**Reply to Guo et al. and Credé:
The Grit Scale Only Measures Perseverance and not Passion, and Its Supposed
Subfactors Are Merely Artifactors**

Jon M. Jachimowicz

Columbia Business School

Andreas Wihler

Frankfurt School of Finance & Management

Erica R. Bailey

Columbia Business School

Adam D. Galinsky

Columbia Business School

We (1) proposed that evidence linking grit and performance is mixed because the measure used to assess grit—the Grit-S scale (2)—only captures perseverance, but not passion, whereas the definition of grit encompasses both perseverance and passion (3). Our studies found that the combination of perseverance (measured through the whole Grit-S scale) and passion (measured through the Passion Attainment scale) predicted higher performance.

In their letters, Guo *et al.* (4) and Credé (5) suggest that the Grit-S scale should be treated as reflecting two factors. Credé (5) subsequently advocates for and Guo *et al.* (4) conducts separate analyses for each supposed sub-facet of the Grit-S scale (called “perseverance of effort” and “consistency of interests”).

In this response, we provide additional evidence that the Grit-S scale is unidimensional. That is, there is no validity to the Guo *et al.* (4) and Credé (5) letters’ claim that the Grit-S scale is composed of two sub-factors. As a result, the analyses advocated by both letters are inappropriate. Instead, the Grit-S scale should be treated as unidimensional, which is how we conducted our original analyses (1).

A careful examination of Grit-S scale items reveals two important points. First, closely reading the scale items reveals that the Grit-S scale captures perseverance alone, and not passion. Passion, defined as “a strong feeling toward a personally important value/preference that motivates behaviors to enact that value/preference” (1), is not captured in any of the Grit-S scale items (see Table 1). For example, Guo *et al.* (4) and Credé (5) claim that the items “I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one” and “New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones” capture passion. Yet, a careful reading of the items in the Grit-S scale reveals that there is not a single item in the Grit-S scale that reflects the theoretical concept of passion. Rather, each item in the Grit-S scale reflects perseverance.

Table 1

Original Grit-S Scale Facets Differ in the Valence of Item Loading

Item	Facet	Valence
Setbacks don't discourage me.	Perseverance of Effort	Positive
I am a hard worker		
I finish whatever I begin.		
I am diligent.		
New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones.	Consistency of Interests	Negative
I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time but later lost interest.		
I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one.		
I have difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.		

Second, a careful examination of Grit-S scale items shows that all items for the perseverance of effort sub-facet are positively worded, while all items for the consistency of interests sub-facet are negatively worded (see Table 1). It is well known that participants respond differently to positively- and negatively-worded items (6). For this reason, reverse-coded items are commonly evenly counterbalanced within rather than across factors. In the case of the Grit-S scale, this design flaw can lead to the spurious finding that the Grit-S scale loads on two factors. This does not, however, reflect a true underlying two-factor structure, but instead reflects a mere statistical artifact, so-called “artifactors,” (7) that produce a spurious multiple-factor structure.

Indeed, an independent research team recently published a paper which highlighted this flaw in the Grit-S scale construction, stating that, “the two-factor structure of the grit scale appears to be a method artifact, due to the use of both positively and negatively worded items” (8). Thus, it is most appropriate to collapse the whole Grit-S scale into a single measure of perseverance, which is how we employed this scale in our original analysis (1).

To further demonstrate the Grit-S scale’s uni-dimensionality—i.e., that it only captures perseverance but not passion—we wrote and tested two versions where all items were positively-worded ($N=958$) or negatively-worded ($N=781$) (see Table 2;

https://osf.io/cz4n9/?view_only=8206859c95ff44409e3b96ea9b6c0665 for data and code).

We then explored the factor structure of each measure by comparing the average variance extracted (AVE; i.e., the average variance that a latent factor explains in indicators as represented in the squared factor loadings) to the shared variance (SV) of the two latent factors (i.e., the squared latent correlation; 9). A two-factor structure is only present if both AVEs are larger than the SV (10).

Table 2

Corrected Unidimensional Grit-S-P (All-Positive) and Grit-S-N Scales (All-Negative)

Grit-S-P (All-Positive Version)		
Item	Facet	Valence
Setbacks don't discourage me.	Perseverance of Effort	Positive
I am a hard worker		
I finish whatever I begin.		
I am diligent.		
I don't get distracted from previous ideas and projects by new ones.	Consistency of Interests	
I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time and later did not lose interest.		
When I set a goal, I follow through with it.		
I have very little difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.		
Grit-S-N (All-Negative Version)		
Setbacks discourage me.	Persistence of Effort	Negative
I am not a hard worker		
I don't finish whatever I begin.		
I am not diligent.		
New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones.	Consistency of Interests	
I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time but later lost interest.		
I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one.		
I have difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.		

We first conducted a CFA on the responses to the all-positive version of the Grit-S scale and modeled the two correlated subfacets ($\chi^2(19) = 185.65$, $RMSEA = 0.10$, $CFI = 0.96$, $SRMR = 0.04$). Both subfacets correlated at $r = .95$ ($p < .01$). Thus, the SV was .90, while the AVEs for consistency of interests and persistence of effort were .55 and .47, respectively.

Since the SV was greater than both AVEs, our results highlights that both factors do not have sufficient discriminant validity (9, 10) (see Table 3).

Table 3

Standardized Factor Loadings of Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Grit-S-P responses

	Factor loadings	
<i>Consistency of Interest (revised; positively worded)</i>		
When I set a goal, I follow through with it.	.911***	
I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time and later did not lose interest.	.361***	
I have very little difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.	.878***	
I don't get distracted from previous ideas and projects by new ones.	.680***	
AVE		.55
<i>Perseverance of Effort (original; positively worded)</i>		
I finish whatever I begin.	.406***	
Setbacks don't discourage me.	.602***	
I am diligent.	.852***	
I am a hard worker.	.788***	
AVE		.47
Correlation between factors		.948***
Shared variance		.90
<i>Model Fit</i>		
Chi ²		185.65
df		19
RMSEA		.096
CFI		.957
SRMR		.041

Note. Standardized factor loadings are reported; $N = 958$; *** $p < .001$.

We find similar results when conducting a CFA on the responses to the all-negative version of the Grit-S scale, modelling the two correlated subfacets ($\chi^2(19) = 291.0$, $RMSEA = 0.14$, $CFI = 0.93$, $SRMR = 0.06$). This time, both factors correlated at $r = .90$ ($p < .01$), resulting in a SV of .81. The AVEs for consistency of interests and persistence of effort were .50 and .71, respectively. Once again, the SV was greater than both AVEs, highlighting that both factors do not have sufficient discriminant validity (9, 10) (see Table 4).

Table 4

Standardized Factor Loadings of Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Grit-S-N responses

	Factor loadings	
<i>Consistency of Interest (original; negatively worded)</i>		
I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one.	.856***	
I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time but later lost interest.	.838***	
I have difficulties maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.	.846***	
New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones.	.819***	
AVE		.71
<i>Perseverance of Effort (revised; negatively worded)</i>		
I don't finish whatever I begin.	.881***	
Setbacks discourage me.	.584***	
I am not diligent.	.735***	
I am not a hard worker.	.576***	
AVE		.50
Correlation between factors		.897***
Shared variance		.81
<i>Model Fit</i>		
Chi ²		291.20
df		19
RMSEA		.135
CFI		.931
SRMR		.055

Note. Standardized factor loadings are reported; $N = 781$; *** $p < .001$.

These new results further demonstrate that both sub-facets should not be treated as unique factors, but instead should be considered as overlapping facets loading on a single construct. These data make clear that the Grit-S scale only measures perseverance, but not passion. This empirical evidence, together with the theoretical reasons highlighted above, highlight that one reason for the mixed findings in prior literature between the grit scale and performance has occurred because it only captures perseverance, but does not capture passion (1).

In sum, the evidence presented here and in our original paper reveal three points that render the analyses advocated by Guo *et al.* (4) and Credé (5) moot. First, the Grit-S scale only measures perseverance, and none of its items conceptually capture passion. Second, an apparent two-factor structure of the Grit-S scale is the result of a statistical artifact based on reverse-coded items; thus, it is most appropriate to collapse the whole Grit-S into a single

measure of perseverance, which is how we employed the scale in our original analysis. Third, our original conclusion that it is only the combination of both perseverance *and* passion that predicts performance remains valid (1).

References

1. Jachimowicz JM, Wihler A, Bailey ER, Galinsky AD (2018) Why grit requires perseverance and passion to positively predict performance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*.
2. Duckworth AL, Quinn PD (2009) Development and Validation of the Short Grit Scale. *J Pers Assess* 91(2):166–174.
3. Duckworth AL, Peterson C, Matthews MD, Kelly DR (2007) Grit: Perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 92(6):1087–1101.
4. Guo J, Tang X, Xu KM (2019) Capturing the Multiplicative Effect of Perseverance and Passion: Measurement Issues of Combining Two Grit Facets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*.
5. Credé M (2019) The Total Grit Scale Score does not represent Perseverance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*.
6. Podsakoff PM, MacKenzie SB, Lee J-Y, Podsakoff NP (2003) Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *J Appl Psychol* 88:879–903.
7. Marsh HW (1996) Positive and Negative Global Self-Esteem: A Substantively Meaningful Distinction or Artifacts? *J Pers Soc Psychol* 70(4):810–819.
8. Vazsonyi AT, et al. (2018) To Grit or not to Grit, that is the Question! *J Res Pers*. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2018.12.006.
9. Fornell C, Larcker DF (1981) Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *J Mark Res* 18(1):39.
10. Farrell AM (2010) Insufficient discriminant validity: A comment on Bove, Pervan, Beatty, and Shiu (2009). *J Bus Res* 63(3):324–327.