Genes versus lifestyles: Exploring beliefs about the determinants of cognitive ageing

Malwina A. Niechcial, MSc (ORCID ID 0000-0002-6662-9333)^a, Eleftheria Vaportzis, PhD (ORCID ID 0000-0002-0522-5095)^b, Alan J. Gow, PhD (ORCID ID 0000-0002-3320-4531)^{a*}

^a Centre for Applied Behavioural Sciences, Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK.

^b Division of Psychology, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK.

Short title: Beliefs about determinants of cognitive ageing

Corresponding author:

Professor Alan J. Gow

Centre of Applied Behavioural Sciences. Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences

Heriot-Watt University

Edinburgh, EH14 4AS

Email: A.J.Gow@hw.ac.uk

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 1

Number of supplementary tables: 1

Word count: Abstract 149; Main text and captions: 3956

Abstract

Objectives: Genetic and lifestyle factors contribute to cognitive ageing. This study explored people's beliefs about determinants of cognitive ageing and whether those beliefs were associated with engagement in potentially beneficial behaviours.

Methods: Data were collected through a UK-wide survey of people aged 40 and over. Responses from 3,130 individuals (94.0% of the survey sample) were analysed using chi-square tests of independence, principal component analysis and ANCOVAs.

Results: Most respondents (62.2%) believed genes and lifestyle contribute equally to age-related changes in cognitive skills. Respondents who believed genetic factors were more influential were less likely to expect cognitive skills might be improved or maintained with age, less sure what behaviours might be associated with brain health, and less likely to engage in behaviours comprising mental challenge/novelty supported as beneficial for brain health.

Conclusion: Our results indicate a need for clearer messaging highlighting the role of lifestyle factors for brain health.

Key words: cognitive skills, survey, activities, attribution, brain health

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Kaarin Anstey (University of New South Wales), Ian Deary (University of Edinburgh), Mike Martin (University of Zurich), Kaisu Pitkälä (University of Helsinki), Christina Röcke (University of Zurich), colleagues from Age Scotland, NHS Lothian, the City of Edinburgh Council, the Scottish Older People's Assembly, Education Scotland, and Age UK for their input during the development of the survey.

The data, analytic methods and study materials relating to this study will be made available to other researchers upon request. This survey was not preregistered.

Funding

This work was supported by Velux Stiftung (Project No. 1034) to A.J.G. The sponsor played no role in designing, executing, analysing and interpreting the data, or writing this paper.

Author contributions

AJG designed the study. AJG and EV collected the data. MAN analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors made substantial contributions, revised this manuscript, and approved the final version to be published.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflicts with any product mentioned or concept discussed in this article.

Data availability

The data, analytic methods and study materials relating to this study will be made available to other researchers upon request. This survey was not preregistered.

Ethics statement

The project was approved by the Heriot-Watt University School of Social Sciences Ethics Committee (ref 2016/340). All respondents gave informed consent either in writing or by agreeing to four consent questions included at the start of the online survey.

Introduction

Cognitive skills are influenced by our genes and lifestyle. The heritability of general cognitive ability might be as high as 80% during specific periods of the life course (Plomin & Deary, 2015). However, it has been estimated that lifestyle factors play a larger role in predicting individual variation in cognitive ageing *trajectories* (Finkel et al., 1998). The first report to use a population-based genetic analysis suggested that about 75% of the variance in cognitive ability from childhood to old age was due to environmental factors (Deary et al., 2012). This can be likened to a specific genetic risk of developing a heart condition, which can be lessened by following a healthy diet and taking up physical exercise. An active and engaged lifestyle is supported as being beneficial for brain health (Foresight Mental Capital and Wellbeing Project, 2008; Fratiglioni et al., 2004; Hertzog et al., 2008; Park et al., 2014), while engaging activities in mid- or late-life may be associated with lower risk of dementia (Valenzuela et al., 2011; Valenzuela & Sachdev, 2006).

Understanding whether people believe genetic or lifestyle factors more strongly determine our thinking skills is important. It is largely unknown whether the public attribute changes in cognitive skills to genetic or lifestyle factors, and how those beliefs impact engagement in behaviours and activities that might be beneficial to those skills. There are three ways knowledge of genetic risks of disease can affect health-related behaviours, namely they can encourage (McClure, 2002), discourage (Hunt et al., 2000) or have no effect on health-related behaviours (Milne et al., 2000). For example, people diagnosed with a familial condition heightening their cholesterol levels were less likely to believe in the contribution of lifestyle factors, instead favouring medication to reduce cholesterol levels (Marteau et al., 2004). If this was similar for cognitive skills (belief in there being predominantly genetic determinants), people would be less likely to believe that brain-healthy behaviours can improve those skills and therefore engage in proactive behaviours to a lesser extent.

However, those who were informed they were at higher risk of developing Alzheimer's disease (investigated through APOE ϵ 4 genotyping) were more likely to change their health behaviours (diet, exercise or taking supplements) to reduce their risk than those informed that their genetic risk was not higher (Chao et al., 2008). Those findings may be confounded by there being no effective preventative medication for Alzheimer's Disease. It is possible, therefore, that given the option of medication people would choose that over lifestyle changes (Marteau et al., 2004). As previous studies have often considered those already more likely to develop a specific condition, it is important to know how people with no prior knowledge of the presence (or absence) of higher risk engage in health-related behaviours.

Attitudes towards ageing, especially with regard to the malleability or fixedness of ageing on a person's traits and attributes (which can include intelligence), and the influence of such attitudes on task performance has been considered (Neel & Lassetter, 2015; Plaks & Chasteen, 2013; Weiss, 2018; Weiss et al., 2016). While this evidence presents a compelling case for predicting peoples' beliefs about cognitive ageing and engagement in brain-healthy behaviours, brain health is rarely the focus of such surveys, which led to the development of the current approach.

Phelan and colleagues conducted a survey to explore what people believe is important for successful ageing (Phelan et al., 2004). Having the kind of genes to age successfully was important to over 60% of respondents and being able to make lifestyle choices affecting how we age was important to over 85% of respondents (Phelan et al., 2004). Surveys on brain health in the UK and USA found that more than 9 in 10 respondents believe cognitive skills can be improved with age (AARP, 2015; Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). While knowledge of potentially beneficial lifestyle factors varied, those rated as most important to maintaining or improving cognitive skills from the UK survey included having a sense of purpose, eating a healthy diet, challenging the mind, getting adequate sleep, and keeping physically active

(Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). Although people recommended many of those lifestyles and behaviours to others to improve or maintain their cognitive skills with age (Niechcial et al., 2019) and reported engaging in many of them, they rarely did so to specifically benefit their cognitive skills (AARP, 2015; Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). Females may also be more likely than males to believe people can learn new things at any age, and more willing and motivated to improve their cognitive skills compared to males (AARP, 2014, 2017; Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). On the other hand, older people reported being less willing to do something to improve their cognitive skills (AARP, 2017). Attributions of how changes in cognitive skills might be determined by genetic and lifestyle factors, and the engagement in lifestyles and behaviours deemed beneficial to those skills, were therefore examined in a large, representative survey of adults aged 40 and over in the UK.

Objectives

This study was conducted to answer the following questions:

- What do people believe is the balance of genetic and lifestyle factors as determinants of age-related changes in cognitive skills?
- Is self-reported cognitive health associated with attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?
- Do people's beliefs about the possibility of improving/maintaining cognitive skills with age vary according to their attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?
- Do the behaviours people report doing vary according to their attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?

Materials and Methods

Participants

“What Keeps You Sharp?” was a UK-wide survey conducted between November 2016 and February 2017. Respondents were 3,330 adults aged 40 and over. They completed the survey online or in hardcopy. The survey explored their attitudes to and beliefs about how cognitive skills might change with age (Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). Online data collection was facilitated through a market research company, Survey Sampling ($N = 2,327$). The online survey was additionally circulated via social groups, GP practices, our volunteer database and contacts with Age UK and Age Scotland ($N = 883$). Our team distributed hardcopy survey and the second author (E.V.) visited community centres to directly recruit the oldest-old and those without internet access ($N = 120$).

Of the 3,330 responses, 113 participants missed the current questions of interest, giving a completion rate of 96.6% ($N = 3,217$). The intended sample was adults aged 40 and over, therefore 27 respondents who reported to be younger than 40 were excluded. Responses of those not reporting their age were also excluded ($N = 60$). The analytical sample was therefore 3,130 (94.0% of the overall respondents), comprising 1,837 females (58.7%), 1,286 males (41.1%), with five respondents identifying as ‘other’ (0.2%) and two not reporting their gender (0.1%). Most respondents identified as white British (91.5%, $N = 2,863$). The mean age was 59.9 years old ($SD = 11.1$, range 40-98). For age comparisons, we created three groups: ‘middle-aged’ comprising 40-59 year olds ($N = 1,503$, 48.0%), ‘young-old’ comprising those aged 60-79 ($N = 1,529$, 48.8%) and ‘old-old’ 80-98 year olds ($N = 98$, 3.1%). Our respondents were relatively well educated with 71.6% ($N = 2,241$) having completed either college, professional qualifications, undergraduate or postgraduate degrees. Responses may not total 3,130 because of missing data.

Survey

The survey contained questions related to cognitive ageing, including when respondents thought different cognitive skills declined and whether they believed cognitive skills can be maintained or improved with age (reported previously (Vaportzis & Gow, 2018)). The current paper focuses on respondents' beliefs regarding the genetic and lifestyle contributors to age-related changes in cognitive skills, how those beliefs were associated with behaviours respondents were involved in, and whether they did those behaviours because they believed they were good for their cognitive skills.

Within the larger survey we asked our respondents the following questions relevant to the current analyses:

“Our lifestyles sometimes affect how healthy we are as we age. Some health outcomes might also be influenced by our genes. I think that the changes we experience in our thinking skills as we age are (choose what you think may be most likely)” to which respondents could choose ‘entirely determined by our genes’, ‘mostly determined by our genes’, ‘probably about half determined by our genes and half determined by our lifestyle’, ‘mostly determined by our lifestyle’ or ‘entirely determined by our lifestyle’;

“Do you think there are things people can do to maintain or improve their thinking skills as they grow older?”, responses to which were ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘not sure’;

“How would you rate your thinking skills or mental sharpness?”, where they could indicate their skills were ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, ‘good’, ‘fair’ or ‘poor’;

“Do you know how to keep your brain healthy?” to which they could respond ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘not sure’; and

“Below is a list of activities that some people believe might be good for their thinking skills. We want you to tell us which of the following activities you regularly do and which you do because they might be good for your thinking skills. If you do not do the activity, select ‘Don’t do’”, where they could respond ‘don’t do’, ‘regularly do’ or ‘do because it is good for your thinking skills’. The list consisted of 19 lifestyles and behaviours such as learning new things, eating a healthy diet, pursuing a purpose in life, volunteering and helping others, and managing stress effectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Data Analysis

To investigate how respondents’ beliefs regarding the genetic/lifestyle determinants of cognitive ageing were associated with their cognitive health and views about improvement of cognitive skills with age, the categorical data were put into contingency tables and analysed by chi-square (χ^2) tests of independence. For post hoc tests, we squared the adjusted standardised residual scores produced to convert them into χ^2 values for each comparison. We then estimated p -values from those by computing a new variable using the χ^2 significance function in SPSS and compared those individual p -values against Bonferroni corrected p -value for each comparison (Beasley & Schumacker, 1995; MacDonald & Gardner, 2000). This method was also used in our previous report on the survey (Vaportzis & Gow, 2018).

We also investigated the associations between respondents’ beliefs regarding determinants of cognitive ageing and their engagement in the 19 behaviours. The three response options were collapsed to two by combining ‘regularly do’ and ‘do because it is good for your thinking skills’ (i.e., becoming ‘do’ and ‘don’t do’). We conducted a Principal Component Analysis to identify the underlying factor structure from the 19 behaviours. In brief, the overall Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy (MSA) was 0.81 and no individual item MSA was lower than 0.66. The scree plot suggested five factors

(accounting for 45.5% of the overall variance), which were extracted by direct oblimin rotation. Factor loadings are given in Supplementary Table 1, defining Purposeful activity; Health behaviours; Games; Mindful and creative activity; Informational activity.

The five factors were used in ANCOVAs investigating associations between respondents' beliefs regarding determinants of cognitive ageing and the behaviours they were involved in, with age group and gender as covariates.

Results

What do people believe is the balance of genetic and lifestyle factors as determinants of age-related changes in cognitive skills?

Overall, 62.2% of respondents believed that cognitive skills are determined equally by genes and lifestyle. There was a significant association between the attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants and respondents' gender ($p < 0.05$, Table 1). Although males and females mostly believed in an equal influence of genetic/lifestyle determinants on cognitive skills, post hoc tests revealed that females (66.5%) were significantly more likely than males (56.3%) to believe in the equal influence; males were significantly more likely than females to indicate cognitive skills are mostly (18.0% males versus 11.2% females) or entirely (4.1% males versus 2.4% females) determined by genes.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

Although respondents in the old-old group (aged 80-98) were less likely to believe in this equal influence (49.4% compared with 62.3% of the middle-aged group and 62.9% of the young-old group), these age differences were not significant ($p > 0.05$, Table 1). The old-old were also more likely to choose 'mostly determined by our genes' (19.1% compared with 14.9% of the middle-aged group and 13.0% of the young-old group) or 'mostly determined by our lifestyle' (24.7% compared with 17.6% of the middle-aged group and 19.5% of the young-old group), however these differences were also not significant ($p > 0.05$, Table 1).

For the remaining analyses, we pooled responses of 'entirely determined by genes' and 'mostly determined by genes' into a single 'genes' category; similarly, a 'lifestyle'

category combined ‘entirely determined by lifestyle’ and ‘mostly determined by lifestyle’ responses. The ‘probably about half determined by genes and half determined by lifestyle’ category will be referred to as ‘equal’. This resulted in three categories, ‘genes’, ‘equal’ and ‘lifestyle’.

Is self-reported cognitive health associated with attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?

The χ^2 test of independence suggested no association between self-rated cognitive ability and whether people believed cognitive skills were determined by genes or lifestyle ($p > 0.05$, Table 2).

[Insert Table 2 about here]

Do people’s beliefs about the possibility of improving/maintaining cognitive skills with age vary according to their attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?

There was a significant association between the attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants and people’s beliefs about whether cognitive skills could be improved/maintained with age ($p < 0.05$, Table 2). Post hoc tests revealed that those who believed genes made a greater contribution to changes in cognitive skills were less likely to think those could be maintained/improved with age; those who believed lifestyle made a greater contribution to changes in cognitive skills as well as those who believed in equal attribution of both determinants were more likely to think skills could be maintained/improved with age (Table 2).

There was also a significant association between the attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants and respondents' certainty of knowing what might be good for brain health ($p < 0.05$, Table 2). Post hoc tests revealed that those who believed genes made a greater contribution were less likely to know what might help improve cognitive skills, while those who believed lifestyle made a greater contribution were more likely to report knowing how to maintain/improve cognitive skills (Table 2).

Do the behaviours people report doing vary according to their attribution of genetic/lifestyle determinants?

We carried out five separate ANCOVAs to explore the associations between people's attributions of genetic/lifestyle determinants of cognitive skills and the five factors we identified from behaviours they reported doing, with age and gender as covariates (Table 3 and Figure 1). The attribution of determinants was significantly associated with Games ($F = 4.776$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta p^2 = 0.003$), Mindful and creative activity ($F = 4.171$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta p^2 = 0.003$), and Informational activity ($F = 6.369$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta p^2 = 0.004$). The overall results were therefore followed-up with pairwise comparisons.

[Insert Table 3 about here]

The post hoc comparisons suggested people who attributed changes in cognitive skills to genes had higher Games scores versus all others (mean difference 0.103, $p < 0.05$ between genes and equal, and mean difference 0.181, $p < 0.05$ between genes and lifestyle). Those attributing changes to lifestyle had higher Mindful and creative activity scores versus those attributing equal genes/lifestyle (mean difference 0.126, $p < 0.05$). Finally, those believing in a genetic attribution had lower Informational activity scores than those believing in equal

attribution (mean difference -0.167, $p < 0.05$). In summary, people who believed that genes more strongly influence cognitive ageing were more likely to engage in games and brain training, and less likely watch news and educational programmes.

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

There were no significant differences between beliefs about determinants of cognitive skills and Purposeful activity, and Health behaviours ($p > 0.05$) overall, although there was a significant difference specifically between genes and lifestyle in Purposeful activity (mean difference -0.135, $p < 0.05$). Those who believed in a greater contribution of genes to cognitive ageing were less likely to engage in behaviours, such as learning new things and taking part in educational classes.

Discussion

This was the first UK-wide survey asking adults about their beliefs regarding the genetic and lifestyle determinants of cognitive ageing. Most of our respondents believed that changes in cognitive skills were equally determined by genetic and lifestyle factors, which is perhaps less optimistic than empirical evidence that lifestyle plays a larger role (Deary et al., 2012). In our sample, while females and males mostly believed in the equal influence of genes and lifestyle, females were more likely than males to report this belief; males were more likely than females to believe that genes influence our cognitive skills to a greater extent. Females were previously reported to be more likely than males to believe people can learn new things at any age, and more willing and motivated to improve their cognitive skills compared to males (AARP, 2014, 2017; Vaportzis & Gow, 2018). We might, therefore, suggest that is partly due to a higher proportion of males believing in a stronger influence of genetic factors, potentially perceiving those abilities to be less malleable. There were no significant differences between the three age groups in their beliefs about the genetic/lifestyle contributions, though this could be due to a very small number of respondents in the old-old group. Self-ratings of cognitive ability were not associated with beliefs about the contributions of genes and lifestyle to changes in cognitive skills. However, those beliefs were associated with how malleable they thought cognitive skills might be in later life and whether they knew how to support those changes. Those beliefs were also associated with the behaviours our respondents reported doing. Respondents believing that genes were the stronger influence were more likely to engage in games and brain training and less likely to read, watch the news and engage in educational programmes.

It could be argued that those who think genetic factors are more important to cognitive ageing are engaging more in behaviours with unclear benefits for brain health, such as brain training, and less in behaviours deemed good for cognitive skills, comprising mental

challenge and novelty, such as learning new things or participating in educational activities. This might provide partial support to studies linking genetic risks for some diseases and health-related behaviours (Chao et al., 2008; Marteau et al., 2004), where the individuals at risk show preference for ways that might appear more direct in terms of preventing the disease (in this case endorsing brain training) than a potentially less direct/more challenging lifestyle change, such as trying new activities. While respondents who believed genetic factors were the stronger contributor still engaged in certain behaviours, they were not more likely to engage in mentally stimulating activities, such as novel learning, which has been reported as an important contributor to maintaining/improving cognitive skills (Park et al., 2014). On the other hand, respondents who believed in an equal influence of genetic and lifestyle factors were less likely to engage in behaviours, such as meditation and playing a musical instrument. This is somewhat in line with previous research (AARP, 2015; Vaportzis & Gow, 2018) suggesting that people generally do not engage in certain behaviours, although they would recommend those behaviours to others to improve their cognitive skills (Niechcial et al., 2019). This finding points to a need for brain health messaging highlighting the importance of engaging in brain-healthy activities and behaviours.

Our survey was carried out in the UK and although our findings are consistent with US-derived data, beliefs and attitudes towards cognitive ageing may differ in other countries and cultures. Future surveys should focus on non-Western countries to allow comparisons; the multi-country, multilanguage Global Brain Health Survey is a positive development in that regard (Budin-Ljosne et al., 2020). Our study does not allow in-depth analysis of the data reported. Future studies should seek to explore the context-rich aspects of people's reported beliefs, perhaps supplementing the survey methodology with qualitative designs. Equally, as this was a cross-sectional survey, we cannot imply that beliefs determine behaviour; studies supporting behaviour change via modifying beliefs and understanding about brain health

would be of value. Our survey was exploratory in nature and examined the relationship of people's beliefs regarding genetic and lifestyle factors contributing to their cognitive skills in later life and their engagement in potentially brain-healthy behaviours. We did not set out to test any particular theory in our survey, which can be seen as a limitation of our work. In light of previous literature, such as malleability and fixedness of ageing and capacity for change (Neel & Lassetter, 2015; Plaks & Chasteen, 2013; Weiss, 2018; Weiss et al., 2016) we would only be able to offer speculative suggestions about our findings.

Although descriptive, our results suggest that a higher proportion of males than females believe that cognitive skills are influenced by our genes rather than lifestyle factors, which may mean they see those skills as less malleable. People who believe genes are the greater contributor may not believe cognitive skills can be improved with age, appear less likely to know how to improve those skills, and consequently may not engage in activities and behaviours that could benefit those skills. Our results indicate a need for clear brain health messaging to ensure the general public's beliefs are in line with current empirical findings and that everyone knows how to maintain their cognitive skills with age. This could be achieved through resources such as Age UK's Staying Sharp webpages (Age UK, 2017) and AARP's online resources related to brain health (AARP, n.d.). It is also important that this messaging reaches people at an earlier age (for example, from midlife), so that they may take proactive steps towards brain health throughout the life course. It would be useful, for example, to highlight that lifestyle factors may have a potentially greater role in improving or maintaining brain health, especially given those factors are more likely to be amenable to personal control.

References

AARP. (2014). *Brain health research study*.

https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/research/surveys_statistics/health/2015/2014-brain-health-research-study.doi.10.26419%252Fres.00096.001.pdf

AARP. (2015). *2015 survey on brain health*.

https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/research/surveys_statistics/health/2015/2015-brain-health.doi.10.26419%252Fres.00114.001.pdf

AARP. (2017). *2017 AARP cognitive activity and brain health survey*.

https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/research/surveys_statistics/health/2017/brain-health-cognitive-activity.doi.10.26419%252Fres.00044.001.pdf

AARP. (n.d.). *Brain health & wellness*. AARP. <https://www.aarp.org/health/brain-health/?intcmp=GLBNAV-SL-HEA-BRHL>

Age UK. (2017). *Staying sharp*. AGE UK. <https://www.ageuk.org.uk/information-advice/health-wellbeing/mind-body/staying-sharp/>

Beasley, T. M., & Schumacker, R. E. (1995). Multiple regression approach to analyzing contingency tables: Post hoc and planned comparison procedures. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 64(1), 79-93.

Budin-Ljosne, I., Friedman, B. B., Suri, S., Sole-Padulles, C., Duzel, S., Drevon, C. A., Baare, W. F. C., Mowinckel, A. M., Zsoldos, E., Madsen, K. S., Carver, R. B., Ghisletta, P., Arnesen, M. R., Bartres Faz, D., Brandmaier, A. M., Fjell, A. M., Kvalbein, A., Henson, R. N., Kievit, R. A., Nawijn, L., Pochet, R., Schnitzler, A., Walhovd, K. B., & Zaslack, L. (2020). The Global Brain Health Survey:

- Development of a Multi-Language Survey of Public Views on Brain Health. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 387. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00387>
- Chao, S., Roberts, J. S., Marteau, T. M., Silliman, R., Cupples, L. A., & Green, R. C. (2008). Health behavior changes after genetic risk assessment for Alzheimer disease: The REVEAL Study. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 22(1), 94.
- Deary, I. J., Yang, J., Davies, G., Harris, S. E., Tenesa, A., Liewald, D., Luciano, M., Lopez, L. M., Gow, A. J., & Corley, J. (2012). Genetic contributions to stability and change in intelligence from childhood to old age. *Nature*, 482(7384), 212.
- Finkel, D., Pedersen, N. L., Plomin, R., & McClearn, G. E. (1998). Longitudinal and cross-sectional twin data on cognitive abilities in adulthood: The swedish adoption/twin study of aging. *Developmental Psychology*, 34(6), 1400.
- Foresight Mental Capital and Wellbeing Project. (2008). *Final project report*. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/292450/mental-capital-wellbeing-report.pdf
- Fratiglioni, L., Paillard-Borg, S., & Winblad, B. (2004). An active and socially integrated lifestyle in late life might protect against dementia. *The Lancet Neurology*, 3(6), 343-353.
- Hertzog, C., Kramer, A. F., Wilson, R. S., & Lindenberger, U. (2008). Enrichment effects on adult cognitive development: Can the functional capacity of older adults be preserved and enhanced? *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 9(1), 1-65.
- Hunt, K., Davison, C., Emslie, C., & Ford, G. (2000). Are perceptions of a family history of heart disease related to health-related attitudes and behaviour? *Health Education Research*, 15(2), 131-143.

- MacDonald, P. L., & Gardner, R. C. (2000). Type I error rate comparisons of post hoc procedures for I x J chi-square tables. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60(5), 735-754.
- Marteau, T., Senior, V., Humphries, S. E., Bobrow, M., Cranston, T., Crook, M. A., Day, L., Fernandez, M., Horne, R., & Iversen, A. (2004). Psychological impact of genetic testing for familial hypercholesterolemia within a previously aware population: A randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 128(3), 285-293.
- McClure, J. B. (2002). Are biomarkers useful treatment aids for promoting health behavior change?: An empirical review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 22(3), 200-207.
- Milne, S., Sheeran, P., & Orbell, S. (2000). Prediction and intervention in health-related behavior: A meta-analytic review of protection motivation theory. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30(1), 106-143.
- Neel, R., & Lassetter, B. (2015). Growing fixed with age: Lay theories of malleability are target age-specific. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 41(11), 1505-1522.
- Niechcial, M. A., Vaportzis, E., & Gow, A. J. (2019). People's views on preserving thinking skills in old age. *Educational Gerontology*, 45(5), 341-352.
- Park, D. C., Lodi-Smith, J., Drew, L., Haber, S., Hebrank, A., Bischof, G. N., & Aamodt, W. (2014). The impact of sustained engagement on cognitive function in older adults: The Synapse Project. *Psychological Science*, 25(1), 103-112.

- Phelan, E. A., Anderson, L. A., Lacroix, A. Z., & Larson, E. B. (2004). Older adults' views of “successful aging” - How do they compare with researchers' definitions? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 52(2), 211-216.
- Plaks, J. E., & Chasteen, A. L. (2013). Entity versus incremental theories predict older adults' memory performance. *Psychology and Aging*, 28(4), 948.
- Plomin, R., & Deary, I. J. (2015). Genetics and intelligence differences: Five special findings. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20(1), 98.
- Valenzuela, M., Brayne, C., Sachdev, P., Wilcock, G., & Matthews, F. (2011). Cognitive lifestyle and long-term risk of dementia and survival after diagnosis in a multicenter population-based cohort. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 173(9), 1004-1012.
- Valenzuela, M., & Sachdev, P. (2006). Brain reserve and dementia: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, 36(4), 441-454.
- Vaportzis, E., & Gow, A. J. (2018). People's beliefs and expectations about how cognitive skills change with age: Evidence from a UK-wide aging survey. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26(7), 797-805.
- Weiss, D. (2018). On the inevitability of aging: Essentialist beliefs moderate the impact of negative age stereotypes on older adults' memory performance and physiological reactivity. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 73(6), 925-933.
- Weiss, D., Job, V., Mathias, M., Grah, S., & Freund, A. M. (2016). The end is (not) near: Aging, essentialism, and future time perspective. *Developmental Psychology*, 52(6), 996.

Table 1

Respondents' opinions about determinants of cognitive skills broken down by age group and gender

Characteristics	Cognitive skills are entirely determined by genes	Cognitive skills are mostly determined by genes	Cognitive skills are determined equally by genes and lifestyle	Cognitive skills are mostly determined by lifestyle	Cognitive skills are entirely determined by lifestyle	χ^2
Total <i>n</i> (%)	96 (3.1)	438 (14.1)	1,937 (62.2)	582 (18.7)	59 (1.9)	
Age group						
Middle-aged <i>n</i> (%)	51 (3.4)	224 (14.9)	937 (62.3)	264 (17.6)	27 (1.8)	$\chi^2 (8) = 11.47$
Young-old <i>n</i> (%)	42 (2.8)	197 (13.0)	956 (62.9)	296 (19.5)	29 (1.9)	$p = 0.177$
Old-old <i>n</i> (%)	3 (3.4)	17 (19.1)	44 (49.4)	22 (24.7)	3 (3.4)	$N = 3,112$
Gender						
Female <i>n</i> (%)	43 (2.4) ^a	205 (11.2) ^a	1,214 (66.5) ^a	329 (18.0)	34 (1.9)	$\chi^2 (4) = 46.48$
Male <i>n</i> (%)	53 (4.1) ^a	231 (18.0) ^a	720 (56.3) ^a	252 (19.7)	24 (1.9)	$p < 0.001^*$
						$N = 3,105$

Note. Overall χ^2 are significant at $*p < 0.05$. ^a denotes post hoc comparisons significant at the $p < 0.0056$ level.

Table 2

Chi-square tests examining respondents' beliefs about cognitive skills according to their opinions about determinants of those skills

Beliefs about cognitive skills	Genes <i>n</i> (%)	Equal <i>n</i> (%)	Lifestyle <i>n</i> (%)	χ^2
My cognitive skills are				
Excellent	90 (19.1)	271 (57.7)	109 (23.2)	$\chi^2 (8) = 14.09$
Very good	256 (17.1)	926 (61.8)	316 (21.1)	$p = 0.080$
Good	154 (17.2)	568 (63.6)	171 (19.1)	$N = 3,109$
Fair	27 (12.4)	155 (71.1)	36 (16.5)	
Poor	6 (20.0)	16 (53.3)	8 (26.7)	
Cognitive skills can be maintained/improved with age				
Yes	453 (15.9) ^a	1,783 (62.7)	609 (21.4) ^a	$\chi^2 (4) = 69.63$
Not sure	41 (22.7)	118 (65.2)	22 (12.2) ^a	$p < 0.001^*$
No	40 (48.2) ^a	34 (41.0) ^a	9 (10.8)	$N = 3,109$
I know how to keep my brain healthy				
Yes	285 (15.6) ^a	1,131 (61.8)	413 (22.6) ^a	$\chi^2 (4) = 36.19$
Not sure	167 (16.9)	643 (64.9)	181 (18.3)	$p < 0.001^*$
No	82 (17.2) ^a	161 (62.2)	46 (20.6)	$N = 3,109$

Note. Overall χ^2 are significant at $*p < 0.05$. ^a denotes post hoc comparisons significant at the $p < 0.0056$ level.

Table 3

ANCOVAs examining respondents' engagement in behaviours according to their opinions about determinants of cognitive skills

Behaviours engaged in by attribution					
of determinants of cognitive skills	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	ηp^2	Mean difference	<i>p</i>
Purposeful activity	2.746	0.064	0.002		
Genes - Equal				-0.056	0.260
Equal - Lifestyle				-0.079	0.083
Lifestyle - Genes				0.135	0.022*
Health behaviours	2.271	0.103	0.001		
Genes - Equal				-0.095	0.052
Equal - Lifestyle				-0.018	0.698
Lifestyle - Genes				0.113	0.053
Games	4.776	0.008*	0.003		
Genes - Equal				0.103	0.036*
Equal - Lifestyle				0.078	0.088
Lifestyle - Genes				-0.181	0.002*
Mindful and creative activity	4.171	0.016*	0.003		
Genes - Equal				0.069	0.159
Equal - Lifestyle				-0.126	0.005*
Lifestyle - Genes				0.057	0.328
Informational activity	6.369	0.002*	0.004		
Genes - Equal				-0.167	0.001*
Equal - Lifestyle				0.083	0.067

Lifestyle - Genes

0.084

0.152

Note. Analyses of covariance for each factor and mean differences are significant at $*p <$

0.05.

Figure 1

Mean differences in engagement in five behaviours by determinants of cognitive skills

e

Note. Mean differences are significant at $*p < 0.05$.

Supplementary Table 1

Item loadings from Principal Component Analysis

Variable	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
Trying new activities	0.796	0.163	0.119	0.217	0.173
Learning new things	0.783	0.181	0.129	0.070	0.208
Pursuing hobbies	0.518	0.337	0.238	0.033	0.205
Taking educational classes	0.507	0.027	-0.048	0.507	0.121
Having a purpose in life	0.489	0.471	0.014	0.128	0.252
Volunteering or helping others	0.441	0.190	0.001	0.376	0.203
Getting enough sleep	0.105	0.707	0.045	0.077	0.056
Managing stress effectively	0.154	0.695	0.197	0.002	0.027
Eating a healthy diet	0.128	0.508	-0.058	0.260	0.352
Socialising with friends or family	0.292	0.466	0.014	-0.019	0.327
Exercising your body or physical activity	0.249	0.430	-0.093	0.330	0.298
Challenging the mind with games, puzzles, or other activities	0.154	0.102	0.787	-0.017	0.144
Playing games designed for brain training	0.105	0.085	0.773	0.247	0.057

Engaging in mindful activities such as meditation, yoga or prayer	0.255	0.110	0.139	0.717	0.099
Playing a musical instrument or singing	0.258	0.061	0.095	0.601	0.063
Taking vitamins or supplements	-0.109	0.066	0.012	0.522	0.040
Watching the news	-0.038	0.114	-0.004	-0.061	0.726
Watching educational programmes	0.255	0.034	0.162	0.224	0.633
Reading	0.229	0.178	0.039	0.053	0.498

Note. Items loading over ~0.5 were referred to in naming the factors, however, all items contributed to each factor score according to their respective loadings. The factors were defined as Factor 1: Purposeful activity; Factor 2: Health behaviours; Factor 3: Games; Factor 4: Mindful and creative activity; Factor 5: Informational activity.