**Does Emotional Well-Being Mediate Between the Association of Peer Support and
Depressive Symptoms in University Students During COVID-19 Pandemic?**

Yao Sun ¹, Shiang-Yi Lin, ¹ and Kevin Kien Hoa Chung ^{1,2,*}

¹ Centre for Child and Family Science, The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; sunyaoax@gmail.com (Y.S); sylin@eduhk.hk (S.-Y.L.)

² Department of Early Childhood Education, The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China;

* Correspondence: kevin@eduhk.hk

Abstract: The coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has adversely affected individuals' mental health. Social isolation as a result of social distancing during the pandemic potentially affects the associations among perceived available peer support, emotional well-being, and depression in university students. The present study examined the associations among perceived available peer support, emotional well-being (as indicated negatively by loneliness and negative affects, and indicated positively by positive affects and hope), and depressive symptoms in university students. During the 3rd stage of the outbreak in July, 2020, 255 students at a public university in Hong Kong participated in an online-based survey which assessed their perceived available peer support, emotional well-being, and depressive symptoms. Results showed that perceived available peer support negatively contributed to depressive symptoms; both negative and positive indicators of emotional well-being mediated the association between perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms. The university students showed signs of depressive symptoms, highlighting the need for preventive efforts and increased access to mental health care that supports the psychological and emotional needs of young people during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords: COVID-19; Depressive Symptoms; Peer Support; Emotion Well-being; Loneliness; Hope; Positive and Negative Affects

1. Introduction

Since early 2020, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been a global public health crisis. The disease, first documented in Wuhan, China, quickly spread worldwide and was soon declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization [1]. While all units in societies have been striving to combat the disease, mental health care for both medical practitioners and the public is desperately needed [2]. Although epidemic control measures such as quarantine and social distancing have helped contain the spread of infections, these measures have also isolated individuals from their social connections, and have brought about negative emotions, such as boredom and loneliness [2,3]. To understand the psychological impact of the pandemic, researchers across continents and disciplines gathered data from a wide range of population. Results consistently showed that, since the onset of pandemic, people around the globe have been experiencing a variety of psychological problems, such as depression and anxiety [4-7]. These problems also emerged among students, given that with the majority of schools temporarily shut down for a certain period of time across the globe, they were forced to leave schools and stay away from their friends, teachers, and classrooms; their perceptions of peer support – a critical psychological resource – might thus be compromised. Moreover, the isolation and abrupt change of daily routines may lead to poor emotional well-being. Yet, to date, a few studies have attended to university students' mental health and emotional well-being after school closures [8-12]. Among them, only one Swiss study has investigated university students' mental health in relation to their perceived available peer support [10].

Thus, to address the paucity of literature on this issue, using data collected via an online questionnaire from 255 undergraduate students in Hong Kong, the present study aimed to provide insight into university students' mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, we tested the direct association between students' perceived available peer

support and their depressive symptoms. In addition, we examined the potential mediating roles of four emotional well-being indicators, namely loneliness, negative affects, positive affects, and hope. This attempt is important, as providing adequate psychological support necessitates an in-depth understanding of stressors, and mechanisms through which psychological stressors influence mental health.

1.1 Depressive symptoms and peer relationships in the time of pandemic

Hong Kong is among the cities that have adopted stringent precautionary measures starting from a very early stage of the development of the COVID-19 pandemic. Non-essential workers have been requested to work from home since late January [13]. Following that, schools were suspended, and public places were closed. The city relaxed its social distancing rules after it had kept the disease under control for a while. Public places and schools were briefly re-opened in late May, only to be shut down a few weeks later when the third wave of virus outbreak hit the city (surging new cases had been documented since June 22nd). Such prolonged isolation and changes of daily routine are likely to cause psychological and emotional distress among the public and students [4-7]. One possible reason is that disease control measures such as social isolation make it more difficult for people to satisfy one of their fundamental psychological needs, that of belongingness [14]. The belongingness need plays two central roles in maintaining emotional well-being, i.e., the presence of frequent positive contact with others and perceptions of having stable relationships with others [15]. Being unable to satisfy the belongingness need brings up negative emotional reactions, which in turn affect mental health [15]. As an immediate result of school closures during the pandemic, students are unable to meet their peers as frequently as before. Social media and other online communications may help improve the sense of social connectedness but are no replacement for face-to-face human contact [16,17]. As young adults' relationships with peers are an important aspect of their lives, and peers have

gradually become their primary source of social support throughout the emerging adulthood [18], the abrupt separation from peers may result in social adjustment problems and poor mental health during the pandemic [12].

Given that social support helps buffer the negative effects of stressful life events on individuals' well-being [19], social support from peers (for example, having friends to talk to about negative events and feelings) is an important psychological resource that helps adolescents combat psychological problems [19,20]. In contrast, the lack of peer support likely impairs individuals' emotional well-being and exacerbates psychological problems, such as depression. Indeed, a study conducted among African American youths exposed to the hurricane Katrina suggested that perceived greater support from friends was associated with fewer depressive symptoms [20]. One recent study revealed that during the COVID-19 pandemic, university students nominated fewer fellow students as social interaction partners and perceived less emotional support from their peers, compared to pre-pandemic levels; among them, students who perceived a lower level of emotional support tended to be more depressed [10].

Guided by both theories of belongingness and social support, this study examined two negative (loneliness and negative affects) and two positive (positive affects and hope) indicators of emotion well-being as potential mediators underlying the relationship between perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms. These particular four emotion-related constructs were selected because they are frequently reported as emotional factors that contribute to individuals' psychological problems after the exposure to disastrous events, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic [21-24]. Also, the indicators of different affective valences were included because extant research has paid little attention to the decrease in positive emotional responses (relative to the rise in negative emotional responses) as a consequence of distress [25]. In the following section, we review the four constructs and

discuss their potential mediating roles in the relationship between perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms.

1.2 Loneliness as a mediator

Loneliness can be seen as an indicator of emotional mal-being derived from a state of isolation or lack of companionship [26]. The current social distancing measures, or to describe them more accurately, physical separations [14,27], limited individuals' face-to-face socialization to only family members or co-residents. Enforced isolation may increase individuals' perceived loneliness, and in turn put them at risk for depression. Indeed, as was briefly mentioned earlier, research showed that university students experienced a higher level of loneliness during the pandemic (vs. the pre-pandemic times [10]). In addition to student populations, an increase in loneliness was observed among diverse populations since the onset of pandemic [21,28]). A recent review also concluded that young people are at a high risk of depression due to social isolation and loneliness during the pandemic [29]. Yet, scant study has investigated the role of loneliness in students' perceived available peer support and their mental health, in the face of a global pandemic. Thus, we considered loneliness as a potential mediator for the link between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms during the pandemic.

1.3 Negative and positive affects as mediators

The prolonged imposed social isolation during the global pandemic catalyzed psychological distress and hurt subjective well-being [30]. Affective responses elicited by the imposed isolation may be one of the underlying mechanisms. Research based on community samples revealed an increase in negative affects (such as anger, boredom, and sadness), and a decrease in positive affects (such as joy, happiness, and satisfaction) during lockdowns or quarantines [5,31]. Recent findings indicated that university students without preexisting mental health problems also showed elevated sadness, reduced joy, and more depressive

symptoms during the pandemic, compared to pre-pandemic levels [9]. In difficult times such as now, having available social support is believed to help assuage unpleasant feelings and prevent individuals from developing psychological problems [19]. Although it appeared that the COVID-19 pandemic had exerted a negative impact on students' perceived available peer support, affective states, and well-being [23,32], no study has explicitly examined the indirect link between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms via positive affects or negative affects in the time of pandemic. Thus, both positive and negative affects were considered as potential mediators for the association between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms during the pandemic.

1.4 Hope as mediator

Hope is defined as a positive motivational state that is fueled by the synergy between two components: a goal-directed energy, or agency, and plans to achieve goals, or pathways [33]. When encountering frustration, hopeful people were motivated to find alternative ways to achieve their goals, whereas less hopeful people tended to ruminate about bad feelings [34]. As a result, being hopeful was associated with better psychological adjustment and lower levels of depression [35]. As one of the most relevant social groups to students [18], peer group seemed to be an essential source of hope. In a meta-analytic study, Mahon and Yarcheski [36] found that peer contact and support were a robust predictor of hope. In line with this view, Stephanou [37] found that pre-teen students who appraised their peer relationships as more positive, stable, and satisfactory also tended to have more hopeful thinking. In addition, as previous research indicated, when faced with major adversities in life, such as experiencing an acute trauma (e.g., natural disaster), having solid peer support contributed to individuals' hopeful thinking and eventually fostered better mental health outcomes [34]. Indeed, it was reported that among a group of Chinese adolescent survivors of the Ya'an earthquake in 2013 [24], perceived social support was associated with hopeful

thinking, which in turn enhanced their post-traumatic growth (including better psychological well-being). Although no study has examined the associations among students' perceived available peer support, hope, and depression during the pandemic yet, we expected a mediating effect to emerge. Thus, we considered hope as a potential mediator for the association between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms during the pandemic.

1.5 The present study

To recap, under the impact of COVID-19, individuals around the globe have been experiencing psychological problems such as elevated depressive symptoms [4-7]. Some public health measures such as school closures and social distancing helped contain the spread of virus, but also prevented people, especially students, from satisfying their need to connect to others. As a result, these measures rendered students isolated and depressed [10]. In addition, available peer support networks during social isolation might help individuals combat psychological problems, such as depression, by improving their emotional well-being [19]. Therefore, several indicators of emotional well-being were proposed as potential mediators.

The present study tested university students' perceived available peer support, emotional well-being, and mental health during the pandemic. Specifically, we tested the direct association between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms, as well as the indirect association via loneliness, negative affects, positive affects, and hope, respectively. We hypothesized that university students' perceived available peer support would be negatively linked to depressive symptoms. We further hypothesized that university students' perceived available peer support would be negatively associated with loneliness and negative affects, which would contribute to increases in depressive symptoms. Similarly, we hypothesized that university students' perceived available peer support would be positively

associated with hope and positive affects, which would contribute to decreases in depressive symptoms.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants and procedures

Participants of the present study were students from a public university in Hong Kong, China. Through mass emailing, our research team sent out invitation letters to all undergraduate students in the university, introducing our project. Students who were interested in participating in the study provided written consents before they completed web-based questionnaires on an online survey platform, Qualtrics. The data were collected during the period from June 6th to July 14th, which overlapped with the onset of the third wave of COVID-19 cases outbreak in Hong Kong [38]. Upon completing the questionnaire, each student received a supermarket coupon of HK\$50 ($\approx$ US\$6) as a token of appreciation. Only those who finished and submitted the online questionnaire were considered as valid responses. Responses with incomplete data (i.e., an omission to submit the questionnaire) were excluded from the analysis. After deleting the data of 51 participants who started the questionnaire but did not submit their responses, the final sample of the study was 255 students. The participated students had a mean age of 20.96 years ($SD = 2.37$), and were predominately female (87%). The procedure of the present study was approved by the university's institutional review board.

2.2 Measures

The original English measures were forward- and back-translated to Chinese by two bilingual research assistants. A third bilingual researcher checked the translations and resolved the differences.

Perceived available peer support was measured using the 6-item Social Acceptance from Peer subscale of Self-Perception Profile for Children and Adolescents [39]. The scale

measures students' perception of having friends or peers available as a source of social support. In our study, on a 5-point scale (from 1 "strongly disagree" to 5 "strongly agree"), students rated to what extent each statement described their *current* situation. Some example statements were "I have lots of friends," and "I am always doing things with a lot of peers." Total scores were calculated by averaging the scores of all items. A higher score indicated a higher level of perceptions of available peer support. The Cronbach's alpha for the scale was .66.

Depressive symptoms were measured using the 10-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D-10 [40]). This scale was commonly used in adolescents and young adults to measure their experience of depressive symptoms. The CES-D-10 tapped into a range of depressive symptoms, such as depressed mood, sleep disturbance, and feelings of worthlessness. In our study, on a 4-point scale, ranging from 0 (rarely or none of the time, less than 1 day per week) to 3 (all of the time, 5-7 days per week), students were asked to indicate the frequency of experiencing depressive symptoms described in each item. A sentence was added to the scale instruction that specifically asked participants to answer each item considering their well-being *during the COVID-19 pandemic*. Some example items were "I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing" and "I felt depressed." Total scores were calculated by averaging the scores of all items. A higher score indicated a greater level of depressive symptoms. The Cronbach's alpha was .89.

Positive and negative affects were measured using the 10-item Positive and Negative Affect Scale – Short Form (PANAS [41]). This scale contained 5 positive emotion words and 5 negative emotion words. In our study, on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (a lot or often), students were asked to rate the extent to which each emotion word described their affective state *at the present moment*. Example items for positive affects were "inspired" and "active" example items for negative affects were "upset" and "afraid."

The mean scores of positive affects and negative affects were calculated separately. A higher score in positive affects and negative affects indicated a higher level of the respective constructs. The Cronbach's alpha for positive affects and negative affects were .78 and .87, respectively.

Loneliness was measured using the 6-item Brief Scale of Loneliness [42]. The brief scale was a self-report loneliness scale that emphasizes the feelings of loneliness in a social context. Students rated their *current* perceived loneliness on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (often). Some example items were "I feel left out," and "people are around me but not with me." Total scores were calculated by averaging the scores of all items. A higher score indicated a higher level of loneliness. The Cronbach's alpha was .86.

Hope was measured using 6-item State Hope Scale [43]. As was indicated by Snyder [34], the State Hope Scale, distinct from the Trait Hope Scale, measures individuals' hopeful thinking *right now*. Since the aim of our study was to capture students' well-being at the present moment, the State Hope Scale was adopted. On a 4-point scale, from 1 (definitely false) to 5 (definitely true), students rated to what extent each statement was descriptive of themselves. Some example statements were "If I should find myself in a jam, I could think of many ways to get out of it," and "There are lots of ways around any problem that I am facing now." Total scores were calculated by averaging the scores of all items. A higher score represented a higher level of hopeful thinking. The Cronbach's alpha was .86.

3. Results

The results of descriptive statistics and Pearson's correlations were summarized in Table 1. Notably, the mean score of students' self-rated depressive symptoms ($M = 1.13$) was higher than the consensus cutoff score for clinically significant depressive symptoms (cutoff mean score = 1 [44,45]). Further looking at the distribution of the mean scores with analysis of frequencies, more than half (56.9%) of the participants scored higher than the cutoff score,

suggesting that students experienced significant depressive symptoms during the pandemic. Returning to the correlations with depressive symptoms, results showed that perceptions of available peer support ($r = -.32, p < .01$) were negatively correlated with depressive symptoms; all four indicators of emotional well-being—loneliness ($r = .45, p < .01$), negative affects ($r = .64, p < .01$), positive affects ($r = -.27, p < .01$), and hope ($r = -.51, p < .01$) were also associated with depressive symptoms. Interestingly, positive affects were not related to negative affects ($r = -.04, p = .517$), suggesting that they are distinct constructs instead of opposing qualities of one construct [[46]].

Next, using Hayes's [47] PROCESS macro (model 4), we tested loneliness, negative affects, positive affects, and hope as the mediators between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms. To this end, we first entered students' age and gender as covariates, as these demographic characteristics were previously linked to university students' depression [48]. Gender was dummy-coded: 0 represented female and 1 represented male. Then, perceived available peer support was entered as the independent variable and depressive symptoms was entered as the dependent variable. Finally, loneliness, negative affects, positive affects, and hope were entered simultaneously as parallel mediators. Following the recommendation of Preacher and Hayes [49], 10,000 bootstrapping samples and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were adopted to test mediation effects and evaluate their statistical significance [50]. A mediation effect was considered as significant if the 95% CI excluded zero.

The overall mediation model – with the independent variable and all mediators as predictors - explained 54% of the total variance of the dependent variable. As shown in Table 2, bootstrapping results of the overall mediation model ($\beta = -0.28, SE = 0.06, 95\% \text{ CI } [-0.39, -0.17]$) excluded zero. Moreover, bootstrapping results of the four mediators—loneliness ($\beta = -0.09, SE = 0.04, 95\% \text{ CI } [-0.17, -0.02]$), negative affects ($\beta = -0.09, SE = 0.03, 95\% \text{ CI } [-$

0.15, -0.02]), positive affects ($\beta = -0.05$, $SE = 0.02$, 95% CI [-0.10, -0.00]), and hope ($\beta = -0.06$, $SE = 0.03$, 95% CI [-0.11, -0.01]) all excluded zero.

Effects by paths were also examined and presented in Figure 1. After introducing the mediators into the model, the direct association between students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms were non-significant ($\beta = -0.03$, $SE = 0.08$, $p = 0.687$).

Apart from this, all paths presented were significant and in the expected directions.

Specifically, perceived available peer support was negatively associated with loneliness ($\beta = -0.56$, $SE = 0.09$, $p < 0.001$) and negative affects ($\beta = -0.18$, $SE = 0.12$, $p = 0.003$), which further linked to more depressive symptoms (loneliness: $\beta = 0.17$, $SE = 0.05$, $p = 0.004$; negative affects: $\beta = 0.47$, $SE = 0.04$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, perceived available peer support was positively linked to positive affects ($\beta = 0.33$, $SE = 0.09$, $p < 0.001$) and hope ($\beta = 0.32$, $SE = 0.06$, $p < 0.001$), which then were associated with fewer depressive symptoms (positive affects: $\beta = -0.14$, $SE = 0.05$, $p = 0.008$; hope: $\beta = -0.18$, $SE = 0.07$, $p = 0.002$).

4. Discussion

There has been a growing concern for the psychological impacts of the pandemic on the public, due to both worries for the spread of the disease and the prolonged social isolation. To address this concern, several studies have investigated individuals' mental health and psychological distress during the pandemic [4-7]. Although these investigations provided timely insights, most of them did not examine the associations between relational factors such as perceived available peer support and psychological problems such as depression. Thus, the first aim of our study was to test the direct link between university students' perceived available peer support and depressive symptoms they experienced during the pandemic. Moreover, although the public has reported a series of emotional distress [21-23], the role of individuals' emotional well-being in relation to their perceived relational support and mental

health has rarely been examined. Hence, the second aim of our study was to test the mediating effects of two negative and two positive indicators of emotional well-being.

Aligned with our first hypothesis, the results yielded a negative association between students' perceived available peer support and their self-reported depressive symptoms. This result was in line with the notion that prolonged social isolation measures during COVID-19 pandemic may have prevented people from satisfying one of their basic needs – the needs to belong and to connect with people [14,15]. Given that many schools remained closed for months, students may have had fewer chances to interact with their friends at schools and consequently felt less connected with their peers [10]. Our findings underlined the important role of relational factors such as perceived available peer support for students' mental health in the time of the pandemic. Education stakeholders should help enhance students' perception of social support from and connectedness with peers without violating current disease control measures, for example, encouraging students to communicate virtually using social media [12,16].

Nevertheless, the direct effect between students' perceived available peer support and their self-reported depressive symptoms was robustly mediated by the four mediators. Indeed, as suggested by social support theory, support network helped individuals combat psychological problems after their exposure to disasters through promoting their emotional well-being [19,20]. Thus, our second and third hypotheses were also supported. Regarding negative indicators of emotional well-being, higher levels of perceived available peer support were associated with less loneliness and fewer negative affects, which were further related to greater depressive symptoms. Such results were in accordance with recent findings showing that university students felt less supported by their peers, lonelier, sadder, and had more psychological problems during the pandemic (vs. before the pandemic [9,10]). With regard to the positive indicators of emotional well-being, similarly, high levels of perceived available

peer support were associated with higher levels of positive affects and hope, both of which were associated with fewer depressive symptoms in university students. Again, these findings were in line with the conclusions from previous studies that positive affects and hopeful thinking were derived from positive interpersonal relationships, and contributed to better psychological adjustment of individuals [35,37]. Notably, although hope was documented as an important mediator of earthquake survivors' social support and psychological adjustment [24], hopelessness [51,52], but not hope, was more frequently studied during the pandemic. Thus, another contribution of our study was linking university students' emotional well-being, especially the sense of hope, to their perceived available peer support and mental health during the pandemic.

Taken together, our findings lend support to the notion that high levels of perceived available support from peer help buffer psychological problems during difficult times, by improving the emotional well-being of individuals [19,20]. In particular, our finding pointed to the fact that emotions of both valences were influenced as a result of prolonged social isolation. Albeit the proposition that positive emotions should be considered as a unique dimension of emotion rather than the opposing quality of negative emotions [46], (a decrease of) positive emotions was not widely studied as a consequence of distress [25]. Thus, another strength of the present study was the inclusion of both negative emotion-related constructs (negative affects and loneliness) and positive emotion-related constructs (positive affects and hope) as indicators of emotional well-being. In this regard, a potential future direction is to further expand the scope of the positive and negative emotion-related constructs, for example, including several emotion-related constructs that were frequently reported by the public during the pandemic, e.g., fear [53,54], boredom [55,56], and nostalgia [57,58].

Our study also bears important practical implications. The present study shed light on university students' mental health during the pandemic. Specifically, our findings highlighted

the immediate relevance of students' perceptions of available peer support to their mental health, and the mediating mechanism of their emotional well-being. In addition, the fact that the majority of our sample scored high on the depressive symptoms scale seemed to have corroborated the concerns about the poor mental health condition of the public, especially young people, during the pandemic [2,3,59]. Indeed, although the stringent disease control measures – social distancing, school closures, and shutdown of public facilities – have helped contained the virus, they also took a toll on young people's mental health.

Even though young people may not be contributing to the COVID-19 death toll, they are hugely impacted otherwise [12,29]. According to a national survey of Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), more than 40% of US young adults reported to have experienced symptoms of depression or anxiety during the pandemic [60]. The unemployment rate has risen, exchange programs were cancelled, and scholarship opportunities were slashed, all of which are likely to worsen young people's mental well-being [61]. Thus, more studies that focus on university students' relational, emotional, and psychological health are needed [9,11]. Likewise, preventive intervention programs or other – preferably online – resources should be made available and accessible to students, in order to strengthen their emotional well-being, and to reduce their psychological distress due to the COVID-19 [62].

Our study is not without limitation, however. To begin with, our data was collected from a group of students at only one university in Hong Kong, which has a disproportionate female population. In other words, our sample cannot represent all university students in Hong Kong, not to mention individuals of other age groups, or from other countries. Thus, generalization of our findings should be made with caution. Secondly, students themselves were the sole informants in the present study, which may potentially introduce a method bias [63]. Our findings need to be replicated using multiple methods and to collect data from multiple

informants. Thirdly, our cross-sectional design and correlational analysis prevented us from making causal inferences. As several researchers have pointed out, long-term follow-up studies, and pre- and post-pandemic prospective studies are needed to better understand the effect of a global pandemic [64]. To this end, future researchers should adopt a more vigorous design, such as controlled experiment design, and collect data from multiple time points. Fourthly, although we specified in the instructions that the participants should answer questions considering their *current* condition or their condition *since the onset of the pandemic*, we did not directly measure their perceptions of the pandemic. Thus, future studies would benefit by including questions that explicitly ask students their perceptions of the pandemic, such as how much their lives have been (negatively) impacted by the pandemic or how worried they are about the pandemic. Finally, in the present study, we measured students' perceptions of their available peer support network in terms of having friends available to provide peer support ("I have lots of friends") and being surrounded by peers ("I am always doing things with a lot of peers"). Despite its relevance to the present study, this measure did not cover specific kinds of support (e.g., emotional support, instrumental support), nor did it touch on other source of social support (e.g., parents, teachers). Thus, future studies need to include measures that cover broader aspects of social support.

5. Conclusions

In light of these strengths and limitations, the present study suggested that university students' perceived available peer support was an important psychological asset that contributed to their depressive symptoms during the pandemic. Further, our study found that both negative indicators of emotional well-being, such as loneliness and negative affects, and positive ones, such as hope and positive affects, robustly mediated the effect of students' perceived available peer support on their experienced depressive symptoms during the

pandemic. These findings carry important implications for the understanding the mental health of university students and provide valuable information for preventive efforts.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.K.H.C., Y.S., and S.-Y.L.; methodology, K.K.H.C. and Y.S.; software, Y.S.; validation, K.K.H.C.; formal analysis, K.K.H.C., Y.S., and S.-Y.L.; investigation, K.K.H.C., Y.S.; resources, K.K.H.C.; data curation, K.K.H.C., Y.S.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.S.; writing—review and editing, K.K.H.C., Y.S., and S.-Y.L.; supervision, K.K.H.C.; project administration, K.K.H.C., Y.S.; funding acquisition, K.K.H.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Child and Family Project from The Faculty of Human Development and Education, The Education University of Hong Kong to Kevin Kien Hoa Chung.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization. Available online: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov>
2. Xiang, Y.T.; Yang, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Q.E.; Cheung, T.; Ng, C.H. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *Lancet Psychiat* **2020**, *7*, 228-229, doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30046-8.
3. Pfefferbaum, B.; North, C.S. Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *383*, 510-512, doi:10.1056/NEJMp2008017.
4. Al Banna, M.H.; Sayeed, A.; Kundu, S.; Christopher, E.; Hasan, M.T.; Begum, M.R.; Dola, S.T.I.; Hassan, M.M.; Chowdhury, S.; Khan, M.S.I. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of the adult population in Bangladesh: A nationwide cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res* **2020**, doi:10.1080/09603123.2020.1802409
5. Li, S.; Wang, Y.; Xue, J.; Zhao, N.; Zhu, T. The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 2032, doi:10.3390/ijerph17062032.
6. Li, J.-B.; Yang, A.; Dou, K.; Cheung, R.Y. Self-control moderates the association between perceived severity of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and mental health problems among the Chinese public. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, doi:10.3390/ijerph17134820.
7. Qiu, J.; Shen, B.; Zhao, M.; Wang, Z.; Xie, B.; Xu, Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *GPSYCH* **2020**, *33*, doi:10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213.
8. Labrague, L.J.; De los Santos, J.A.A.; Falguera, C. Social and emotional loneliness among college students during the COVID-19 pandemic: the predictive role of coping behaviours,

social support, and personal resilience. **2020**, 10.21203/rs.3.rs-93878/v1, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-93878/v1.

9. Hamza, C.A.; Ewing, L.; Heath, N.L.; Goldstein, A.L. When social isolation is nothing new: A longitudinal study psychological distress during COVID-19 among university students with and without preexisting mental health concerns. *Can. Psychol.-Psychol. Can.* **2020**, 10.1037/cap0000255, doi:10.1037/cap0000255.
10. Elmer, T.; Mepham, K.; Stadtfeld, C. Students under lockdown: Assessing change in students' social networks and mental health during the COVID-19 crisis. *Plos One* **2020**, 10.1371/journal.pone.0236337, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0236337.
11. Lee, J. Mental health effects of school closures during COVID-19. *Lancet Child. Adolesc. Health* **2020**, 4, 421, doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30109-7.
12. Zhai, Y.; Du, X. Addressing collegiate mental health amid COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, 288, 113003, doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113003.
13. The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Press Release. Available online: <https://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/202001/28/P2020012800310.htm> (accessed on
14. Van Bavel, J.J.; Baicker, K.; Boggio, P.S.; Capraro, V.; Cichocka, A.; Cikara, M.; Crockett, M.J.; Crum, A.J.; Douglas, K.M.; Druckman, J.N. Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **2020**, 4, 460-471, doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0884-z.
15. Baumeister, R.F.; Leary, M.R. The need to belong: desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychol. Bull.* **1995**, 117, 497-529.
16. Moore, K.A.; March, E. Socially Connected during COVID-19: Online social connections mediate the relationship between loneliness and positive coping strategies. **2020**, 10.21203/rs.3.rs-35835/v1, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-35835/v1.

17. Marston, H.R.; Musselwhite, C.; Hadley, R. COVID-19 vs Social Isolation: the impact technology can have on communities, social connections and citizens. *British Society of Gerontology* **2020**.
18. Fraley, R.C.; Davis, K.E. Attachment formation and transfer in young adults' close friendships and romantic relationships. *Pers. Relat.* **1997**, *4*, 131-144, doi:10.1111/j.1475-6811.1997.tb00135.x.
19. Cohen, S.; Underwood, L.G.; Gottlieb, B.H. *Social support measurement and intervention: A guide for health and social scientists*; Oxford University Press: 2000.
20. Banks, D.M.; Weems, C.F. Family and peer social support and their links to psychological distress among hurricane-exposed minority youth. *Am. J. Orthopsychiatr.* **2014**, *84*, 341-352, doi:10.1037/ort0000006.
21. Killgore, W.D.; Cloonen, S.A.; Taylor, E.C.; Dailey, N.S. Loneliness: A signature mental health concern in the era of COVID-19. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113117, 113117, doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113117.
22. Shaw, S.C. Hopelessness, helplessness and resilience: The importance of safeguarding our trainees' mental wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* **2020**, *44*, 102780, doi:10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102780.
23. Kleinberg, B.; van der Vegt, I.; Mozes, M. Measuring emotions in the covid-19 real world worry dataset. **2020**.
24. Zhou, X.; Wu, X.; Zhen, R. Self-esteem and hope mediate the relations between social support and post-traumatic stress disorder and growth in adolescents following the Ya'an earthquake. *Anxiety Stress Coping* **2018**, *31*, 32-45, doi:10.1080/10615806.2017.1374376.
25. Folkman, S.; Moskowitz, J.T. Positive affect and the other side of coping. *Am. Psychol.* **2000**, *55*, 647-654, doi:10.1037/0003-066X.55.6.647.
26. Weiss, R.S. Loneliness: The experience of emotional and social isolation. **1973**.

27. Banerjee, D.; Rai, M. Social isolation in Covid-19: The impact of loneliness. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* **2020**, 10.1177/0020764020922269, doi:10.1177/0020764020922269.
28. Li, L.Z.; Wang, S. Prevalence and predictors of general psychiatric disorders and loneliness during COVID-19 in the United Kingdom. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *291*, 113267, doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113267.
29. Loades, M.E.; Chatburn, E.; Higson-Sweeney, N.; Reynolds, S.; Shafran, R.; Brigden, A.; Linney, C.; McManus, M.N.; Borwick, C.; Crawley, E. Rapid Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in the Context of COVID-19. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatr.* **2020**, *59*, 1218-1239, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2020.05.009.
30. Sibley, C.G.; Greaves, L.M.; Satherley, N.; Wilson, M.S.; Overall, N.C.; Lee, C.H.; Milojev, P.; Bulbulia, J.; Osborne, D.; Milfont, T.L. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and nationwide lockdown on trust, attitudes toward government, and well-being. *Am. Psychol.* **2020**, *75*, 618-630, doi:10.1037/amp0000662.
31. Brooks, S.K.; Webster, R.K.; Smith, L.E.; Woodland, L.; Wessely, S.; Greenberg, N.; Rubin, G.J. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* **2020**, 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8.
32. Chen, B.; Sun, J.; Feng, Y. How Have COVID-19 Isolation Policies Affected Young People's Mental Health?—Evidence From Chinese College Students. *Front. Psychol.* **2020**, *11*, 1529, doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01529.
33. Snyder, C.R. Reality negotiation: From excuses to hope and beyond. *J. Soc. Clin. Psychol.* **1989**, *8*, 130-157, doi:10.1521/jscp.1989.8.2.130.
34. Snyder, C.R. Hope theory: Rainbows in the mind. *Psychol. Inq.* **2002**, *13*, 249-275, doi:10.1207/S15327965PLI1304_01.

35. Alarcon, G.M.; Bowling, N.A.; Khazon, S. Great expectations: A meta-analytic examination of optimism and hope. *Pers. Individ. Differ* **2013**, *54*, 821-827.
36. Mahon, N.E.; Yarcheski, A. Parent and friend social support and adolescent hope. *Clin. Nurs. Res.* **2017**, *26*, 224-240, doi:10.1177/1054773815619881.
37. Stephanou, G. Children friendship: The role of hope in attributions, emotions and expectations. *Psychology* **2011**, *2*, 875-888, doi:10.4236/psych.2011.2813.
38. The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Availabe online: <https://www.coronavirus.gov.hk/eng/index.html> (accessed on
39. Harter, S. *Manual for the social support scale for children*; University of Denver: 1985.
40. Radloff, L.S. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl. Psychol. Meas.* **1977**, *1*, 385-401, doi:10.1177/014662167700100306.
41. Thompson, E.R. Development and validation of an internationally reliable short-form of the positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS). *J. Cross-Cult. Psychol.* **2007**, *38*, 227-242, doi:10.1177/0022022106297301.
42. Neto, F. Loneliness among Portuguese Adolescents. *Soc. Behav. Pers.* **1992**, *20*, 15-21.
43. Snyder, C.R.; Sympson, S.C.; Ybasco, F.C.; Borders, T.F.; Babyak, M.A.; Higgins, R.L. Development and validation of the State Hope Scale. *J Pers Soc Psychol* **1996**, *70*, 321-335, doi:10.1037/0022-3514.70.2.321.
44. Bradley, K.L.; Bagnell, A.L.; Brannen, C.L. Factorial validity of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression 10 in adolescents. *Issues Ment. Health Nurs.* **2010**, *31*, 408-412, doi:10.3109/01612840903484105.
45. Kim, J.H.; Lau, C.; Cheuk, K.-K.; Kan, P.; Hui, H.L.; Griffiths, S.M. Brief report: Predictors of heavy Internet use and associations with health-promoting and health risk behaviors among Hong Kong university students. *J. Adolesc.* **2010**, *33*, 215-220, doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2009.03.012.

46. Russell, J.A.; Carroll, J.M. On the bipolarity of positive and negative affect. *Psychol. Bull.* **1999**, *125*, 3-30.
47. Hayes, A.F. PROCESS: A versatile computational tool for observed variable mediation, moderation, and conditional process modeling. University of Kansas, KS: 2012.
48. Sun, X.-j.; Niu, G.-f.; You, Z.-q.; Zhou, Z.-k.; Tang, Y. Gender, negative life events and coping on different stages of depression severity: A cross-sectional study among Chinese university students. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2017**, *209*, 177-181, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.11.025.
49. Preacher, K.J.; Hayes, A.F. Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav. Res. Methods* **2008**, *40*, 879-891, doi:10.3758/BRM.40.3.879.
50. Hayes, A.F. Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Commun. Monogr.* **2009**, *76*, 408-420, doi:10.1080/03637750903310360.
51. Hacimusalar, Y.; Kahve, A.C.; Yasar, A.B.; Aydin, M.S. Anxiety and hopelessness levels in COVID-19 pandemic: A comparative study of healthcare professionals and other community sample in Turkey. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2020**, *129*, 181-188, doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.07.024.
52. Shanahan, L.; Steinhoff, A.; Bechtiger, L.; Murray, A.L.; Nivette, A.; Hepp, U.; Ribeaud, D.; Eisner, M. Emotional distress in young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence of risk and resilience from a longitudinal cohort study. *Psychol. Med.* **2020**, 10.1017/S003329172000241X, 1-10, doi:10.1017/S003329172000241X.
53. Ornell, F.; Schuch, J.B.; Sordi, A.O.; Kessler, F.H.P. "Pandemic fear" and COVID-19: mental health burden and strategies. *Braz. J. Psychiat.* **2020**, *42*, 232-235, doi:10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0008
54. Pakpour, A.H.; Griffiths, M.D. The fear of COVID-19 and its role in preventive behaviors. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders* **2020**.

55. Chao, M.; Chen, X.; Liu, T.; Yang, H.; Hall, B.J. Psychological distress and state boredom during the COVID-19 outbreak in China: the role of meaning in life and media use. *Eur. J. Psychotraumatol.* **2020**, *11*, 1769379, doi:10.1080/20008198.2020.1769379.
56. Droit-Volet, S.; Martinelli, S.N.; Andant, N.; Clinchamps, M.; Parreira, L.; Rouffiac, K.; Dambrun, M.; Huguet, P.; Dubuis, B.; Pereira, B., et al. Time and Covid-19 stress in the lockdown situation: Time free, << Dying >> of boredom and sadness. *Plos One* **2020**, *15*, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0236465.
57. National Geographic. Availabe online: <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/2020/07/surprising-role-of-nostalgia-during-coronavirus-pandemic/> (accessed on
58. Yeung, T.Y.-C. Did the COVID-19 Pandemic trigger nostalgia? Evidence of Music Consumption on Spotify. **2020**, 10.2139/ssrn.3678606, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3678606.
59. Wang, Z.-H.; Yang, H.-L.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Liu, D.; Li, Z.-H.; Zhang, X.-R.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Shen, D.; Chen, P.-L.; Song, W.-Q. Prevalence of anxiety and depression symptom, and the demands for psychological knowledge and interventions in college students during COVID-19 epidemic: A large cross-sectional study. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2020**, *275*, 188-193, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.034.
60. Czeisler, M.É.; Lane, R.I.; Petrosky, E.; Wiley, J.F.; Christensen, A.; Njai, R.; Weaver, M.D.; Robbins, R.; Facer-Childs, E.R.; Barger, L.K. Mental health, substance use, and suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, June 24–30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2020**, *69*, 1049-1057, doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6932a1.
61. National Review. Availabe online: <https://www.nationalreview.com/2020/06/coronavirus-shutdowns-generation-z-struggling/>. (accessed on

62. Centre for Child and Family Science. Availabe online:
[https://www.eduhk.hk/include_n/getrichfile.php?key=45706ddbd958e2a037c1a9d4fb02cd3d&secid=53422&filename=ccfs/EASP_Positive_Wellbeing_Booklet_\(ENG\).pdf](https://www.eduhk.hk/include_n/getrichfile.php?key=45706ddbd958e2a037c1a9d4fb02cd3d&secid=53422&filename=ccfs/EASP_Positive_Wellbeing_Booklet_(ENG).pdf). (accessed on
63. Podsakoff, P.M.; MacKenzie, S.B.; Lee, J.-Y.; Podsakoff, N.P. Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *J. Appl. Psychol.* **2003**, *88*, 879-903, doi:10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879.
64. Chen, S.; Bonanno, G.A. Psychological adjustment during the global outbreak of COVID-19: A resilience perspective. *Psychol Trauma* **2020**, *12*, S51, doi:10.1037/tra0000685.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and correlations of variables.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range
1. Age	-	.20**	.25**	-.06	.11	.18**	-.04	-.11	20.96	2.37	18-35
2. Gender		-	.06	-.06	.15*	.06	.09	-.05	0.13	0.34	0-1
3. Depressive symptoms			-	-.32**	.45**	.64**	-.27**	-.51**	1.13	0.60	0-3
4. Perceived Available Peer Support				-	-.57**	-.20**	.33**	.32**	3.24	0.43	1-5
5. Loneliness					-	.41**	-.11	-.31**	2.67	0.76	1-5
6. Negative Affects						-	-.04	-.42**	2.56	0.86	1-5
7. Positive Affects							-	.45**	2.89	0.65	1-5
8. Hope								-	2.76	0.47	1-4

Note: * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$

Table 2. Standardized coefficients and bootstrapping results of the mediation model.

Mediator	β	<i>SE</i>	95% Confident Interval
Total	-0.28	0.06	[-0.39, -0.17]
Loneliness	-0.09	0.04	[-0.17, -0.02]
Negative Affects	-0.09	0.03	[-0.15, -0.02]
Positive Affects	-0.05	0.02	[-0.10, -0.00]
Hope	-0.06	0.03	[-0.11, -0.01]

Note: For each path, the independent variable was perceived available peer support and the dependent variable was depressive symptoms. Gender and age were controlled for as covariates. A mediation effect was considered significant if 95% CI excluded zero.

Figure 1 The direct effect (standardized coefficients) of each path.

Note: * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$. Direct effect of perceived available peer support on depressive symptoms after introduced mediators was presented in parenthesis. Gender and age were entered as covariates (not presented in the figure).