**Suicidal Urges and Attempted Suicide at Multiple Time Scales
in Borderline Personality Disorder**

Aleksandra Kaurin^a, Alexandre Y. Dombrovski^b, Michael N. Hallquist^c & Aidan G.C. Wright^d

^a Faculty of Health/School of Psychology and Psychiatry, Witten/Herdecke University, Witten,
Germany

^b Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, USA

^c Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA

^d Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh, USA

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Aleksandra Kaurin,
Department of Psychology, Witten/Herdecke University, Alfred-Herrhausen-Straße 44, 58455
Witten, Germany. E-Mail: kaurinaleksandra@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: There is strong evidence for an enduring suicidal diathesis among individuals with a history of suicide attempts, particularly among people diagnosed with borderline personality disorder (BPD). However, the progression of suicidal crises among people predisposed to suicidal behavior remains poorly understood. **Methods:** Via multilevel structural equation modeling we tested the hypothesis that a history of attempted suicide predicts a stronger dynamic link between affect and impulsivity with suicidal ideation (i.e., suicidal urges) — both moment-to-moment and day-to-day. 153 patients diagnosed with BPD, 105 of whom had a history of medically serious suicide attempts completed a 21-day ecological momentary assessment protocol (17,926 total assessments). **Results:** Individuals with higher average levels of negative affect reported more suicidal thoughts. Moments characterized by more negative affect, hostility, impulsivity, and less positive affect were also characterized by elevated suicidal ideation. For hostility and positive affect, these significant links generalized to the daily level. At the same time, for negative affect and hostility the within-person coupling was stronger among attempters in comparison to non-attempters, and these effects did not significantly differ across timescales. **Limitations:** Follow-up studies replicating our findings of the dysregulation-suicidality nexus in clinically more diverse samples are needed. **Conclusions:** The diathesis for suicidal behavior manifests in tighter dynamic links between negative affect or hostility and suicidal ideation. Because these within-person links were amplified in attempters compared to non-attempters, differential coupling patterns may index potentially lethal processes that generalize beyond BPD reflecting distinct diathesis components.

Key words: suicidal ideation; ecological momentary assessment; borderline personality disorder; multilevel structural equation modelling

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) represents a key vulnerability factor for suicide attempts and death by suicide (Mann et al., 1999). ~9% of people with a BPD diagnosis die by suicide (Gunderson & Ridolfi, 2001; McGirr et al. 2007) and almost all report chronically elevated levels of suicidal ideation (Zanarini et al. 2016). Comorbid BPD increases the number and lethality of suicide attempts among patients with major depressive disorder (Soloff et al., 2000) or substance use disorder (Oldham, 2006). A higher number of suicide attempts predicts the lethality of suicide attempts (Chesin et al., 2010) and death by suicide (Clark et al., 1989) in BPD, aligning with the general notion that, transdiagnostically, a history of attempted suicide is one of the strongest predictors of future suicidal behavior (O'Connor & Nock, 2014).

However, to date, little is known about how an enduring diathesis for death by suicide manifests in the processes that catalyze suicidal thoughts (Kuehn et al., 2020). Based on a 21-day ambulatory assessment protocol, we investigated whether the suicide diathesis in a high-risk population is expressed in the dynamic coupling of affect and suicidal ideation in people's daily lives. More specifically, we tested whether suicidal urges, defined as within-person links of affect or impulsivity with amplifications of suicidal ideation in daily life, distinguish those with a history of medically serious suicide attempts from non-attempters.

Affective dysregulation is among the most potent indicators of acute suicide risk (Anestis et al., 2018; Galynker et al., 2017; Law et al., 2015), and particularly so in BPD (Linehan et al., 1993; McGirr et al., 2007; Soloff et al., 2005; Wedig et al., 2012; Yen et al., 2004). More specifically, affective experiences of hostility distinguish the emotional experience of people with BPD features (Berenson et al., 2011; Chapman et al., 2015). Although related, there is relatively less evidence for the predictive validity of impulsivity for suicide in BPD as compared to affective responses. Previous work has primarily focused on cross-sectional self-reports of

impulsiveness, emphasizing investigation of suicidal risk over the long-term. Conceivably, assessments of impulsive states, in contrast, may uncover more proximal risk factors for suicidal risk as it emerges in daily life (Hadzic et al., 2020; Lucht et al., 2022).

Intense affective experiences, impulsive urges and potentially escalating suicidal thoughts are viewed as temporary deviations from a baseline state, thus waxing and waning and naturally diminishing over time (Rudd et al., 2006; Sperry et al., 2021). Recent work supports this view of suicidal ideation – and thus the risk of attempting suicide – to be highly variable over short periods of time such as days (Coppersmith et al., 2019; Crowe et al., 2019) or even only a few hours (Hallensleben et al., 2017). Similarly, affective states, like hopelessness have been shown to co-occur with momentary changes in suicidal ideation (Kleiman et al., 2017; Victor et al., 2019). Positive and negative affective states, however, are hypothesized to be differentially reactive to contextual demands in daily life. Self-reported and observed positive, but not negative, affect follows an endogenous, biologically-driven (i.e., circadian) daily rhythm (e.g., Hasler et al., 2008; Murray, 2007). In contrast, the negative affective system has been hypothesized to function more reactively, and thus be more variable throughout the day, due to the relative unpredictability of (social) threat (Murray et al., 2002).

Accordingly, these findings, along with a growing recognition that affect dynamics are essential for understanding suicide (Kuehn et al., 2022), necessitate fine-grained, process-based assessments to comprehend how suicidal crises emerge in daily life at time scales that match their rhythmicity and reactivity. Nevertheless, data on the affective signatures specifically associated with suicidal behavior in everyday life are lacking, with most previous studies measuring suicidal ideation over longer intervals (Franklin et al., 2017), and disregarding differential time scales at which the predictive value of short-term warning signs may emerge.

Tracking affective processes in people diagnosed with BPD and a history of suicidal behavior over three weeks, Links et al. (2007) found that negative mood intensity was related to daily self-reported suicide ideation, and to the number of suicidal behaviors during the preceding year, while impulsivity was not (see also Mou et al., 2018). Importantly, however, neither study examined whether the differential coupling of affect and suicidal ideation varied as a function of attempt history. It is, therefore, unclear whether these links are reflective of BPD per se, or a more general suicidal diathesis. Moreover, it is yet to be tested whether fluctuations of positive affect may also be considered as suicidogenic, how fluctuations in impulsivity relate to suicidal ideation in daily life, and how the predictive value of affect and impulsivity may vary as a function of time scale.

Suicidal ideation and behaviors precede death by suicide by years, potentially becoming a chronic and habitual response to adverse life events (Mehlum et al., 1994). In early-stage suicidal crisis¹, where affective disturbance may progress to suicidal ideation (i.e., suicidal urges), people begin to consider suicide, likely as a coping effort to escape circumstances that are perceived to be intolerable or overwhelmingly painful (Crane et al., 2014; Shneidman, 1998). The persistence of suicidal thinking in high-risk samples may be explained by the notion that suicidal ideation potentially leads to decreases in negative affect or increases in positive affect (Kleimann et al., 2018; Kuehn et al., 2022). From a reinforcement perspective, therefore, the experience of short-term relief, may lead to recurrent, sustained thoughts of suicide (Selby et al., 2007). Evidence from experimental studies builds on this notion of affective reinforcement, finding that suicidal

¹Across a variety of theoretical vantage points, suicidal ideation and behavior is assumed to be best captured by a unidimensional construct, with passive suicidal ideation, active intent, and behavior existing along a continuum (Joiner, 2005; Klonsky & May, 2015; O'Connor, 2011). The term "early suicidal crisis" alludes to its continuous conceptualization, because suicidal ideation precedes a suicide attempt or death by suicide, and it also puts emphasis on the fact that suicidal ideation vs. behavior should be considered to represent distinct stages of the process (Klonsky, Saffer, & Bryan, 2018). We do, however, acknowledge that such an operationalization reflects a rather simplistic account of suicidality. It is likely that multiple pathways underlie superficially similar progressions of cognition and behaviors (Szanto et al., 2018).

ideation and behaviors are potentially caused by aberrant learning processes and decision-making biases in the face of distress (Dombrovski et al., 2019; McGirr et al., 2012; Millner et al., 2019). Together these studies suggest that in the context of aversive affective experiences the search for alternatives to suicide may be undermined by relief from negative mood after suicidal thoughts. To date, however, there is no prospective evidence that people predisposed to suicidal behavior are more likely to consider suicide when experiencing aversive affective states. Thus, in this study, we tested the hypothesis that among suicide attempters, compared to psychiatric controls, the daily coupling of affective dysregulation and suicidal ideation is stronger. That is, we predicted that among suicide attempters, daily affect/impulsivity and suicidal ideation would be more strongly associated.

We used multilevel structural equation modelling (MSEM) to examine within-person links (i.e., suicidal urges) among affective or impulsive experiences and suicidal ideation, and whether these links are potentiated among suicide attempters. We refer to within-person associations among affect or impulsivity and suicidal ideation as suicidal urges to capture the volatile character of suicidal thoughts and affective experiences across short periods of time (Kaurin et al., 2020; Kleiman et al., 2017), similar to tendencies to act rashly in the context of aversive experiences (e.g., negative urgency; Cyders & Smith, 2008; Tomko et al., 2015). Because there is research to suggest that circadian rhythmicity could reflect an important contributor to fluctuations in affective states, our analyses account for multiple time scales including variability in affect and impulsivity from day to day and across faster time scales within days. This allowed us to test the possibility that day-to-day or more rapid moment-to-moment coupling patterns may reflect distinct diathesis components that differ in their diurnal rhythmicity and contextual reactivity.

Given the high prevalence of serious suicidal thoughts, suicide attempts, and death by suicide in people diagnosed with BPD, we used a case-control design including non-clinical and non-attempter BPD comparison groups to control for psychopathology confounds. BPD offers a particularly informative population for the study of suicidality because it reflects a confluence of internalizing and externalizing psychopathology (Eaton et al., 2011; Crowell et al., 2009; James & Taylor, 2008): Diagnostic criteria like affective instability relate more strongly to internalizing, while others, such as marked impulsivity relate more strongly to externalizing forms of psychopathology. Such heterogeneity may imply a higher level of generalizability to other clinical disorders, particularly in comparison to those within which having ‘thoughts of death’ is itself part of the DSM-5 criteria (e.g., major depressive disorder (MDD); APA, 2013). Stratifying for histories of suicide attempts further allowed us to isolate the characteristics of prior suicide attempts that increase the seriousness of suicidal behavior (Soloff et al., 2000; 2005). Although suicide attempts are not synonymous to death by suicide, individuals with a history of suicide attempts likely share characteristics with those who died by suicide as the lethality of suicide attempts is known to progress with repeated attempts (Malone et al., 1995). Therefore, a history suicide attempts may be studied as an indicator for those at highest risk for death by suicide.

Method

All study procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pittsburgh (STUDY19050210).

Sample. The sample comprised 153 patients diagnosed with BPD and 52 healthy control participants (mean age: 33.64, SD = 9.50; range 20-60 years; 80% female; 74% Caucasian, 12% African American, 5% Asian, 5% Multi-Race, 4% Pacific Islander or non-specified). Of the 153

BPD patients, $n=105$ had a history past suicide attempts, and $n=48$ reported no past suicide attempts. The average amount of years since the last suicide attempt was ~ 7 years.

Medically significant attempts were defined by a score > 1 on the Beck Lethality Scale (LS; Beck et al., 1975). The LS assigns lethality scores in an ordinal continuum of severity of medical damage. Scores are anchored by descriptions of medical consequences in increasing degrees of severity. For participants with multiple attempts, data for the most serious lifetime attempt were used. As indicated by χ^2 -tests of independence, the distribution of comorbid diagnoses did not differ among clinical groups. Across groups, approximately ≈ 83.3 -90% had a major depressive disorder, ≈ 37.5 -55.7% had a PTSD, ≈ 47.9 -52.8 % had a generalized anxiety disorder, and ≈ 47.9 -58.6% had an alcohol use disorder (see Table 1).

Male and female subjects between the ages of 18 and 45 years diagnosed with BPD and/or a history of suicide attempts, were recruited from inpatient, outpatient, and non-patient (community) sources. Diagnostic interviews were conducted by Master's-level research clinicians using standardized semi-structured interviews. These included the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, and the International Personality Disorders Examination (IPDE; First et al., 2007; Loranger, 1999). BPD subjects were required to meet diagnostic criteria for BPD (probable or definite) on the IPDE, with a lifetime time frame, and a score of 8 or more (definite) on the Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines, with a 2-year time frame (Zanarini et al., 1989). Diagnoses were confirmed in a consensus conference of raters, using a best estimate process, and all available data. Exclusion criteria included a lifetime (past or current) diagnosis of schizophrenia, delusional (paranoid) disorder, schizoaffective disorder, any bipolar disorder or psychotic depression, physical disorders or treatments with known psychiatric consequences, or an $IQ < 70$ by WTAR (Wechsler, 2001).

Suicide attempters had a history of self-injurious acts with the intent to die within a 1-month period prior to completing the study assessments or had a history of a past suicide attempt with strong current suicidal ideation at the time of study enrollment. Suicide attempt history was verified by a psychiatrist using all available information: participant's report, medical records, and collateral information from the treatment team, family, and friends. Significant discrepancies between these sources led to exclusion from the study.

Ambulatory Assessment. Participants completed a 21-day EMA protocol within pre-defined time windows, using the MetricWire Version 4.2.8 (2019) smartphone application, which randomly reminded them to complete surveys via push notifications 6 times per day over a 12-hour period. Assessments were aligned with participants' usual waking hours, which they were asked to specify before their enrollment in the study. The random assessments were spaced apart by a minimum of 90 minutes, and participants were given 60 minutes to respond to each prompt. Data from this protocol have been, in part, been previously published (Kaurin et al., 2020), but has not been assessed in the context of attempt history and examined interpersonal behavior that was reported during interpersonal situations in an event-contingent manner.

Participants rated the degree to which they felt negative affect (i.e., mean of sad, nervous, guilty, ashamed; $\omega_{\text{within}}=.80$; $\omega_{\text{between}}=.94$), hostility (i.e., mean of anger, irritability; $\omega_{\text{within}}=.78$; $\omega_{\text{between}}=.93$), and positive affect (i.e., mean of happy, content, excited; $\omega_{\text{within}}=.74$; $\omega_{\text{between}}=.84$). Items were derived from the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (Watson et al., 1988), and read "How ADJECTIVE did you feel right now?". Ratings were made on a scale from 1 ("Not at All") to 5 ("A great deal").

In line with previous work, items from the CAT-PD (Wright & Simms, 2014) were adapted to reflect momentary features of impulsivity (Wright, 2019; Wright & Simms, 2016). To

reduce participant burden, only a limited number of items were administered. However, to cover the breadth of the construct, we chose items from several subscales of the disinhibition domain, including irresponsibility (“I said/did things that I wish I hadn’t”), non-planfulness (“I acted without thinking”), and risk taking (“I did something risky”). All items were rated based on the same 5-point scale and were averaged to form an impulsivity score ($\omega_{\text{within}} = .76$; $\omega_{\text{between}} = .95$).

Suicidal ideation was assessed with two dichotomous items (1 = yes, 0 = no; Kaurin et al., 2022; Tsypes et al., 2022) from the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (Posner et al., 2011): “Have you wished you were dead or wished you could go to sleep and not wake up?”, “Have you actually had any thoughts of killing yourself?” (McDonald’s $\omega_{\text{within}} = .82$; $\omega_{\text{between}} = .92$).

Data Analysis. We used multilevel structural equation modeling (MSEM; Sadikaj et al., 2019), to test whether within-person covariation patterns of affect, hostility and impulsivity with suicidal ideation were stronger among suicide attempters. All models were estimated in Mplus with Bayesian parameter estimation fixed to 10000 iterations (version 8.4; Muthén & Muthén, 2019).

MSEM can accommodate momentary events nested within days as well as persons, which allows for the decomposition of the total variance into the latent Level 3 (between-person) variance and Levels 2 (*day*; within-person) and 1 (*moment*; within-person) residual variances. The nested data structure allowed us to decompose suicidal ideation as well as hypothesized short-term risk factors into several variance components across different time scales ranging from rapid moment-to-moment fluctuations to slower day-to-day variability, as well as individual differences in average levels. MSEM also allows for the estimation of random effects (i.e., daily, and momentary intercepts and slopes that vary across individuals).

On 10.8% of days, participants reported suicidal ideation at least once. More specifically, non-attempters diagnosed with BPD endorsed suicidal ideation on 6.5%, and suicide attempters on 18.9% of all study days, corresponding to 2.3% and 6.8% for momentary assessments.

Figure 1 provides a diagram of the models tested. We first tested four independent, *unconditional* models (left side of Figure 1). In those three-level models, momentary (Level 1) and daily (Level 2) ratings of suicidal ideation were regressed on negative affect, hostility, positive affect, or impulsivity at the within-person level (i.e., the suicidal urge paths in Figure 1), and the same structure was mirrored at the between-person level. For within-person regressions, we estimated random slopes of suicidal urges, modeling individual differences in the strength of their momentary and daily within-person associations.

Building on those results, we next tested a set of four *conditional* models to examine whether variability in day- and momentary level random slopes differed across groups. For that purpose, we created dummy-coded moderator variables differentiating among healthy controls (HC), non-attempters diagnosed with BPD (BPD-NON), and suicide attempters diagnosed with BPD (BPD-ATT). These grouping variables allowed us first to examine mean-level differences in our predictor variables and suicidal ideation. We also entered grouping variables as cross-level moderators of the paths at each level to test whether a history of suicide attempt was linked to stronger momentary and daily within-person links of affect, hostility or impulsivity and suicidal ideation (i.e., suicidal urges). In these models, the BPD-NON group served as reference group. The right side of Figure 1 provides an overview of model paths as they appear in Table 3. To empirically test the divergence of effects across timescales, we tested whether model-wise

momentary and daily cross-level moderation coefficients were statistically different from each other.²

Significance for each model parameter was based on 95% Credibility Intervals (CIs). CIs excluding zero were interpreted to be indicative of a parameter that differed significantly from zero. Sex (0=female; 1=male) and age (centered on mean age) were also included as covariates in all models at Level 3, and day number (i.e., day centered on mean of observations) and day of week (weekday vs. weekend) were included as a Level 2 covariates to account for possible change over time and weekly cycles. Along with other parameters not reported in the tables (e.g., residual variances), coefficients for covariates are not depicted in the diagrams, but full specifications and detailed output from all models can be found online at <https://osf.io/7mjf6/>.

A priori power was estimated using Monte Carlo simulation in Mplus 8.4, using the final obtained group sizes and assuming an average within-person sample size of $N=20$ days of observations. At an alpha level of .05, power was .81 to detect a within-person association of $r=.10$, power = .83 to detect a between-person association of $r=.21$, power = .82 to detect group differences in within-person associations (i.e., a cross-level interaction) of $d=.27$ between BPD non-attempters and attempters, and power = .81 to detect group differences in within-person associations (i.e., cross-level interaction) of $d=.32$ between BPD-HL and BPD-LL. Thus, we were generally powered to detect small effects for parameters of interest.

Results

² As part of this study, another $n=52$ healthy controls were recruited in addition to the clinical groups. Thus, all conditional and unconditional model sets were subjected to a sensitivity analysis which included those participants. This analysis did not change the pattern of our results (for details, see <https://osf.io/7mjf6/>). Healthy controls ($M_{age}=33.77$ (8.99); 75% female; 84.41% compliance) were recruited through community advertising and the Pitt+Me registry. The Pitt+Me registry is a database of >244,000 individuals willing to consider participation in research studies. The registry's software matches participants, based on their demographics and on their ICD-10 code(s), with studies for which they may be eligible.

Unconditional Model Set. For all predictors, we found a significant link at the momentary level, whereas for positive affect and hostility further significant links emerged at the daily level. Overall, this pattern confirms our model's ability to capture suicidal urges. Thus, in a given moment (or on a given day, respectively), when individuals experienced higher levels of negative affect or impulsivity, and lower levels of positive affect, they also reported elevated levels of suicidal ideation. At the between-person level, none of our predictors were significantly associated with suicidal ideation. Thus, individuals who tended to experience more negative affect, hostility, impulsivity, or less positive affect on average did not necessarily also experience more suicidal ideation in general (*see Table 2 for details*).

Conditional Model Set. No significant differences of the between-person regression coefficient emerged for the BPD-ATT group relative to the BPD-NON, which served as the reference group in this analysis. The absence of a significant regression coefficient for the BPD-ATT group suggests that average daily values of affect and impulsivity were comparable to those in BPD-NON (Table 3). Turning to group differences, adjusted for differences in the predictors, we found that suicidal ideation was significantly elevated in the BPD-ATT relative to the BPD-NON group.

In tests of cross-level interactions (Figure 2), we found that in comparison to BPD-NON, the momentary, within-person link of negative affect was amplified in BPD-ATT and for hostility a stronger temporal coupling among attempters emerged at the daily level. These effects were indicated by significant regression coefficients for the BPD-ATT group relative to the BPD-NON group. Difference tests of cross-level moderation coefficients did not reach statistical significance, suggesting that effects were not divergent across timescales. For positive affect or impulsivity no statistically significant cross-level moderations emerged.

Discussion

There is strong evidence for a persistent suicidal diathesis among those with a history of suicide attempts and this vulnerability is pronounced among people diagnosed with BPD. The daily evolution of a suicidal crisis in people at risk for enacting suicidal thoughts, however, remains poorly understood. We estimated both trait-level and dynamic within-person associations of affective and behavioral dysregulation and suicidal ideation in a high-risk population of people diagnosed with BPD that varied in their history of suicide attempts. As expected, in comparison to non-attempters, daily suicidal ideation was elevated among suicide attempters. On average, affect, hostility and impulsivity did not differ between attempters and non-attempters. In line with previous work (Links et al., 2008), at the momentary level, we found significant positive associations of suicidal ideation with negative affect, hostility, and impulsivity; we also observed a negative association between ideation and positive affect. This pattern was also observed for the slower day-to-day fluctuations for hostility and positive affect.

Regarding the central hypothesis of this study, we found that among participants who had attempted suicide in the past, the likelihood of more severe suicidal ideation was linked to higher *momentary* within-person deviations from an individual's average level of negative affect and *daily* within-person deviations from an individual's average levels of hostility. Difference scores of cross-level moderator coefficients further suggested that these divergences across timescales were not statistically significant.

Overall, our findings do not corroborate previous work on the differential circadian rhythmicity of positive and negative affect (Hasler et al., 2008). The finding of significant covariation patterns of negative affect and hostility being equally predictive at slower daily and faster momentary assessment schedules, however, aligns with recent work on the exact timescale

of suicidal thoughts (Coppersmith et al., 2022). This work suggests that suicidal desire, relative to more advanced states of suicide risk, such as suicidal intent, tends to have a longer duration of up to 20 hours. Thus, daily averages of negative affect and hostility may be a reasonable time scale for our investigations.

Previous work has proposed that the repeated contemplation of suicide may represent a way to cope with negative affect (Kuehn et al., 2022), potentially because other options may not be perceived to be viable (Dombrovski et al., 2019). Our study builds on these findings, suggesting that differential escalatory dynamics are governed by an individual's relative intensity of experienced affect. More precisely, the diathesis for suicidal behavior is expressed in the dynamic processes linking affect and suicidal ideation, particularly for previous attempters. Because we found these within-person links to be significantly amplified in attempters in comparison to non-attempters, all of whom had BPD diagnoses, suicidal urges may demarcate specific, potentially lethal, dynamic processes that generalize beyond BPD.

The analyses reported in this study were based on a stringent case-control sampling strategy, accounting for the medical seriousness of suicide attempt histories in a sample at heightened risk for suicide. Furthermore, they were based on a priori power calculations, specifically targeting the detection of the hypothesized cross-level interaction effect. Our results were robust to sensitivity analyses, such as the exclusion of HCs from our models due to minimal variability rates in our main outcome (<https://osf.io/7mjf6/>). On that empirical basis, our study provides new insights into the timing of affect, hostility as well as impulsivity in the clinical recognition of potentially lethal crises in suicide attempters. Given that comorbidity frequencies did not differ across our clinical study groups, along with the fact that significant contrasts of the strengths of suicidal urges emerged between suicide attempters and non-

331 attempters imply that the observed effects may generalize beyond BPD (i.e., BPD is held
332 constant across both groups by our case-control design).

333 Notwithstanding these strengths of our study design, follow-up studies replicating our
334 findings of the dysregulation-suicidality nexus in clinically more diverse samples are needed.
335 Given the relevance of persistent negative affectivity for the prediction of suicidal behavior, it is
336 important to test whether the diathesis for death by suicide in individuals diagnosed with MDD
337 without a diagnosis of BPD may also be characterized by a stronger momentary coupling
338 between affect and suicidal ideation (Zanarini et al., 2016).

339 Suicidal thoughts are rare episodic events and even if assessed moment-to-moment
340 during a 21-day EMA protocol with high ecological validity in real-world, every-day contexts
341 and representative, high-risk populations, the base rate of momentary endorsements of suicidal
342 ideation is low (Husky et al., 2014). In our sample, endorsement rates of suicidal ideation were
343 sparse, when considered as a proportion of momentary assessments, which matches previous
344 work (Husky et al., 2014). Thus, the question, however, of how best to conceptualize and assess
345 suicidal ideation and behavior is vital to progress toward a clearer understanding of suicidal
346 phenomena. As discussed by Millner et al. (2020), current measures lack the validity to aid the
347 reliable identification of suicidal thoughts or behaviors (Hom et al., 2015; Plöderl et al., 2011),
348 and often diverse facets of suicidal thoughts are confused into one metric without appropriately
349 evaluating its predictive or construct validity (Millner et al., 2020). Future research, therefore,
350 should dedicate its efforts to more rigorous and transparent practices (Carpenter & Law, 2019)
351 and descriptive work focusing on suicidal thoughts and behaviors and the components postulated
352 to precipitate or covary with these phenomena. By basing our analyses on a well-defined, high-
353 risk sample, adapting an operationalization and measurement of our suicidal ideation on the basis

of an established scale, offering a variety of sensitivity analyses to probe the robustness of our findings, and openly sharing our data and code, we do our best to contribute to this endeavor.

Though our study is a first step towards the integration of previous work with real-life experiences of suicidal crises, future studies are needed to help clearly laying out the mechanism through which affective dysregulation is more strongly tied to suicidal ideation in suicide attempters relative to non-attempters, including the illumination of differential time scales more clearly. Possibly, the slower day-to-day fluctuations, in comparison to transient variability in suicidal ideation, could be more tightly linked to hostility and impulsivity, because these tend to occur less frequently and to be more reactive to rare contextual demands in daily life (e.g., interpersonal conflicts). Conceivably, both may be indicative of a reactive behavioral inhibition system responding more dynamically to aversive or ambiguous stimuli. Future studies could test this assumption by simultaneously measuring fluctuations in hostility as well as impulsivity and suicidal ideation as well as limbic, prefrontal, and striatal reward circuitry that regulates behavioral activation and effort-related functions (Berridge & Kringelbach 2015).

Conceivably, stronger suicidal urges are a product of continuous reinforcement of suicidal thoughts via reductions in negative and elevations of positive affect. Alternatively, aberrant learning processes and related decision-making biases for active responses to escape aversive states may moderate the strength of experienced urges. As a first step toward a more mechanistic perspective on the relationship between affect and suicidal ideation, Allen et al. (2022) prospectively observed suicide attempts and found that effects of disinhibition enhanced the within-person coupling between suicide ideation and actual suicide attempts based on data from 30-years of follow-up.

Our findings underscore the importance of naturalistic methods to detect acute spikes in

377 suicidality at time scales that match rhythmicity and socio-affectively determined reactivity and
378 extend previous work by translating theoretical and empirical evidence on how risk for death by
379 suicide is expressed/experienced in daily life into plausible interactional models of daily suicidal
380 urges. Differentiating between suicide attempters and non-attempters may elucidate potentially
381 lethal daily links between affective dysregulation and suicidal ideation, that are useful for
382 identifying who and under what circumstances is at the highest risk for dying by suicide.

References

- Allen, T. A., Hallquist, M. N., Wright, A. G., & Dombrovski, A. Y. (2022). Negative Affectivity and Disinhibition as Moderators of an Interpersonal Pathway to Suicidal Behavior in Borderline Personality Disorder. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 21677026211056686.
- Anestis, M.D., Kleiman, E.M., Lavender, J.M., Tull, M.T., & Gratz, K.L. (2014). The pursuit of death versus escape from negative affect: An examination of the nature of the relationship between emotion dysregulation and both suicidal behavior and non-suicidal self-injury. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 55(8), 1820-1830.
- Beck, A.T., Beck, R., & Kovacs, M. (1975). Classification of suicidal behaviors: I. Quantifying intent and medical lethality. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 132(3), 285–287.
- Carpenter, T., & Law, K.C. (2019, September 30). Optimizing the Scientific Study of Suicide with Open and Transparent Research Practices. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/edawp>
- Chesin M.S., Jeglic E.L., Stanley B. (2010) Pathways to high-lethality suicide attempts in individuals with borderline personality disorder. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 14, 342–362.
- Crane, C., Barnhofer, T., Duggan, D.S., Eames, C., Hepburn, S., Shah, D., & Williams, J.M.G. (2014). Comfort from suicidal cognition in recurrently depressed patients. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 155, 241-246.
- Clark D.C., Gibbons R.D., Fawcett J., Scheftner W.A. (1989). What is the mechanism by which suicide attempts predispose to later suicide attempts? A mathematical model. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 98, 42–9.

- 404 Coppersmith, D.D., Kleiman, E.M., Glenn, C.R., Millner, A.J., & Nock, M.K. (2019). The
405 dynamics of social support among suicide attempters: A smartphone-based daily diary
406 study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 120, 103348.
- 407 Coppersmith, D. D., Ryan, O., Fortgang, R., Millner, A., Kleiman, E., & Nock, M. (2022,
408 September 2). Mapping the Timescale of Suicidal Thinking.
409 <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/eus2q>
- 410 Crowe, E., Daly, M., Delaney, L., Carroll, S., & Malone, K.M. (2019). The intra-day dynamics
411 of affect, self-esteem, tiredness, and suicidality in Major Depression. *Psychiatry*
412 *Research*, 279, 98-108.
- 413 Cyders, M.A., & Smith, G.T. (2008). Emotion-based dispositions to rash action: Positive and
414 negative urgency. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134(6), 807–828.
- 415 Dombrovski, A.Y., Hallquist, M.N., Brown, V.M., Wilson, J., & Szanto, K. (2019). Value-Based
416 Choice, Contingency Learning, and Suicidal Behavior in Mid-and Late-Life Depression.
417 *Biological Psychiatry*, 85(6), 506-516.
- 418 First M., Spitzer R., Miriam G., Williams J. *Structured Clinical Interview of DSM-IV-TR Axis I*
419 *Disorders–Patient Edition*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association
420 Publishing; 2007.
- 421 Franklin, J.C., Ribeiro, J.D., Fox, K.R., Bentley, K.H., Kleiman, E.M., Huang, X., ... & Nock,
422 M.K. (2017). Risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A meta-analysis of 50
423 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(2), 187–232.
- 424 Galynker, I., Yaseen, Z.S., Cohen, A., Benhamou, O., Hawes, M., & Briggs, J. (2017).
425 Prediction of suicidal behavior in high risk psychiatric patients using an assessment of
426 acute suicidal state: The suicide crisis inventory. *Depression and Anxiety*, 34(2), 147-158.

- 427 Gunderson, J.G., & Ridolfi, M.E. (2001). Borderline personality disorder: suicidality and self-
428 mutilation. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 932(1), 61-77.
- 429 Hadzic, A., Spangenberg, L., Hallensleben, N., Forkmann, T., Rath, D., Strauß, M., ... &
430 Glaesmer, H. (2020). The association of trait impulsivity and suicidal ideation and its
431 fluctuation in the context of the Interpersonal Theory of Suicide. *Comprehensive*
432 *psychiatry*, 98, 152158.
- 433 Hom, M.A., Joiner, T.E., Jr., & Bernert, R.A. (2016). Limitations of a single-item assessment of
434 suicide attempt history: Implications for standardized suicide risk
435 assessment. *Psychological Assessment*, 28(8), 1026–1030.
- 436 Husky, M., Olié, E., Guillaume, S., Genty, C., Swendsen, J., & Courtet, P. (2014). Feasibility
437 and validity of ecological momentary assessment in the investigation of suicide risk.
438 *Psychiatry research*, 220(1-2), 564-570.
- 439 Joiner, T. (2007). *Why people die by suicide*. Harvard University Press.
- 440 Kaurin, A., Dombrovski, A., Hallquist, M., & Wright, A.G.C. (2020). Momentary Interpersonal
441 Processes of Suicidal Surges in Borderline Personality Disorder. *Psychological Medicine*,
442 1-11.
- 443 Kleiman, E.M., Turner, B.J., Fedor, S., Beale, E.E., Huffman, J.C., & Nock, M.K. (2017).
444 Examination of real-time fluctuations in suicidal ideation and its risk factors: Results
445 from two ecological momentary assessment studies. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*,
446 126(6), 726-738.
- 447 Kleiman, E.M., Coppersmith, D.D., Millner, A.J., Franz, P.J., Fox, K.R., & Nock, M.K. (2018).
448 Are suicidal thoughts reinforcing? A preliminary real-time monitoring study on the

- 449 potential affect regulation function of suicidal thinking. *Journal of Affective Disorders*,
450 232, 122-126.
- 451 Klonsky, E.D., & May, A.M. (2015). The three-step theory (3ST): A new theory of suicide
452 rooted in the “ideation-to-action” framework. *International Journal of Cognitive*
453 *Therapy*, 8(2), 114-129.
- 454 Klonsky, E.D., Saffer, B.Y., & Bryan, C.J. (2018). Ideation-to-action theories of suicide: a
455 conceptual and empirical update. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 22, 38-43.
- 456 Kuehn, K.S., King, K.M., Linehan, M.M., & Harned, M.S. (2020). Modeling the suicidal
457 behavior cycle: Understanding repeated suicide attempts among individuals with
458 borderline personality disorder and a history of attempting suicide. *Journal of Consulting*
459 *and Clinical Psychology*, 88(6), 570-581.
- 460 Kuehn, K. S., Dora, J., Harned, M. S., Foster, K. T., Song, F., Smith, M. R., & King, K. M.
461 (2022). A meta-analysis on the affect regulation function of real-time self-injurious
462 thoughts and behaviours. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1-11.
- 463 Law, K.C., Khazem, L.R., & Anestis, M.D. (2015). The role of emotion dysregulation in suicide
464 as considered through the ideation to action framework. *Current Opinion in Psychology*,
465 3, 30-35.
- 466 Lazarus, S., Scott, L., Beeney, J., Wright, A.G.C., Stepp, S., & Pilkonis, P. (2018). Borderline
467 Personality Disorder Symptoms and Affective Responding to Perceptions of Rejection
468 and Acceptance From Romantic Versus Nonromantic Partners. *Personality Disorders:*
469 *Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 9(3), 197-206.

- 470 Linehan, M.M., Heard, H.L., & Armstrong, H.E. (1993). Naturalistic follow-up of a behavioral
471 treatment for chronically parasuicidal borderline patients. *Archives of General*
472 *Psychiatry*, 50(12), 971-974.
- 473 Links, P.S., Eynan, R., Heisel, M.J., Barr, A., Korzekwa, M., McMain, S., & Ball, J.S. (2007).
474 Affective instability and suicidal ideation and behavior in patients with borderline
475 personality disorder. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 21(1), 72-86.
- 476 Loranger, A., Sartorius, N., & Janca, A. (1996). Assessment and diagnosis of personality
477 disorders: The International Personality Disorder Examination (IPDE). New York, NY:
478 Cambridge University Press.
- 479 Lucht, L., Hallensleben, N., Willhardt, N., Forkmann, T., Rath, D., Glaesmer, H., &
480 Spangenberg, L. (2021). Daily impulsivity: Associations with suicidal ideation in
481 unipolar depressive psychiatric inpatients. *Psychiatry Research*, 114357.
- 482 Malone, K.M., Haas, G.L., Sweeney, J.A., & Mann, J.J. (1995). Major depression and the risk of
483 attempted suicide. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 34(3), 173-185.
- 484 McGirr, A., Dombrowski, A.Y., Butters, M.A., Clark, L., & Szanto, K. (2012). Deterministic
485 learning and attempted suicide among older depressed individuals: cognitive assessment
486 using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 46(2), 226-232.
- 487 McGirr, A., Paris, J., Lesage, A., Renaud, J., & Turecki, G. (2007). Risk factors for suicide
488 completion in borderline personality disorder: A case-control study of cluster B
489 comorbidity and impulsive aggression. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 68(5), 721–
490 729.

- 491 Mehlum, L., Friis, S., Vaglum, P., & Karterud, S. (1994). The longitudinal pattern of suicidal
492 behaviour in borderline personality disorder: a prospective follow-up study. *Acta*
493 *Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 90(2), 124-130.
- 494 MetricWire Inc. (2019). MetricWire (Version 4.2.8) [Mobile application software].
495 <https://metricwire.com/>
- 496 Millner, A.J., den Ouden, H.E.M., Gershman, S.J., Glenn, C.R., Kearns, J.C., Bornstein, A.M.,
497 Marx, B.P., Keane, T.M., & Nock, M.K. (2019). Suicidal thoughts and behaviors are
498 associated with an increased decision-making bias for active responses to escape aversive
499 states. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 128(2), 106–118.
- 500 Mou, D., Kleiman, E.M., Fedor, S., Beck, S., Huffman, J.C., & Nock, M.K. (2018). Negative
501 affect is more strongly associated with suicidal thinking among suicidal patients with
502 borderline personality disorder than those without. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 104,
503 198-201.
- 504 Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. (2019). Mplus User's Guide (2019). *Muthén & Muthén: Los Angeles,*
505 *CA.*
- 506 O'Connor, R.C., & Nock, M.K. (2014). The Psychology of Suicidal Behaviour. *The Lancet*
507 *Psychiatry*, 1(1), 73–85.
- 508 O'Connor, R.C. (2011). Towards an integrated motivational – volitional model of suicidal
509 behaviour. In: O'Connor, R. C., & Pirkis, J. (Eds.). *International Handbook of Suicide*
510 *Prevention: Research, Policy and Practice*, pp. 181 – 198. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- 511 Oldham, J.M. (2006). Borderline personality disorder and suicidality. *American Journal of*
512 *Psychiatry*, 163(1), 20-26.
- 513 Plöderl, M., Kralovec, K., Yazdi, K., & Fartacek, R. (2011). A closer look at self-reported

- 514 suicide attempts: False positives and false negatives. *Suicide and Life-Threatening*
515 *Behavior*, 41(1), 1-5.
- 516 Posner, K., Brent, D., Lucas, C., Gould, M., Stanley, B., Brown, G., ... & Mann, J. (2008).
517 Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). *New York, NY: Columbia University*
518 *Medical Center, 2008.*
- 519 Preti, A., & Miotto, P. (2001). Diurnal variations in suicide by age and gender in Italy. *Journal of*
520 *Affective Disorders*, 65(3), 253-261.
- 521 Rudd, M. D., Berman, A. L., Joiner, T. E., Jr, Nock, M. K., Silverman, M. M., Mandrusiak, M.,
522 Van Orden, K., & Witte, T. (2006). Warning signs for suicide: Theory, research, and
523 clinical applications. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 36(3), 255-262.
524 <https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.2006.36.3.255>
- 525 Sadikaj, G., Wright, A.G.C., Dunkley, D., Zuroff, D., & Moskowitz, D. (2019, October 8).
526 Multilevel Structural Equation Modeling for Intensive Longitudinal Data: A Practical
527 Guide for Personality Researchers. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/hwj9r>
- 528 Shneidman, E.S. (1998). *The Suicidal Mind*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 529 Selby, E.A., Anestis, M.D., & Joiner Jr, T.E. (2007). Daydreaming about death: Violent
530 daydreaming as a form of emotion dysregulation in suicidality. *Behavior Modification*,
531 31(6), 867-879.
- 532 Soloff, P.H., Fabio, A., Kelly, T.M., Malone, K.M., & Mann, J.J. (2005). High-lethality status in
533 patients with borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 19(4),
534 386-399.

- 535 Soloff, P.H., Lynch, K.G., Kelly, T.M., Malone, K. M., & Mann, J.J. (2000). Characteristics of
536 suicide attempts of patients with major depressive episode and borderline personality
537 disorder: a comparative study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157(4), 601-608.
- 538 Szanto, K., Galfavy, H., Vanyukov, P.M., Keilp, J.G., & Dombrovski, A.Y. (2018). Pathways to
539 late-life suicidal behavior: cluster analysis and predictive validation of suicidal behavior.
540 *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 79(2), 17m11611.
- 541 Tomko, R.L., Lane, S.P., Pronove, L.M., Treloar, H.R., Brown, W.C., Solhan, M.B., Wood, P.
542 K., & Trull, T.J. (2015). Undifferentiated negative affect and impulsivity in borderline
543 personality and depressive disorders: A momentary perspective. *Journal of Abnormal*
544 *Psychology*, 124(3), 740–753.
- 545 Watson, D., Clark, L.A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures
546 of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social*
547 *Psychology*, 54(6), 1063–1070.
- 548 Wechsler, D. (2001). Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR). San Antonio, TX: The
549 Psychological Corporation.
- 550 Wedig, M.M., Silverman, M.H., Frankenburg, F.R., Reich, D.B., Fitzmaurice, G., & Zanarini,
551 M.C. (2012). Predictors of suicide attempts in patients with borderline personality
552 disorder over 16 years of prospective follow-up. *Psychological Medicine*, 42(11), 2395-
553 2404.
- 554 Wright, A.G.C., & Simms, L.J. (2014). On the structure of personality disorder traits: Conjoint
555 analyses of the CAT-PD, PID-5, and NEO-PI-3 trait models. *Personality Disorders:*
556 *Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 5(1), 43–54.

- 557 Wright, A.G.C., & Simms, L.J. (2016). Stability and fluctuation of personality disorder features
558 in daily life. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 125*(5), 641–656.
- 559 Wright, A.G.C. (2019, September). Moving from structure to function: Assessing a hierarchy of
560 psychopathology in daily life. Paper presented as part of the symposium *Novel*
561 *approaches to explicating the structure and utility of the HiTOP framework*, A. Watts &
562 M. Forbes (Chairs), at the 33rd annual meeting of the Society for Research in
563 Psychopathology, Buffalo, New York
- 564 Yen, S., Shea, M.T., Sanislow, C.A., Grilo, C.M., Skodol, A.E., Gunderson, J.G., ... & Morey, L.
565 C. (2004). Borderline personality disorder criteria associated with prospectively observed
566 suicidal behavior. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 161*(7), 1296-1298.
- 567 Zanarini, M.C., Frankenburg, F.R., Reich, D.B., & Fitzmaurice, G.M. (2016). Fluidity of the
568 subsyndromal phenomenology of borderline personality disorder over 16 years of
569 prospective follow-up. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 173*(7), 688-694.
- 570

Table 1*Sociodemographic, Compliance and Lifetime Diagnostic Data across Study Groups.*

	BPD-ATT <i>n</i> =105	BPD-NON <i>n</i> =48		
age <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	34.34 (10.63)	32.25 (6.78)		
gender (% female)	84.5%	76%		
compliance rate	78.08%	78.15%		
clinical diagnoses			χ^2	<i>p</i>
post-traumatic stress disorder	50.71%	37.50%	7.37	.024
panic disorder	43.57%	35.42%	0.97	.613
obsessive compulsive disorder	23.58%	20.83%	0.33	.848
social phobia	37.15%	31.25%	0.81	.666
generalized anxiety disorder	50.72%	47.92%	1.12	.570
major depressive episode	87.86%	83.33%	0.58	.748
dysthymia	7.86%	12.50%	0.24	.625
bulimia nervosa	17.15%	8.33%	1.69	.193
anorexia nervosa	9.29%	6.25%	0.77	.680
<i>substance use disorder</i>				
alcohol	56.43%	47.92%	2.53	.280
sedative/anxiolytic	11.43%	6.25%	1.49	.474
cannabis	32.14%	35.42%	1.99	.368
stimulants	7.86%	8.33%	0.59	.745
opioid	17.86%	12.50%	0.37	.545
cocaine	17.14%	12.50%	0.80	.671
hallucinogens	2.86%	10.42%	5.59	.060
poly-substance	7.14%	6.25%	2.23	.328

Note. BPD-NON = non-attempters diagnosed with BPD; ATT = attempters diagnosed with BPD;
 compliance rate refers to the ratio of completed surveys vs. max. possible number of surveys.

Table 2

Key Unstandardized Coefficients from Four Independent Unconditional Multilevel Structural Equation Models Predicting Suicidal Ideation from Average Negative and Positive Affect, Hostility and Impulsivity (i.e., model-specific predictors).

	model-specific predictors			
	negative affect	hostility	positive affect	impulsivity
	β [CI]	β [CI]	β [CI]	β [CI]
within-person (<i>momentary</i>)	.03 [.01; .06]	.01 [.00; .02]	-.02 [-.03; -.01]	.03 [.01; .05]
within-person (<i>daily</i>)	-.01 [-.03; .01]	.02 [.00; .03]	-.07 [-.08; -.05]	.03 [-.00; .06]
between-person	.02 [-.01; .05]	.02 [-.00; .04]	.01 [-.01; .04]	.00 [-.02; .02]

Note. $N=153$ (between), $N=2993$ (daily), $N=17926$ (momentary); 95% credibility intervals of parameter estimates are in parentheses. Bolded values indicate the credibility interval does not contain zero;

Table 3

Key Unstandardized Coefficients from Four Independent Conditional Multilevel Structural Equation Models (with Moderation Effects being fixed to Equality) Predicting Suicidal Ideation from Average Negative and Positive Affect, Hostility and Impulsivity (i.e., model-specific predictors) Across Attempters (BPD-ATT) vs. Non-Attempters (BPD-NON).

	model-specific predictors			
	negative affect	hostility	positive affect	impulsivity
	β [CI]	β [CI]	β [CI]	β [CI]
within-person associations				
predictor $\rightarrow$ SI (<i>momentary</i>)	.00 [-.04; .04]	-.01 [-.03; .03]	-.04 [-.04; .09]	.02 [-.04; .09]
predictor $\rightarrow$ SI (<i>daily</i>)	.01 [-.06; .06]	-.01 [-.05; .04]	-.05 [-.09; .01]	.01 [-.08; .11]
between-person associations				
predictor $\rightarrow$ SI	.03 [.00; .06]	.01 [-.00; .03]	.02 [-.01; .04]	.00 [-.02; .02]
BPD-ATT $\rightarrow$ predictor	.17 [-.08; .40]	-.19 [-.40; .02]	-.07 [-.29; .15]	.09 [-.07; .24]
BPD-ATT $\rightarrow$ SI	-.04 [-.10; .03]	-.02 [-.07; .04]	.10 [.03; .16]	-.00 [-.08; .08]
cross-level interaction				
BPD-ATT $\rightarrow$ suicidal urge (<i>momentary</i>)	.03 [.01; .05]	.00 [-.01; .02]	-.01 [-.02; .01]	.00 [-.03; .04]
BPD-ATT $\rightarrow$ suicidal urge (<i>daily</i>)	.01 [-.02; .04]	.03 [.01; .06]	-.03 [-.05; .00]	.03 [-.02; .09]
difference parameter	.01 [-.03; .06]	-.03 [-.06; .01]	.02 [-.02; .06]	-.03 [-.11; .04]

Note. $N=153$ (between), $N=2993$ (daily), $N=17926$ (momentary); Non-Attempters (BPD-NON) group served as reference; positive regression coefficients denote that average momentary or daily values of affect or impulsivity, ideation, or the respective random slope (i.e., suicidal urge) is higher for the comparison group than for the BPD-NON group; a negative regression coefficient means that they are lower; group differences in the average levels of suicidal ideation were adjusted for each of the predictors; $\rightarrow$ indicates regression; model parameter estimates are standardized. 95% credibility intervals of parameter estimates are in parentheses. Bolded values indicate the credibility interval does not contain zero; SI = suicidal ideation, Suicidal Urge = daily within-person association between affect or impulsivity and suicidal ideation.

Figure 1. Statistical model of suicidal urges, representing the within-person association between affect or impulsivity and suicidal ideation at the momentary (Level 1) and daily level (Level 2), and including the decomposition of observed daily variables into between- (subscript i) and within-person (subscripts t and d) variance. Between-person variance reflects individual differences in the observed variables, and the within-person variance reflects moment-to-moment or day-to-day departures from each individual's mean on these variables. Whereas in unconditional models, suicidal ideation was regressed on affect, hostility and impulsivity, conditional models tested whether variability in random slopes differed across our study groups. Single-headed arrows indicate regression paths. Filled dots represent random effects. Red arrows denote cross-level interactions, that is, changes of the daily or momentary association strength between affect, hostility or impulsivity and suicidal ideation relative to the BPD-NON group, which served as reference group of our dummy coded suicide history variable.

Figure 2. Unstandardized point estimates of MSEM coefficients predicting suicidal ideation as laid out on the right side of Figure 1 and detailed in Table 3 across different temporal scales (momentary, daily). Effects of hostility, impulsivity, negative and positive affect were contrasted across suicide attempters (BPD-ATT) with non-attempters (BPD-NON is the reference group). Central dot and horizontal lines denote estimated regression coefficient and 95% confidence interval.