behaviours will have significantly improved, based on parental rating, since returning to school in comparison to the end of lockdown.

H³ = Physical activity levels will have increased since returning to school in comparison to the end of lockdown.

H⁴ = Decreased levels of physical activity, not attending school regularly, not feeling supported by the school and not seeing people outside of school/outside the household will be associated with detrimental changes in social-communicative scores during lockdown when compared to the return to school (H⁴).

school were significantly associated with an increase in physical activity levels ($P < .05$), regularly attending school ($P < .01$), and parents still feeling supported by their child's school ($P < .01$); although, whilst not seeing people outside of school/household was associated with poorer social-communicative skills, this association did not reach statistical significance.

4.1 Implications for Practice and Future Directions

Despite our previous prediction that all social-communication skills would worsen in children diagnosed with ASDs due to the lockdown implemented in the UK, the majority of parents reported that the subdomain of social development - communication skills, did not change in their children. This may seem somewhat counterintuitive due to not being at school and socially isolating during the lockdown. However, Renzo et al. (2020) reported that several parents, who completed their survey, noted an improvement in their child's verbal and non-verbal communication skills during the first month of lockdown in Italy. Our results indicated that over 90% of autistic children were not in school during the UK's first lockdown and many parents were forced to work from home or were furloughed during this lockdown period (Barker & Russell, 2020; Burchell et al., 2020; ONS, 2020; Reuschke & Felstead, 2020). Therefore, the results from our work and the aforementioned study may reflect parents spending more time with their autistic child and subsequently developing more successful communicative relationships with their child. Previous research has demonstrated that child-parent relations are more successful at producing desirable outcomes, such as improving communication skills, than child-teacher-based relationships (Duch et al., 2019; Pianta et al., 1997; Zivan & Horowitz-Kraus, 2020). Therefore, increased child-parent interactions may have prevented communication skills from worsening during the lockdown period.

Interestingly, only support from school, and seeing friends and family were significantly associated with social-communicative changes over the course of lockdown. The results suggest that parents feeling supported by the school were more likely to report an improvement in their child's social-communicative skills. Similarly, continuing to see friends and family outside of school and outside the household was associated with better social-communicative skills. Social exposure, social interactions, and larger social networks are all believed to improve social development and communication skills (Lev-Ari, 2018; Lev-Ari & Sebanz, 2020; Rost & McMurray, 2009). As a result, it is not surprising that children who continued to see their friends and family, despite the lockdown restrictions, were, overall, more protected against worsening social-communication skills. Furthermore, social support from friends and spending spare time with friends

have both been established as strong protective factors against mental disorders in young people; therefore, exemplifying the importance of social support for not only social-communication skills but also for well-being. Future work may wish to investigate if the benefits of social support are still maintained through virtual environments, such as video-calling, for children diagnosed with ASD. The findings from such work could be implemented to protect and improve the social-communicative outcomes of autistic children if we were to ever face another period of prolonged social isolation and help prevent the deterioration of self-regulation and co-operation skills.

In line with previous research (Colizzi et al., 2020), our results indicated the importance of parents feeling supported by their children's schools, as both changes in social skills over the course of the lockdown and over the course of the first half-term were associated with this variable. Families of individuals diagnosed with ASD are reported to experience greater levels of stress than families of children affected by other disabilities (Drogomyretska et al., 2020; Seltzer & Krauss, 2001). Therefore, it is key for schools and educational support (if the child is home-schooled) to continue supporting parents during periods of disruption, and even when the child is still attending school, in order to minimise stress and increase the likelihood of positive social-communication outcomes. Future research may wish to investigate how schools and educational provisions can more effectively support parents and children whilst at school, and during periods when attending school may not be possible. Additionally, support packages for enhancing the specific sub-domains of social development; communication and importantly, self-regulation, and co-operation, could be developed to help children improve these skills during periods of lockdown and social isolation.

Consistent with our hypothesis (H³), physical activity levels were reported to decrease during the lockdown and increase during the return to school. The significant increase in the level of physical activity during the return to school was significantly associated with better social-communicative outcomes in our sample of autistic children, thus suggesting the importance of physical activity for enhancing or maintaining social development. Our results are in line with previous research that highlights the benefits of physical activity for developing communications skills and improving social development in the autistic population (Morris et al., 2020; Sam & Tong, 2015). As a result, future research may wish to investigate how physical activity levels can be maintained if there is a possibility of returning to a lockdown, or for those who do not readily have access to 'green-space' for physical activities. Therefore, research may wish to explore how physical activity levels can be increased within the home environment, with a specific focus on also improving social-communication skills. For example, tailored exergames (online/virtual exercise games) in the home

environment have shown to reduce stereotyped and repetitive movements in autistic individuals (Anderson-Hanley et al., 2011). Thus, it may be worth investigating if these exergames can also be used as a vehicle to improve social-communication skills, whilst maintaining or increasing levels of physical activity in the home environment.

4.2 Limitations

Surveys conducted during former Public Health Emergencies of International Concern have afforded timely data collection to inform best practices in reacting to different emergencies (Abir et al., 2016). Similarly, the online survey employed here has shown to be a useful data collection tool, benefiting from the strengths that have been associated with this type of instrument, such as increased sample sizes, access to geographically dispersed respondents, and low running costs. However, the findings and assumptions made from this work must be made whilst recognising some of the study's limitations.

Due to the nature of this project, we relied on parents to recall their child's social skills prior to the UK lockdown (March 24th) and reflect on how their child's social skills may have changed up until the 22nd of July (when most schools broke up for the summer holiday). The study relied on parents' perception of social-communication skills and behaviours associated with a stressful and unprecedented experience; as such, the potential for recall bias is concerning, and responses may be flawed by social desirability (Renzo et al., 2020). Nevertheless, research suggests that blinding participants to the study's hypothesis and the specific factors being studied may help to reduce recall bias (Hassan, 2005). Therefore, parents were not made aware of the study's hypothesis prior to providing their responses in order to minimise the potential for recall bias and social desirability.

In addition, the survey suffered from a lack of standardised outcome measures to assess the change in social-communication skills over the course of the lockdown and the first half-term. Although questions were inspired by already validated parental questionnaires and rating scales (Loveland, 2005; Chin & Bernard-Opitz, 2000; Colombi et al., 2009; Jahr et al., 2000) we had to adapt the available answers to all reflect a 5-point Likert Scale. Additionally, we only asked 7 questions from each of the standardised instruments to ensure the survey was not too long, as not to deter potential respondents. As a result, comparisons with different studies may prove difficult. In addition, we ideally would have liked to perform within-subject comparisons between T1 and T2 for children whose parents completed both surveys (n=54). However, the survey was anonymous and a large number of parents forgot their memorable word/phrase, which made coupling children

from T1 and T2 not possible. Future work may wish to investigate how lockdowns and social isolation affect children's social-communication skills by performing within-subject comparisons across two or more time-points.

Whilst the results provide potential answers to some interesting questions, they do not provide causality (Noar, 2018). The data suggests that some social skills of autistic children worsened during the lockdown, but the data does not confidently indicate that lockdown caused this. From the data, we are able to suggest that no support from school and not seeing friends and family were associated with worse social-communication outcomes during the lockdown, and not regularly attending school, no support from the school, and limited physical activity were associated with worse social-communication outcomes during the return to school. However, we cannot confirm these factors caused a worsening of social development and communication skills.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the results from this study bring to our attention the stark variability in parent's perceptions of change in social-communication skills of autistic children during the UK's lockdown and the return to school period. Many parents observed a worsening of their child's social-communication skills; however, some parents noted an improvement and some noted no change at all. Although the majority of parents noted a worsening of self-regulation skills and co-operation skills over the course of the lockdown there was, surprisingly, no overall difference in the means of perceived competence in social-communication skills between the end of the first lockdown and the end of the first half-term. However, children's physical activity levels were perceived to significantly increase during the return to school, and this was positively associated with better social-communication outcomes. The results suggested the importance of school support, maintaining contact with friends and family during the lockdown period, and then attending school regularly during the return to school period for protecting against social skills worsening during these times. Although the COVID-19 pandemic continues to cause much disruption to our lives in the UK, it could very well be the much-needed catalyst for change and reform to the educational provisions and support available for the autistic community, leading to better outcomes and quality of life for children diagnosed with ASD.

6. References

- Abir, M., Moore, M., Chamberlin, M., Koenig, K. L., Hirshon, J. M., Singh, C., Schneider, S., & Cantrill, S. (2016). Using Timely Survey-Based Information Networks to Collect Data on Best Practices for Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response: Illustrative Case From the American College of Emergency Physicians' Ebola Surveys. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 10(4), 681–690. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2016.93>
- Alcaraz, K. I., Eddens, K. S., Blase, J. L., Diver, W. R., Patel, A. V., Teras, L. R., Stevens, V. L., Jacobs, E. J., & Gapstur, S. M. (2019). Social Isolation and Mortality in US Black and White Men and Women. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 188(1), 102–109. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwy231>
- Amaral, D. G., & de Vries, P. J. (2020). COVID-19 and Autism Research: Perspectives from Around the Globe. *Autism Research: Official Journal of the International Society for Autism Research*, 13(6), 844–869. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2329>
- Aminnejad, R., & Alikhani, R. (2020). Physical distancing or social distancing: That is the question. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01697-2>
- Anderson-Hanley, C., Tureck, K., & Schneiderman, R. L. (2011). Autism and exergaming: Effects on repetitive behaviors and cognition. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 4, 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S24016>
- Barker, M., & Russell, J. (2020). Feeding the food insecure in Britain: Learning from the 2020 COVID-19 crisis. *Food Security*, 12(4), 865–870. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01080-5>
- Bell, C. C. (1994). DSM-IV: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. *JAMA*, 272(10), 828–829. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1994.03520100096046>
- Bellomo, T. R., Prasad, S., Munzer, T., & Laventhal, N. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Pediatric Rehabilitation Medicine*, 13(3), 349–354. <https://doi.org/10.3233/PRM-200740>
- Best, J. R. (2010). Effects of physical activity on children's executive function: Contributions of experimental research on aerobic exercise. *Developmental Review*, 30(4), 331–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2010.08.001>
- Burchell, B., Wang, S., Kamerāde, D., Bessa, I., & Rubery, J. (2020). *Cut hours, not people: No work, furlough, short hours and mental health during COVID-19 pandemic in the UK* [Monograph]. University of

- Cambridge Judge Business School. <https://www.cbr.cam.ac.uk/research/research-projects/the-employment-dosage-how-much-work-is-needed-for-health-and-wellbeing/#item-1>
- Chin, H. Y., & Bernard-Opitz, V. (2000). Teaching Conversational Skills to Children with Autism: Effect on the Development of a Theory of Mind. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 30(6), 569–583. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005639427185>
- Chu, D. K., Akl, E. A., Duda, S., Solo, K., Yaacoub, S., Schünemann, H. J., Chu, D. K., Akl, E. A., El-harakeh, A., Bognanni, A., Lotfi, T., Loeb, M., Hajizadeh, A., Bak, A., Izcovich, A., Cuello-Garcia, C. A., Chen, C., Harris, D. J., Borowiack, E., ... Schünemann, H. J. (2020). Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 395(10242), 1973–1987. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31142-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31142-9)
- Cohen, J., & Kupferschmidt, K. (2020). Countries test tactics in ‘war’ against COVID-19. *Science*, 367(6484), 1287–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.367.6484.1287>
- Colizzi, M., Sironi, E., Antonini, F., Ciceri, M. L., Bovo, C., & Zoccante, L. (2020a). Psychosocial and Behavioral Impact of COVID-19 in Autism Spectrum Disorder: An Online Parent Survey. *Brain Sciences*, 10(6), 341. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10060341>
- Colizzi, M., Sironi, E., Antonini, F., Ciceri, M. L., Bovo, C., & Zoccante, L. (2020b). Psychosocial and Behavioral Impact of COVID-19 in Autism Spectrum Disorder: An Online Parent Survey. *Brain Sciences*, 10(6), 341. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10060341>
- Colombi, C., Liebal, K., Tomasello, M., Young, G., Warneken, F., & Rogers, S. J. (2009). Examining correlates of co-operation in autism: Imitation, joint attention, and understanding intentions. *Autism*, 13(2), 143–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361308098514>
- Crane, L., Adu, F., Arocas, F., Carli, R., Eccles, S., Harris, S., Jardine, J., Phillips, C., Piper, S., Santi, L., Sartin, M., Shepherd, C., Sternstein, K., Taylor, G., & Wright, A. (2020). *Vulnerable and forgotten: The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on autism special schools in England*. OSF Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/nmhrp>
- Desforges, M., Le Coupanec, A., Dubeau, P., Bourguoin, A., Lajoie, L., Dubé, M., & Talbot, P. J. (2020). Human Coronaviruses and Other Respiratory Viruses: Underestimated Opportunistic Pathogens of the Central Nervous System? *Viruses*, 12(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v12010014>

- Dorn, E., Hancock, B., Sarakatsannis, J., & Viruleg, E. (2020). COVID-19 and student learning in the United States: The hurt could last a lifetime. *McKinsey & Company, Public Sector Practice*, 9.
- Drabble, L., Trocki, K. F., Salcedo, B., Walker, P. C., & Korcha, R. A. (2016). Conducting qualitative interviews by telephone: Lessons learned from a study of alcohol use among sexual minority and heterosexual women. *Qualitative Social Work : QSW : Research and Practice*, 15(1), 118–133.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325015585613>
- Drogomyretska, K., Fox, R., & Colbert, D. (2020). Brief Report: Stress and Perceived Social Support in Parents of Children with ASD. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 50(11), 4176–4182.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04455-x>
- Duch, H., Marti, M., Wu, W., Snow, R., & Garcia, V. (2019). CARING: The Impact of a Parent–Child, Play-Based Intervention to Promote Latino Head Start Children’s Social–Emotional Development. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 40(2), 171–188. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10935-019-00542-7>
- Eccles, J. S. (1999). The Development of Children Ages 6 to 14. *The Future of Children*, 9(2), 30–44. JSTOR.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1602703>
- Evans, J. R., & Mathur, A. (2005). The value of online surveys. *Internet Research*, 15(2), 195–219.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/10662240510590360>
- Ferreira, J. P., Andrade Toscano, C. V., Rodrigues, A. M., Furtado, G. E., Barros, M. G., Wanderley, R. S., & Carvalho, H. M. (2018). Effects of a Physical Exercise Program (PEP-Aut) on Autistic Children’s Stereotyped Behavior, Metabolic and Physical Activity Profiles, Physical Fitness, and Health-Related Quality of Life: A Study Protocol. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 6.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00047>
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 38(5), 581–586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1997.tb01545.x>
- Green, H., McGinnity, A., Meltzer, H., Ford, T., & Goodman, R. (2005). *Mental Health of Children and Young People in Great Britain, 2004: (557702010-001)* [Data set]. American Psychological Association.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/e557702010-001>
- Hassan, E. (2005). Recall Bias can be a Threat to Retrospective and Prospective Research Designs. *The Internet Journal of Epidemiology*, 3(2). <https://ispub.com/IJE/3/2/13060>

- Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social Relationships and Mortality Risk: A Meta-analytic Review. *PLoS Medicine*, 7(7). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316>
- IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 25.0 Armonk for Macintosh). (2017). [Computer software]. NY: IBM Corp.
- Jahr, E., Eldevik, S., & Eikeseth, S. (2000). Teaching children with autism to initiate and sustain cooperative play. Parts of this manuscript are based on the first and second author's thesis for the cand. Psychol. Degree, submitted to the Department of Psychology, University of Oslo. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 21(2), 151–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-4222\(00\)00031-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-4222(00)00031-7)
- Jones, R. A., Downing, K., Rinehart, N. J., Barnett, L. M., May, T., McGillivray, J. A., Papadopoulos, N. V., Skouteris, H., Timperio, A., & Hinkley, T. (2017). Physical activity, sedentary behavior and their correlates in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review. *PloS One*, 12(2), e0172482. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172482>
- Kenny, L., Hattersley, C., Molins, B., Buckley, C., Povey, C., & Pellicano, E. (2016). Which terms should be used to describe autism? Perspectives from the UK autism community. *Autism*, 20(4), 442–462. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361315588200>
- Lai, M.-C., Anagnostou, E., Wiznitzer, M., Allison, C., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2020). Evidence-based support for autistic people across the lifespan: Maximising potential, minimising barriers, and optimising the person-environment fit. *The Lancet. Neurology*, 19(5), 434–451. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(20\)30034-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30034-X)
- Lancker, W. V., & Parolin, Z. (2020a). COVID-19, school closures, and child poverty: A social crisis in the making. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(5), e243–e244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30084-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30084-0)
- Lancker, W. V., & Parolin, Z. (2020b). COVID-19, school closures, and child poverty: A social crisis in the making. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(5), e243–e244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30084-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30084-0)
- Lang, R., Koegel, L. K., Ashbaugh, K., Regester, A., Ence, W., & Smith, W. (2010). Physical exercise and individuals with autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 4(4), 565–576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2010.01.006>
- Lee, J., & Vargo, K. K. (2017). Physical Activity into Socialization: A Movement-based Social Skills Program for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 88(4), 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2016.1270788>
- Lev-Ari, S. (2018). The influence of social network size on speech perception. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 71(10), 2249–2260. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747021817739865>

- Lev-Ari, S., & Sebanz, N. (2020). Interacting With Multiple Partners Improves Communication Skills. *Cognitive Science*, 44(4), e12836. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12836>
- Lopes, B. C. da S., & Jaspal, R. (20200601). Understanding the mental health burden of COVID-19 in the United Kingdom. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 12(5), 465. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000632>
- Loveland, K. A. (2005). Social-emotional impairment and self-regulation in autism spectrum disorders. In *Emotional development: Recent research advances* (pp. 365–382). Oxford University Press.
- Lyall, K., Croen, L., Daniels, J., Fallin, M. D., Ladd-Acosta, C., Lee, B. K., Park, B. Y., Snyder, N. W., Schendel, D., Volk, H., Windham, G. C., & Newschaffer, C. (2017). The Changing Epidemiology of Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 38, 81–102. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044318>
- Merrell, K. W. (1994). *Preschool and kindergarten behavior scales: Test manual*. Clinical Psychology Pub.
- Miller, G. (2011). Why Loneliness Is Hazardous to Your Health. *Science*, 331(6014), 138–140. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.331.6014.138>
- Morris, P., Hope, E., Foulsham, T., & Mills, J. P. (2020). *Dancing Out for a Voice; a Narrative Review of the Literature Exploring Autism, Physical Activity and Dance*. SportRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.31236/osf.io/u2rvk>
- Narzisi, A. (2020). Handle the Autism Spectrum Condition during Coronavirus (COVID-19) Stay at Home Period: Ten Tips for Helping Parents and Caregivers of Young Children. *Brain Sciences*, 10(4), 207. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10040207>
- NHS. (2019, May 2). *What is autism?* Nhs.Uk. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/autism/what-is-autism/>
- Nicola, M., Alsafi, Z., Sohrabi, C., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A., Iosifidis, C., Agha, M., & Agha, R. (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *International Journal of Surgery (London, England)*, 78, 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018>
- Noar, S. (2018). *What Do Survey Data Really Mean? Considering Issues of Casualty and Temporality in Survey / Grant Programs / National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research*. <https://www.nidcr.nih.gov/grants-funding/grant-programs/behavioral-social-sciences-research-program/what-do-survey-data-really-mean-considering-issues-of-casualty-and-temporality-in-survey>
- Olive, L., Sciberras, E., Berkowitz, T. S., Hoare, E., Rohan.Telford, Mikocka-Walus, A., Evans, S., Hutchinson, D., McGillivray, J., Teague, S., Wood, A., Olsson, C., & Westrupp, E. (2020). *Child and parent*

physical activity, sleep and screen time during COVID-19 compared to pre-pandemic nationally representative data and associations with mental health [Preprint]. PsyArXiv.

<https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/anqct>

ONS. (2020). *Coronavirus and the social impacts on Great Britain—Office for National Statistics*.

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthandwellbeing/datasets/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsongreatbritaindata>

Ozili, P. K., & Arun, T. (2020). *Spillover of COVID-19: Impact on the Global Economy* (SSRN Scholarly Paper ID 3562570). Social Science Research Network. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3562570>

Pantell, M., Rehkopf, D., Jutte, D., Syme, S. L., Balmes, J., & Adler, N. (2013). Social Isolation: A Predictor of Mortality Comparable to Traditional Clinical Risk Factors. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(11), 2056–2062. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301261>

Pereira, A. (2020). Long-Term Neurological Threats of COVID-19: A Call to Update the Thinking About the Outcomes of the Coronavirus Pandemic. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.00308>

Pianta, R. C., Nimetz, S. L., & Bennett, E. (1997). Mother-child relationships, teacher-child relationships, and school outcomes in preschool and kindergarten. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 12(3), 263–280. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-2006\(97\)90003-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-2006(97)90003-X)

Qualtrics (September 2020). (2005). [Computer software]. Qualtrics. <https://www.qualtrics.com>

Renzo, M. D., Castelbianco, F. B. D., Vanadia, E., Petrillo, M., D’Errico, S., Racinaro, L., & Rea, M. (2020). Parent-Reported Behavioural Changes in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder During the COVID-19 Lockdown in Italy. *Continuity in Education*, 1(1), 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cie.20>

Reuschke, D., & Felstead, A. (2020). The Effect of the Great Lockdown on Homeworking in the United Kingdom. *Wales Institute of Social & Economic Research, Data & Methods*, 12.

Rost, G. C., & McMurray, B. (2009). Speaker variability augments phonological processing in early word learning. *Developmental Science*, 12(2), 339–349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2008.00786.x>

Rundle, A. G., Park, Y., Herbstman, J. B., Kinsey, E. W., & Wang, Y. C. (2020). COVID-19–Related School Closings and Risk of Weight Gain Among Children. *Obesity*, 28(6), 1008–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22813>

Russell, W., & Stenning, A. (2020). Beyond active travel: Children, play and community on streets during and after the coronavirus lockdown. *Cities & Health*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2020.1795386>

- Salehi, S., Reddy, S., & Gholamrezanezhad, A. (2020). Long-term Pulmonary Consequences of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): What We Know and What to Expect. *Journal of Thoracic Imaging*, 35(4), W87. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RTI.0000000000000534>
- Sam, K.-L., & Tong, K.-K. (2015). Effectiveness of Exercise-Based Interventions for Children with Autism: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijlt.1.2.98-103>
- Seltzer, M. M., & Krauss, M. W. (2001). Quality of life of adults with mental retardation/developmental disabilities who live with family. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 7(2), 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrdd.1015>
- Shevlin, M., McBride, O., Murphy, J., Gibson Miller, J., Hartman, T. K., Levita, L., Mason, L., Martinez, A. P., McKay, R., Stocks, T. V. A., Bennett, K. M., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., & Bentall, R. (2020). *Anxiety, Depression, Traumatic Stress, and COVID-19 Related Anxiety in the UK General Population During the COVID-19 Pandemic*. [Preprint]. PsyArXiv. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/hb6nq>
- Spitzberg, B. H. (1995). CSRS The Conversational Skills Rating Scale. *San Diego State University: NCA Diagnostic Series*, 61.
- Torales, J., O'Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M., & Ventriglio, A. (2020). The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(4), 317–320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764020915212>
- Williams, S. N., Armitage, C. J., Tampe, T., & Dienes, K. (2020). Public perceptions and experiences of social distancing and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic: A UK-based focus group study. *MedRxiv*, 2020.04.10.20061267. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061267>
- Xiong, T.-Y., Redwood, S., Prendergast, B., & Chen, M. (2020). Coronaviruses and the cardiovascular system: Acute and long-term implications. *European Heart Journal*, 41(19), 1798–1800. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa231>
- Zivan, M., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2020). Parent–child joint reading is related to an increased fixation time on print during storytelling among preschool children. *Brain and Cognition*, 143, 105596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2020.105596>

7. Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material 1

Snapshot of the questionnaire at time-point 1, following lockdown, (T1) for how skills have changed over the course of lockdown (T1a)

“Please select the statement you most agree with:

Since the start of lockdown...

1) My child’s ability to speak at an appropriate rate (not too fast, nor too slow) has

*1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a
little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better*

2) My child’s ability to produce flowing speech has

*1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a
little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better*

3) My child’s ability to use vocal variety (not overly dramatic, nor overly monotone) has

*1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a
little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better*

4) My child’s ability to engage in eye contact has

*1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a
little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better*

5) *My child's ability to respond when I am talking to them (nodding their head or facial expressions) has*

1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better

6) *My child's ability to use appropriate facial expressions has*

1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better

7) *My child's ability to initiate conversation has*

1 – Got a lot worse, 2 – Got a little bit worse, 3 – Not changed, 4 – Got a little bit better, 5 – Got a lot better”

Supplementary Material 2

Snapshot of the questionnaire at time-point 1, following lockdown (T1) for communication and conversation skills were currently, at the time the parent completed the questionnaire (T1b)

“Please select the statement you most agree with:

Currently...

1) *My child's ability to speak at an appropriate rate (not too fast, nor too slow) is*

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

2) *My child's ability to produce flowing speech is*

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

3) My child's ability to use vocal variety (not overly dramatic, nor overly monotone) is

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

4) My child's ability to engage in eye contact is

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

5) My child's ability to respond when I am talking to them (nodding their head or facial expressions) is

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

6) My child's ability to use appropriate facial expressions is

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent

7) My child's ability to initiate conversation is

1 – Poor, 2 – Below Average, 3 – Average, 4 – Good, 5 – Excellent"