Considering the role of neurodidactics in medical education as inspired by learning studies and music education

Carlos Trenado^{1,*}, Nicole Pedroarena Leal^{2,*}, Diane Ruge²

¹Institute of Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine
University, Duesseldorf, Germany

²UCL-Institute of Neurology, University College London (UCL), Queen Square, London WC1N
3BG, UK

*Authors contributed equally

Correspondence to:

Carlos Trenado, Institute of Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Medical faculty,
Heinrich Heine University, Moorenstr. 5, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
e-mail: carlos.trenadoc@gmail.com

Diane Ruge, UCL-Institute of Neurology, University College London (UCL), Queen Square,
London WC1N 3BG, UK
e-mail: diane.ruge@gmail.com

Running title: Considering the role of neurodidactics in medical education

Keywords: neurodidactics; medicine; education; plasticity; educational neuroscience; music; neuroscience; educational psychology.

Author Contributions: conceptualization: CT, NPL, DR

Author Contributions: investigation: CT, NPL

Author Contributions: methodology: CT, NPL, DR

Author Contributions: writing (original draft preparation): CT, NPL

Author Contributions: writing (review and editing): CT, NPL, DR

Acknowledgments: The Dorothy Feiss Scientific Research Grant.

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

A large body of research targeting learning in medical school has shown the benefit and importance of adopting appropriate learning strategies, nevertheless instruction and use of scientifically-based learning strategies is not standard in the medical school curriculum. In this commentary, we advocate the use of the principles of neurodidactics in the medical curriculum, namely by taking into consideration neurobiology principles of learning for optimizing learning strategies. The advancement and progress of learning technologies in the upcoming years and their adoption by medical students make this discussion highly relevant regarding proper establishment of an evidence-based science of education and learning, which would certainly impact medical education.

Introduction

Students in medical school face challenges such as the huge amount of information they need to learn and emotionally challenging situations they are confronted with such as illness, suffering, patient treatment dilemmas, which cause them feelings of uncertainty about possessing insufficient knowledge and skills [1].

During the last decades, an extensive body of research aimed at improving learning in medical settings has been accumulated. In particular, numerous psychology and education reports have targeted strategies to improve retention of factual information, for instance retrieval practice; linking ideas with concrete examples; concept maps; use of audiovisual mnemonics [2,3]. Other aspects addressed include the use of testing as an active element of learning which appears more effective than studying repeatedly; active methods of repetition such as free recall when trying to remember information learned; retrieval of information at different time points for better retention of information [4]. Other authors stressed the importance of developing study techniques by taking into consideration the content intended to study and how it is learned. Particularly, these authors recommended studying in different locations; working in groups; defining simple outlines of a stick man to demonstrate various signs and symptoms of disease a technique referred to as “stick men”; mind maps (as a revision technique); flash cards and post-it-notes [5]. In addition, different types of learning for medical students have been identified, namely “deep style” dealing with understanding concepts with a strong interest in ideas; “strategic style” involving students motivation to achieve the highest possible scores through good time management and study organization; “surface apathetic style” putting emphasis on rote memorization and lack of understanding which is only intended to cope minimally with the course of study [6]. Moreover, several studies have been directed to determine factors that influence the choice of a particular learning style with the goal of improving the learning experience of medical students [7-10]. With respect to learning environment some reports have considered factors such as workload, sleep deprivation, clerical and

administrative responsibilities, information management, available support and the role of teachers and mentors [11].

Nevertheless, the relevant issue of whether the teaching environment (curriculum, learning techniques, teaching methods, learning technologies etc.) needs to be adapted to the neurobiology of the individual brain has been discussed only by few authors [12]. In what follows, we update this important debate as it may certainly impact assessment of novel learning technologies in medical school. Specifically, we discuss the role of neurodidactics or educational neuroscience in improving learning strategies for medical students. We base our discussion on representative studies targeting the neural mechanisms of learning and music education as prospective examples of the applicability of neurodidactics.

The prospect of neurodidactics in learning improvement

Neurodidactics is based on the notion that learning is a dynamic process determined by the state of the developing brain, which can be potentially modified according to a specific need or learning goal [13-19]. Neurodidactics argues that strategies and methods used to organize teaching environments (curriculum, learning techniques, teaching methods, etc.) should be based on neurobiology principles, for instance changes in the environment that support modulation of brain plasticity associated to learning improvement [20, 21]. Since there is a neurobiological basis for learning, it is reasonable to consider such knowledge in improving learning strategies and so adapt the medical curriculum to these conditions [1, 12]. In what follows, we highlight research studies that exemplify different ways in which neurodidactics directly or indirectly support improvement of learning strategies.

Improvement of memory

Previous studies have shown that monitoring brain activity during a study session is useful to predict how well people will remember information subsequently [22]. Functional magnetic

resonance imaging (fMRI) studies focusing on improvement of long-term memory due to retrieval of information during a learning period have shown engagement of brain regions such as the anterior hippocampus, lateral temporal cortices, and the medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) [23].

Electroencephalographic (EEG) brain activity has been useful to assess the progression of learning in tasks such as typing. Particularly, it was observed that power changes in various EEG rhythms corresponded to different stages and difficulty levels of the learning process. Noteworthy, a decrease in beta and gamma power corresponded to features of binding and memory encoding [24].

Memory retrieval, which is often visualized as an on/off process with a trigger or reminder to reactivate a past experience or specific information, has been shown to be concomitant with theta oscillations that have also been proposed as its neural signature [25].

Studies in aging populations support that resting network activity and connectivity patterns underlie enhancement of memory in older adults for meaningful associations [26]. It has also been shown that working memory (WM)-related brain activity is greater in older than younger adults, while such cortical over-recruitment in advanced old age has been attributed to a compensatory mechanism [27]. Focusing on training-induced memory enhancement, it has been reported that younger adults show increased activity during memory encoding in occipito-parietal and frontal brain regions. In contrast, older adults did not show such increase with the exception of those who did benefit from the training [28].

Improvement of attention

By means of the relationship between EEG brain signals and attention levels during learning, some authors provided recovery methods to help students restore attention and so improve their learning efficacy. In particular, napping as recovery method showed superior efficacy in comparison to playing mobile games or watching videos [29]. Interestingly, fMRI studies showed that behavioral reduction of distractor effects during a visual categorization task gives place to decreased responses at the middle frontal gyrus [30].

Biomarkers of learning

fMRI studies performed on experienced physicians that learned to choose between two treatments in a series of virtual patient encounters, revealed differential brain activation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the inferior parietal lobule between high and low performers. It was concluded that such difference could serve as a biomarker to identify efficient learners on novel decision tasks, in medical or other contexts [31]. fMRI studies addressing brain patterns of reading comprehension strategies, for instance re-read, paraphrase, and self-explain, revealed activation in executive control and comprehension brain areas while learning from a text was associated with activation in the anterior prefrontal cortex (aPFC). It was speculated that a prospective role of the aPFC is facilitation of the integration of new knowledge from texts with prior knowledge [32].

Neurophysiological markers of learning are widely recognized. However, more recently it has been possible to study more detailed mechanisms non-invasively in humans. Depotentiation (DP) is a crucial mechanism for the tuning of memory traces once LTP (Long Term Potentiation) has been induced via learning, artificial procedures, or other interventions. Thus DP, is an important process to consider when therapeutic interventions, such as psychotherapy, are administered. Noteworthy, recent transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) studies reported that the ratio LTP/DP might be a valuable marker for potential distortions of persistence versus deletion of memory traces represented by LTP- like plasticity [33].

Focusing on motor learning, it has been reported the occurrence of changes in the cerebellum, the striatum and motor cortices in the first stage, while further training leads to slower reorganization of the primary motor cortex (M1) [34]. Moreover, studies have shown that training of a motor sequence task results in increased activation of the M1 over different time periods [35]. In other words, different stages of motor learning have different neurophysiological correlates.

Learning in the classroom

Simultaneous EEG recording from students in the classroom enabled real-time inference of student

engagement by means of attentional measures evoked by a video stimulus [36]. Recent studies also targeted assessment of reasoning in clinical students by analyzing the relationship between EEG features related to students performance and gaze [37]. Likewise, some authors were able to estimate the level of student's visual attention in the classroom by monitoring EEG spectral changes [38]. EEG studies have also been directed to extract information about the effect of real vs. virtual learning environment. While it was reported that more positive emotions were triggered in a real environment, the level of student engagement was approximately the same in both scenarios [39]. Moreover, a study monitoring brain activity of high school students over a period of six months revealed synchronization of brain oscillations when students were more engaged during class. Thus, brain-to-brain synchronization was assumed to reflect a sense of likeness and compatibility between teacher and students and between students [40].

Neurodidactics in music education

One example of the successful application of neurodidactics research is within the field of music education. In particular, EEG brain activation patterns during a melodic pitch discrimination task showed differences between musicians and non-musicians. Participants were divided into three groups: non-musicians, amateurs (defined as regularly playing or singing but without any formal training) and professional musicians with special training and knowledge in the analysis of harmonies and chords. It was reported a left-hemisphere dominance for the majority of professional musicians and right hemisphere lateralization for amateurs and non-musicians. The differences in hemisphere dominance was attributed to differences in cognitive strategies between formally trained musicians and those without formal mental representations for the same information [41].

Musicians are a valuable “model” for effective learners who deliver in a very exact and precise manner. Formal training permits interval representation of music using covert speech, resulting in activation of the left frontal lobe whereas non-musicians are limited to a general understanding of the music, with no real representation annexed to the actual processing of what they hear [41]. Importantly, training with actual applied, directed and practical curriculum (such as that received by

amateurs), led to similar brain patterns as that visualized for professionally trained musicians, with bilateral lobe activation registered after training [41, 42]. Thus it is suggested that teaching methods influence learning goals and information attained.

Notoriously, it has also been documented that neuroscientific models of music processing have been useful to define the concepts of curriculum design in the music school [43, 44, 45].

Discussion

The above mentioned studies exemplify the prospect of neurodidactics not only in revealing brain developmental differences in relation to learning, but also in defining brain biomarkers that could complement or outperform behavioral measures directed to assess the effectiveness of learning strategies. As already pointed out by some authors, such knowledge could be useful in supporting improvement of existing learning strategies to achieve maximal results; adapting an effective strategy in one domain for application in a different domain; taking one or more complementary techniques and combining them into a more comprehensive learning strategy [46].

Due that neurodidactics is based on research studies to accomplish its goals, some limitations need to be taken into account: 1) the majority of research studies are performed under laboratory conditions by using simple stimuli, while a real educational environment is complex and unpredictable; 2) meaningful results extracted from brain measures commonly require a high number of event-related responses or trials, which makes relevant to develop adaptive algorithms, internet platforms and hardware that enable extraction of brain patterns in real-time continuous data; 3) simultaneous recording of brain, behavioral and physiological signals from different subjects in a realistic environment generates large amounts of data that would certainly be suitable for modern big data analytic approaches.

The use of technology in medical school for the facilitation of learning, improving motor skills, enhancing perceptual abilities and practicing uncommon events, has gained considerable popularity in recent years. In particular, podcasts, videos, mobile devices with apps, video games, simulators

(virtual reality) and wearable devices are being considered relevant in addressing some of the learning challenges faced by medical students [47]. However, it has also been stressed that factors such as how to objectively measure their effectiveness and how its actual adoption changes students learning habits and capabilities need to be taken into account [48]. In this respect, one of the key features of neurodidactics is the proposal of optimizing learning effectiveness in a personalized or customized fashion, e.g. by taking into consideration the fact that humans learn differently and present structural brain differences.

A crucial skill in the study of medicine is timely retrieval of information. Due that medical students are frequently confronted with emotional challenging situations, such retrieval of information has to be trained for scenarios of stress and sub-optimal well-being, which could certainly be addressed by applying neurodidactics approaches.

As medical students progress towards their studies, their focus switches from theoretical principles to clinical work and patient care. At this stage, not only rapid retrieval of specific information but also motor memory skills are required. Noteworthy, neuroscience studies have shown that motor memory is susceptible to be improved via learning strategies as exemplified by music learning. In the upcoming years, it is expected that neuroscience-based technologies boosting sensorimotor processing, motor memory and alertness will be instrumental in optimizing physicians skills to perform specialized medical procedures with higher degree of expertise.

Among emerging approaches for learning improvement is the so called neurofeedback, which uses real-time monitoring of brain oscillatory activity to regulate brain states [49]. In light of the principles of neural plasticity, repeated sessions of neurofeedback has the potential to normalize brain activity patterns with a corresponding learning effect [50]. It is expected that such learning approach will be tuned and adapted to the educational needs of medical students.

The applicability of fMRI for education and learning purposes is expected to increase in the upcoming years as a result of the awareness of different areas of opportunity and efforts to resolve current technical issues. For instance, by using multimodal neuroimaging approaches in

combination with novel approaches to estimate causal relationships between brain activity and behavioral learning associations [51].

Notwithstanding all recent advances in neuroscience and neurotechnology, we stress that the real applicability of neurodidactics in medical education will only be plausible with the openness and committed participation of medical educators, practitioners and students working together with scientists from other disciplines to consolidate an evidence-based science of learning that targets the needs of medical students.

References

1. Weurlander, M., Lönn, A., Seeberger, A., Hult, H., Thornberg, R., & Wernerson, A. Emotional challenges of medical students generate feelings of uncertainty. *Med Educ.* **2019**, 53, 1037-1048. doi:10.1111/medu.13934
2. Richmond, A., Cranfield, T., & Cooper N. Study tips for medical students. *BMJ.* **2019**, 365 :k663
3. Yang, A., Goel, H., Bryan, M., Robertson, R., Lim, J., Islam, S., & Speicher, M. The Picmonic® Learning System: enhancing memory retention of medical sciences, using an audiovisual mnemonic Web-based learning platform. *Adv Med Educ Pract.* **2014**, 5, 125-132. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S61875>
4. Augustin, M. How to learn effectively in medical school: test yourself, learn actively, and repeat in intervals. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* **2014**, 87(2), 207–212.
5. Fowler, A., Whitehurst, K., Al Omran, Y., Rajmohan, S., Udeaja, Y., Koshy, K., & Gundogan, B. How to study effectively. *Int. J. Surg. Oncol.* **2017**, 2(6), e31. <https://doi.org/10.1097/IJ9.0000000000000031>
6. Leite, W.L., Svinicki, M., & Shi, Y. Attempted validation of the scores of the VARK:

- learning styles inventory with multitrait–multimethod confirmatory factor analysis models. *Educ. Psychol. Meas.* **2010**, 70:323–39.
7. Chonkar, S.P., Ha, T.C., Chu, S.S.H. *et al.* The predominant learning approaches of medical students. *BMC Med. Educ.* **2018**, **18**, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1122-5>
 8. Subasinghe, S.D., & Wanniachchi, D.N. Approach to learning and the academic performance of a group of medical students—any correlation. *Stud Med J.* **2009**, 3, 5–10.
 9. Shankar PR, Balasubramaniam R, Dwivedi NR. Approach to learning of medical students in a Caribbean medical school. *Educ. Med. J.* **2014**, 6, e33–40.
 10. Reid WA, Evans P, Duvall E. Medical students' approaches to learning over a full degree programme. *Med. Educ. Online.* **2012**, 17, 1–7.
 11. Lacasse, M., Théorêt, J., Skalenda, P., & Lee, S. Challenging learning situations in medical education: innovative and structured tools for assessment, educational diagnosis, and intervention. Part 1: history or data gathering. *Can. Fam. Physician.* **2012**, 58(4), 481–484.
 12. Friedlander, M.J., Andrews, L., Armstrong, E.G., Aschenbrenner, C., Kass, J.S., Ogden, P., & Viggiano, T.R. What Can Medical Education Learn From the Neurobiology of Learning? *Acad. Med.* **2011**, 86(4), 415–420. doi:10.1097/acm.0b013e31820dc197
 13. Thomas, M.S.C., Ansari, D., & Knowland, V.C.P. Annual Research Review: Educational neuroscience: progress and prospects. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatr.* **2019**, 60: 477-492. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12973
 14. Feiler, J.B., & Stabio, M.E. Three pillars of educational neuroscience from three decades of literature, *Trends Neurosc. Educ.* **2018**, 13, 17-25.
 15. Serrano, M.C.; Losada, J.M.; Martín, J.S.; & Polo, F.Z. Neuro-Didactics and Social Sciences: An Experience of the Application of DAS Sequence in the Geography Classroom. *Proceedings.* **2018**, 2, 1325.

16. Tandon, P.N., & Singh, N.C. Educational Neuroscience: Challenges and Opportunities. *Ann. Neurosci.* **2016**, 23(2), 63–65. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000443560>
17. Anastasia, C. The Social Framework of Learning via Neurodidactics. *Creative Education*, 2016, 7, 2175-2192. doi: [10.4236/ce.2016.715215](https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2016.715215).
18. Gabrieli, J.D.E. The promise of educational neuroscience: Comment on Bowers (2016). *Psychol. Rev.* **2016**, 123(5), 613–619.
19. Lee, H.W.; Juan, C.H. What can cognitive neuroscience do to enhance our understanding of education and learning?. *J. Neurosci. Neuroeng.* **2013**, 2 (4), 393–399. doi:10.1166/jnsne.2013.1064.
20. Coch, D; & Ansari, D. Thinking about mechanisms is crucial to connecting neuroscience and education. *Cortex.* **2008**, 45 , 546–547. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2008.06.001. PMID 18649878.
21. Meltzoff, AN; Kuhl, PK; Movellan, J; Sejnowski, T.J. Foundations for a New Science of Learning. *Science.* **2009**, 325 (5938), 284–288.
22. Sandia National Laboratories. (2012, September 19). Monitoring brain activity during study can help predict test performance. *ScienceDaily*. Retrieved February 1, 2020 from www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/09/120919103144.htm
23. Wing, E.A., Marsh, E.J., & Cabeza, R. Neural correlates of retrieval-based memory enhancement: An fMRI study of the testing effect. *Neuropsychologia*, **2013**, 51, 2360-2370.
24. Gutiérrez, D., & Ramírez-Moreno, M.A. Assessing a learning process with functional ANOVA estimators of EEG power spectral densities. *Cogn. Neurodyn.* **2016**, 10(2), 175-183. DOI: 10.1007/s11571-015-9368-7.
25. Gruhn, W. (2004). Neurodidactics – a New Scientific Trend in Music Education ? Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Neurodidactics---a-New-Scientific-Trend-in->

26. Amer, T., Giovanello, K.S., Nichol, D.R., Hasher, L., & Grady, C.L. Neural Correlates of Enhanced Memory for Meaningful Associations with Age, *Cereb. Cortex*. **2019**, 29(11), 4568–4579. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy334>
27. Suzuki, M., Kawagoe, T., Nishiguchi, S., Abe, N., Otsuka, Y., Nakai, R., Asano, K., Yamada, M., Yoshikawa, S., & Sekiyama, K. Neural Correlates of Working Memory Maintenance in Advanced Aging: Evidence From fMRI. *Front. Aging Neurosci*. **2018**, 10, 358. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00358
28. Nyberg, L., Sandblom, J., Jones, S., Stigsdotter Neely, A., Magnus Petersson, K., Ingvar, M., & Bäckman, L. Neural correlates of training-related memory improvement in adulthood and aging. *PNAS*. **2003**, 100(23), 13728-13733. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1735487100
29. Chiang, H., Hsiao, K. & Liu, L. EEG-Based Detection Model for Evaluating and Improving Learning Attention. *J. Med. Biol. Eng*. **2018**, **38**, 847–856. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40846-017-0344-z>
30. Kelley, T.A., & Yantis, S. Neural correlates of learning to attend. *Front. Hum. Neurosci*. **2010**, 4, 216. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2010.00216
31. Downar, J., Bhatt, M., & Montague, P.R. Neural Correlates of Effective Learning in Experienced Medical Decision-Makers. *PLoS ONE*. **2011**, 6(11), e27768. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027768>
32. Moss, J., Schunn, C.D., Schneider, W., McNamara, D.S., & VanLehn, K. An fMRI study of strategic reading comprehension: Cognitive control and discourse comprehension. *Neuroimage*, **2011**, 58, 675–686.
33. Pedroarena-Leal, N.; Heidemeyer, L.; Trenado, C.; & Ruge, D. Human Depotentiation following Induction of Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity. *Biomedicines*, **2018**, 6, 71.

34. Unsworth, N., Fukuda, K., Awh, E., & Vogel, E.K. Working memory and fluid intelligence: Capacity, attention control, and secondary memory retrieval. *Cog. Psychol.* **2014**, *71*, 1–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2014.01.003>
35. Ericsson, K.A., & Kintsch, W. Long-term working memory. *Psychol. Rev.* **1995** *102*(2), 211–245.
36. Poulsen, A.T., Kamronn, S., Dmochowski, J., Parra, L.C., & Hansen, L.K. EEG in the classroom: Synchronised neural recordings during video presentation. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 43916. doi:10.1038/srep43916
37. Jraidi, I., Khedher, A., Chaouachi, M., & Frasson, C. Assessing Students' Clinical Reasoning Using Gaze and EEG Features. *ITS.* **2019**, 47-56.
38. Ko, L-W., Komarov, O., Hairston, W.D., Jung, T -P., & Lin, C-T. Sustained Attention in Real Classroom Settings: An EEG Study. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **2017**, *11*:388. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00388
39. Alwedaie, S.A., Khabbaz, H.A., Hadi, S.R., & Al-Hakim, R. EEG-Based Analysis for Learning through Virtual Reality Environment. *J Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2018**, *9*, 249. DOI: 10.4172/2155-6210.1000249
40. Dikker, S., Wan, L., Davidesco, I., Kaggen, L., Oostrik, M., McClintock, J., et al. Brain-to-brain synchrony tracks real-world dynamic group interactions in the classroom. *Curr. Biol.* **2017**, *27*, 1375–1380. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.00
41. Altenmüller, E., Gruhn, W., Parlitz, D., & Liebert, G. The impact of music education on brain networks: Evidence from EEG-studies. *Int. J. Music Educ.* **2000**, *35*(1), 47–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/025576140003500115>
42. Altenmüller, E. Cortical DC-potentials as electrophysiological correlates of hemispheric dominance of higher cognitive functions. *International journal of neuroscience.* **1989**, *47*(1-2), 1-14.

43. Koelsch, S., & Siebel, W.A. Towards a neural basis of music perception. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **2005**, 9(12), 578–584.
44. Koelsch, S. Toward a neural basis of music perception – a review and updated model. *Front. Psychol.* **2011**, 2, 1–20.
45. Collins, A. Neuroscience meets music education: Exploring the implications of neural processing models on music education practice. *Int. J. Music Educ.* **2013**, 31(2), 217–231.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0255761413483081>
46. Colvin, R. Optimising, generalising and integrating educational practice using neuroscience. *NPJ Sci. Learn.* **2016**, 1, 16012. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npjscilearn.2016.12>
47. Guze, P. Using Technology to Meet the Challenges of Medical Education. *Trans. Am. Clin. Climatol. Assocn.* **2015**, 126, 260-70.
48. Gupta, J. (2017, December 21). Harnessing the power of technology in medical education [OUP blog]. Retrieved January 31, 2020, from <https://blog.oup.com/2017/12/technology-in-medical-education/>
49. Sitaram, R. et al. Closed-loop brain training: the science of neurofeedback. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2017**, 18, 86-100.
50. Enriquez-Geppert, S., Huster, R.J., & Herrmann, C.S. EEG-Neurofeedback as a Tool to Modulate Cognition and Behavior: A Review Tutorial. *Front. Hum. Neurosc.* **2017**, 11, 51.
doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00051
51. Seghier, M.L., Fahim, M.A., & Habak, C. Educational fMRI: From the Lab to the Classroom. *Front. Psychol.* **2019**, 10, 2769. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02769

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The Dorothy Feiss Scientific Research Grant

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interests exist.

Ethical approval

NA

Informed Consent

NA