**Racial Incivility in Everyday Life:
A Conceptual Framework for Linking Process, Person, and Context**

Anthony D. Ong

Department of Human Development, Cornell University

Division of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College

Author Note

Anthony D. Ong <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5032-667X>

The author declares no conflict of interest with respect to the authorship or publication of this article.

I gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments provided by Anthony Burrow, Adolfo Cuevas, Matthew Miller, Lucas Torres, and David R. Williams. This work has also benefited from the critical insights of Scott O. Lilienfeld.

Correspondence concerning this paper should be addressed to Anthony Ong, Department of Human Development, G77 Martha Van Rensselaer Hall Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4401. Email: anthony.ong@cornell.edu

Accepted manuscript in press at *Perspectives on Psychological Science*

Abstract

Psychologists use the term *racial microaggressions* to describe subtle forms of everyday racial incivility and discrimination reported by members of historically underrepresented groups. Growing evidence links self-reported experiences of racial microaggressions to health. Drawing on life-course perspectives on stress, biopsychosocial models of racism, and daily process research, I propose a conceptual framework for investigating daily stress processes (e.g., reactivity, recovery, appraisal, coping), cumulative stressor exposures (e.g., race-related traumas, major life events, nonevents, chronic stressors), and social structural factors (e.g., institutions, social roles, statuses) that may impact the experience of racial microaggressions in everyday life. An underlying assumption is that microaggressions are dynamic in character, can vary across individuals, and are shaped by the interplay of stressor exposures across multiple timescales and levels of analysis. The article concludes by issuing an invitation to researchers to employ methods that account for dynamic features of everyday racialized experiences, giving sufficient attention to process, person, and context.

Keywords: racial microaggression, racism, stress, daily process, affect, health

Racial Incivility in Everyday Life:

A Conceptual Framework for Linking Process, Person, and Context

The chief vehicle for pro-racist behaviors are microaggressions. These are subtle, stunning, often automatic, and non-verbal exchanges which are ‘put downs’ of blacks by offenders. The offensive mechanisms used against blacks often are innocuous. The cumulative weight of their never-ending burden is the major ingredient in black-white interactions. (Pierce, Carew, Pierce-Gonzalez, & Willis, 1978, p. 66)

Psychological research into microaggressions and its consequences has burgeoned over the past decade. This research has moved in many directions and has spanned multiple spheres of social and personal life, including race, gender, sexual orientation, disability, and religion (Sue & Spanierman, 2020). First introduced by Chester Pierce in 1970, the term “microaggressions” was used to refer to subtle everyday experiences of racism directed toward African Americans. Explicitly linking microaggressions to racism, Pierce (1974) maintained that microaggressions were “the major vehicle for racism in this country....offenses done to blacks by whites in this sort of never-ending way” (p. 515). Over the ensuing decades, Pierce’s seminal contributions have animated scholarly recognition of the withering effects of racism in daily life. Examples can be found in Philomena Essed’s (1991) writings on everyday racism and Eduardo Bonilla-Silva’s (1997) theorizing on the covert manifestations of structural racism; in David Williams’ (1997) investigations of everyday discrimination and Shelly Harrell’s (2000) conceptualization of racism microstressors; in Daniel Solórzano’s (2000) case studies of campus racial climate; in Sherman James’ (1994) “John Henryism hypothesis” and William Smith’s (2004) account of “racial battle fatigue”; and, more recently, in Derald Wing Sue’s (2007) taxonomy of racial microaggressions.

In this essay, I present a general orienting framework for the study of everyday racial microaggressions,¹ provide an outline for the kinds of data that are needed to establish an evidentiary basis for the framework, and suggest some promising lines of further inquiry. Two key assumptions underlie the proposed framework. One is that the diverse factors that converge in microaggression experiences are interrelated. Among these factors are the hierarchical arrangement of social statuses that entail inequalities in the distribution of opportunity, power, and prestige; the eventful and chronic stressors to which people are exposed; and the adaptive resources they are able to mobilize in response to life stress. A second assumption is that links comprising the chain of relationships connecting microaggressions to health are dynamic in character, such that a change in one link can induce changes in other links, thereby catalyzing chains of effect. A key implication is that microaggressions are not mere discrete occurrences that exist in isolation, but rather are woven with the many factors that over time moor the lives of individuals with the least privilege statuses to the larger social structures of which they are a part (Embrick, Dominguez, & Karsak, 2017).

Why Study Racial Microaggressions?

Beyond overt forms of racism, researchers argue that subtle and contemporary forms of racial incivility and discrimination (microaggressions) are also important sources of stress for racial and ethnic minorities (Sue et al., 2007; Wong, Derthick, David, Saw, & Okazaki, 2014).² A meta-analysis by Lui and Quezada (2018) involving more than 18,000 participants found a robust, modest association ($r = .20$) between microaggressions and psychological adjustment

¹The focus of this essay is on everyday incivilities or microaggressions based on race/ethnicity. Accordingly, and unless otherwise indicated, here I use the terms *microaggressions* and *racial microaggressions* interchangeably.

² Some have suggested that the question of perceived intent is critical to classifying actions and behaviors as microaggressions. Here I argue that the central question is whether people who experience everyday acts of racial incivility (whether intentional or not) also experience poor health.

outcomes (e.g., internalizing problems, stress, negative affect). Notably, these correlation estimates are comparable in magnitude to the correlation estimates found in studies linking overt forms of discrimination and physical health outcomes (cf. Lui & Quezada, 2018; Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009).

A defining characteristic of racial microaggression experiences is that they are inherently dynamic phenomena, surfacing and receding in combination with other stressors of daily life. According to Pierce (1974), "...one must not look for the gross and obvious. The subtle, cumulative miniassault is the substance of today's racism..." (p. 516). More recent theoretical formulations have situated racial microaggressions as "unconscious and subtle" (Solórzano et al., 2000, p. 60) or "brief and commonplace" (Sue et al., 2007, p. 273) experiences that as Harrell suggested, "...are a central part of understanding the dynamics of racism in contemporary society" (Harrell, 2000, p. 45).³ Taken together, these observations highlight an important point about the experiential reality of microaggressions: The historical and cultural context of prejudice and discrimination is crucial for a full understanding of the impact of microaggressions in everyday life (Embrick et al., 2017; Kraus & Park, 2017; M. T. Williams, 2020a). As Sue and Spanierman (2020) note, "Racial microaggressions are commonplace and make sense only in a world rife with institutional inequities grounded in the cultural superiority of the dominant group" (p. 9).

Drawing on scholarly writings (cf. Pierce et al., 1978; Solórzano et al., 2000) and prior empirical research (Dovidio & Gaertner, 1996; Steele, Spencer, & Aronson, 2002), Sue, Capodilupo, et al. (2007) proposed a theoretical taxonomy of racial microaggressions. In its

³ In reviewing the place of race and ethnicity in prior microaggression research, I adopt descriptors of race-related phenomena (e.g., Black, African American, everyday racism, daily racial discrimination) that reflect the actual terminology used by previous authors, so as to avoid explaining away the phenomena on *a priori* grounds.

expanded form, the taxonomy includes three broad classifications—*microassaults* (explicit racial derogation, such as referring to someone as “colored”), *microinvalidations* (actions that nullify the experiential reality of racial minorities, such as regarding Asian Americans as perpetual foreigners), and *microinsults* (subtle behaviors or communication styles that debase or minimize an individual’s racial heritage, such as assuming that all Latino/as are undocumented or “illegal” immigrants). Importantly, the effects of everyday microaggressions are theorized to be transmitted through institutional policies that reinforce inequitable systems (e.g., in housing, employment, earnings, health care, criminal justice) that in turn affect the life outcomes of racialized individuals (Sue et al., 2019; Sue & Spanierman, 2020). Thus, studying the manifestation and impact of racial microaggressions is relevant to clarifying the dynamics through which racial inequalities in society are produced and reproduced in everyday life through systemic or structural racism (Neblett, 2019; D. R Williams, Lawrence, & Davis, 2019).

Despite theoretical formulations of microaggressions as unfolding processes (Sue et al., 2007), much remains to be learned about how and why microaggressions matter for health and well-being. Commenting on the state of microaggression research, Ong and Burrow (2017) noted that empirical investigations continue to lag behind theoretical writings, with relatively few “intensive longitudinal studies that assess the psychological dynamics of peoples’ everyday lives” (p. 173). The question addressed by a between-person comparison is whether people *who*, on average, experience more microaggressions also experience poorer adjustment outcomes (e.g., negative and positive affect, depression and anxiety symptoms). Quite different questions are “How are microaggressions and adjustment outcomes patterned in everyday life? Do people report poorer adjustment outcomes on occasions *when* microaggressions are experienced? Do daily microaggressions affect emotions beyond the day of their occurrence? Do certain emotions

or symptoms co-occur on days when microaggressions are reported?” These are fundamentally intra-individual (within-person) questions, which can only be addressed by studying individuals intensively over time. That microaggressions and psychological distress are positively correlated across individuals has no bearing on these questions. To better understand racial microaggression processes, we must examine them as they unfold.

The Daily Process Paradigm

The conception of microaggressions as an unfolding dynamic process necessitates research designs that combine *idiographic* (patterns of variability and change within individuals) and *nomothetic* (patterns of differences between individuals) methods. This hybrid approach is exemplified in the daily process paradigm, which uses intensive longitudinal methods (e.g., experience sampling, daily diary assessments) to examine snapshots of people’s everyday experiences (Affleck, Zautra, Tennen, & Armeli, 1999; Tennen & Affleck, 2002). In particular, daily process designs allow researchers to (a) capture microaggression experiences closer to their real-time occurrence; (b) track changes in rapidly fluctuating processes, such as emotions and physical symptoms, proximate to their actual moments of change; (c) preserve temporal sequences, thereby strengthening causal inferences; and (d) minimize retrospection bias, thereby overcoming some of the methodological shortcomings of cross-sectional studies (Ong & Burrow, 2017; Tennen & Affleck, 2002).

The theoretical significance of daily process designs is highlighted by studies that evaluate process-oriented models of race-related stress and adaptational outcomes. In an early attempt to examine these issues, Ong, Fuller-Rowell, and Burrow (2009) surveyed a sample of African American doctoral students and graduates for 2 weeks. Examination of daily reports revealed greater reports of psychological distress on days characterized by increased racial

discrimination. Subsequent studies employing daily process measures of racial microaggressions and/or discrimination have found similar patterns of within-person association in samples of Black/African American (Seaton & Zeiders, 2021; Swim, Hyers, Cohen, Fitzgerald, & Bylsma, 2003), Asian American (Ong & Burrow, 2017), and Latino (Torres & Ong, 2010) college students; and Black (Seaton & Douglass, 2014), Latin American and Asian (Huynh & Fuligni, 2010) adolescents. Critically, the scope of these investigations offers insights into daily processes that simply could not be detected by conventional cross-sectional designs.

Racial Microaggressions and the Stress Process: A New Vista for Psychological Science?

In the remainder of this essay, I discuss several promising methodological and conceptual approaches for advancing racial microaggression research. I argue that the phenomena of microaggressions is best understood as a process that is shaped across time by the interplay of personal and contextual factors. Drawing on life-course perspectives on stress, biopsychosocial models of racism, and daily process research, I propose a conceptual framework for the study of everyday racial microaggressions. Much of this framework is organized around the conceptual underpinnings of the stress process (Pearlin, Menaghan, Lieberman, & Mullan, 1981), the major components of which are *stressors*, *resources*, and *outcomes*. Importantly, this integrative framework (see Figure 1) takes a multilevel approach and is influenced by the following theoretical perspectives: Clark and colleagues' biopsychosocial model of racism (Clark, Anderson, Clark, & Williams, 1999); Sue and colleagues' taxonomy of racial microaggressions (Sue et al., 2007); Smith's concept of "racial battle fatigue" (Smith, Hung, & Franklin, 2011); Geronimus' "weathering hypothesis" (Geronimus, 1992); Harrell's multidimensional conceptualization of racism-related stress (Harrell, 2000); Pearlin's "stress proliferation" construct (Pearlin, Aneshensel, & LeBlanc, 1997); Wheaton's conceptualization of the "stress

continuum” (Wheaton, 1994); and Bolger and Zuckerman’s personality and daily stress model (Bolger & Zuckerman, 1995). The present framework integrates ideas from these conceptual traditions and extends beyond them by situating racial microaggressions and daily experience in intraindividual, social, and structural contexts.

Capturing daily microaggression processes

A driving element of the stress process (Pearlin et al., 1981) involves *stressors*, which refer to events or conditions that impose demands on the adaptive capacity of individuals. Although stressors may take a variety of forms, including major life events and chronic strains (Pearlin, 1995), in this section I focus on emerging evidence from daily process studies of stress and affective dynamics and their implications for generating novel predictions and explanations of everyday microaggression experiences. As indicated in Figure 1, daily stress processes are hypothesized to represent the nexus of input, where conditions external to the individual (e.g., cumulative stressors, structural inequalities) “get under the skin” to drive health inequalities and disease. Prominent among these processes are individual differences in people’s exposure and reactivity to daily microaggressions; the speed with which they recover from microaggression experiences; their appraisals of those experiences; and the coping strategies they choose and the effectiveness of these strategies.

Differential exposure and reactivity.

Bolger and Schilling (Bolger & Schilling, 1991) identified two fundamental ways that daily stressors can influence health, including individual differences in *exposure* and *reactivity*. Exposure refers to the extent to which a person is likely to encounter a stressful event. Reactivity is the extent to which a person is likely to show concurrent psychological or physical reactions to a stressful event. Scores of daily process studies have examined the role of personality in

differential exposure and reactivity to daily stressors (Almeida, 2005; Bolger & Zuckerman, 1995; Gunthert, Cohen, & Armeli, 1999; Mroczek & Almeida, 2004; Suls, Green, & Hillis, 1998). Far fewer studies have probed the occurrence of daily microaggressions and their relationship to emotional and physical functioning (Burrow & Ong, 2010; Ong, Burrow, Fuller-Rowell, Ja, & Sue, 2013; Ong, Cerrada, Lee, & Williams, 2017). Further, in virtually all of these studies, exposure and reactivity processes have been conceptualized as criterion variables to be predicted (moderated) by individual differences, such as personality (Bolger & Zuckerman, 1995), racial identity (Burrow & Ong, 2010), and vigilance for discrimination or stigma consciousness (Ong, Cerrada, et al., 2017).

By contrast, more recent daily process studies have conceptualized exposure and reactivity as predictor variables. These studies demonstrate that greater daily stressor exposure and reactivity forecast later depressive symptoms (Cohen, Gunthert, Butler, O'Neil, & Tolpin, 2005) and depressive disorders (Charles, Piazza, Mogle, Sliwinski, & Almeida, 2013); higher levels of inflammation (Sin, Graham-Engeland, Ong, & Almeida, 2015); poorer sleep (Ong, Exner-Cortens, et al., 2013); and early mortality (Mroczek et al., 2015; Stanton, Selcuk, Farrell, Slatcher, & Ong, 2019).

Ong and Burrow (2018) extended this research by examining individual differences in affective reactivity to daily discrimination—operationalized as changes in positive and negative affect in response to daily racial discrimination—as a risk factor for subsequent depression in African Americans. They found that heightened affective reactivity (i.e., either greater increases in negative affect or decreases in positive affect) in the context of daily racial discrimination was predictive of elevated depressive symptoms 1 year later. These findings demonstrate the unique contribution of daily process studies to our understanding of depression vulnerability in African

Americans, but also underscore how daily study designs can be incorporated into longitudinal studies, thereby offering unique opportunities to test theory-driven models of microaggressions and health now in the literature (Lui & Quezada, 2018; Sue et al., 2007; Wong et al., 2014).

Differential recovery.

Recovery is the extent to which a person is likely to show lingering psychological or physical reactions to a stressful event. Leading models of stress emphasize how slow or prolonged stress responses can create excessive wear and tear over time on biological health via allostatic load (McEwen, 1998; McEwen & Stellar, 1993). Geronimus (1992) proposed the “weathering hypothesis,” according to which Black Americans experience early health deterioration as a consequence of the cumulative stress of living in a race-conscious society that stigmatizes and disadvantages them. Ong et al. (2013) demonstrated that relationships between racial microaggressions and well-being were not limited to concurrent (same-day) effects but extended to influence each other 1 day later. In one of the few studies to examine the relationship between microaggressions and physiological processes, Zeiders and colleagues (2018) provided important insights into the dynamics of microaggression experiences that give rise to changes in diurnal cortisol. Consistent with findings from studies using traditional measures of racial discrimination (see Korous, Causadias, & Casper, 2017), Zeiders et al. found that on weeks of greater microaggressions, African American and Latino youths evidenced greater overall cortisol output and cortisol awakening responses the subsequent week.

Recently, researchers have examined stress-related effects that continue past initial reactivity and their links to physical health. This is illustrated in a study by Leger, Charles, and Almeida (2018). Using a daily-burst design (i.e., 8-day diary study nested within a 10-year longitudinal study design), they demonstrated that lingering negative affect in response to daily

stressors was associated with greater numbers of chronic conditions and worse functional limitations 10 years later. Does a similar process drive maladaptation to everyday microaggressions, such that failure to recover from these daily experiences is a risk factor for later health? What is the relative importance of reactivity and recovery in the context of everyday adjustment to microaggressions? To date, no study has systematically examined these questions.

Differential appraisal.

Differential *appraisal*, a fourth daily process, refers to the likelihood that a person will construe an event as stressful. Appraisal processes play a critical role in shaping physiological response to stress. Considerable empirical evidence indicates that appraisal dimensions involving threats to safety, lack of controllability, and negative social evaluation are reliably associated with biological dysregulation (see Epel et al., 2018; Segerstrom & Miller, 2004). The importance of appraisal is particularly relevant in the wake of recent critiques of the microaggression literature (Lilienfeld, 2017a, 2017b, 2020). Here, two points deserve comment. First, as noted, *stigma consciousness* or the tendency to expect to be racially stereotyped by others can shape perceptions of daily racial microaggressions and magnify its effects on sleep. Specifically, findings from a daily diary study of Asian Americans revealed that as participants' levels of stigma consciousness increased, so did their tendency to experience diminished sleep quality and shorter sleep on nights after they reported more microaggressions (Ong, Cerrada, et al., 2017). Second, while these findings do provide some corroborating evidence that psychological appraisals—especially discrimination-related vigilance—may increase individuals' reactivity to daily microaggression experiences, it is not at all clear that it is through such daily experiences that the effects of habitual appraisals and dispositions are revealed. Sticking with our example, it is possible that stigma consciousness may affect how people react to daily microaggressions;

certain people may habitually appraise microaggression experiences as more harmful and threatening. Alternatively, stigma consciousness may lead to maladaptive outcomes through a mechanism unrelated to daily events; that is, stigma consciousness may have an endogenous or direct effect on well-being.

Despite the current attention to subjective appraisals of microaggressions (Haidt, 2017; Lilienfeld, 2017b), the relative importance of daily microaggression processes (e.g., exposure, reactivity) in linking traitlike appraisals (e.g., vigilance for discrimination) to daily adjustment has not been established. This is an important area of research given that subjective appraisals are malleable and have been suggested as potential targets for intervention to improve affective responses to social stress (Jamieson, Hangen, Lee, & Yeager, 2018).

Coping choice and effectiveness.

In addition to differential appraisal, individual differences in coping behavior may also influence daily adaptational processes. Indeed, prominent models of stress and coping maintain that the evaluation of stressful life events depends on individuals' appraisals of both the event and their coping resources (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Bolger and Zuckerman (1995) distinguished *coping choice* from *coping effectiveness*. Coping choice refers to the strategies people choose in dealing with stressful experiences, whereas coping effectiveness refers to the extent to which those strategies reduce maladaptive outcomes following stressful events.

Likewise, the concept of coping has received attention in the microaggression literature (Lui & Quezada, 2018; Wong et al., 2014). For example, Torres, Driscoll, and Burrow (2010) found that for African American college students, engagement in active coping behaviors (e.g., planning, problem solving) was protective in the context of racial microaggression experiences, especially those involving ascriptions of intelligence or having one's ability underestimated.

Similarly, Sanchez and colleagues (2018) found that engagement coping strategies (e.g., problem solving, cognitive restructuring, social support) were beneficial for Asian American and Latinx American college students' mental health in the face of racial-ethnic microaggressions. Further, DeLapp and Williams (2019) reviewed the coping literature and identified preventative or proactive coping as an understudied coping approach within the context of racial discrimination.

Although many investigators interested in stress and coping have turned to daily process designs (Tennen & Affleck, 1996, 2002), little research to date has used daily process methods to examine coping and racial microaggressions (but see Hoggard, Byrd, & Sellers, 2012). Such studies would have both theoretical and practical significance. Theoretically, studying coping and microaggressions as they unfold in daily life would reveal which coping strategies people adopt to cope with everyday microaggressions and the resulting effects on adaptational outcomes. Practically, findings from microaggression studies that include daily process measures of coping could critically inform intervention programs. Should these programs teach individuals to avoid microaggressions, to cope with them more effectively, or should they emphasize strategies that are not directly tied to stressful situations (e.g., exercise, guided imagery, mindfulness meditation)? Do all coping strategies show consistency across different types of microaggressions (e.g., second-class citizenship, ascription of intelligence, invisibility)? To the extent that people are more variable than consistent across situations in their coping strategies, how should intervention programs be designed to account for this variability? Without examining coping as a daily process, one cannot begin to address these central questions.

Daily microaggressions and the structure of affective experience.

Before leaving the discussion of microaggressions as daily process, it should be noted that the degree of independence between positive affect (PA) and negative affect (NA) states

remains an area of research interest and debate, with some investigators arguing that PA and NA are best represented as separate dimensions, and others arguing that they vary along a single bipolar continuum (see Reich, Zautra, & Davis, 2003). Zautra and colleagues' (2001) Dynamic Model of Affect (DMA) posits that the distinction between positive and negative affect becomes less clear during times of stress. In contrast to other models of stress and coping, which view emotional adaptation entirely in terms of regulating psychological distress, the DMA takes into account both PA and NA in the stress process. The model predicts that under ordinary circumstances, PA and NA are relatively independent, while during stressful situations an inverse correlation between PA and NA increases sharply.

There are several theoretical implications of the DMA for microaggression research. Perhaps the most fundamental implication is the idea that a person's level of stress should not be ignored when assessing daily affective states (Ong, Zautra, & Finan, 2017). This implies that the degree of relationship between PA and NA (i.e., emotional complexity) may vary depending upon information processing demands, including the degree of microaggression-related stress that the individual is under at the time of assessment. A related implication is that at least two dimensions are needed to fully classify human emotions—one that assesses the level of NA and accompanying motivations and another that gauges the extent of PA and accompanying approach processes. Although including within- and between-person context effects will make analytic models more complicated, it seems clear that such sources of variance require greater empirical attention in future microaggression research (Ong & Burrow, 2017).

Daily racial microaggressions and the stress continuum

A fundamental question to consider at this point is what are the life course and structural origins of daily racial microaggressions? A large sociological literature attests to the influence of

stratified social systems in structuring people's everyday experiences (see Aneshensel, Phelan, & Bierman, 2013; Avison, Aneshensel, Schieman, & Wheaton, 2010). From this perspective, daily microaggressions and their consequences are not mere happenings or isolated events, but circumstances of daily life that surface within a context of stressor constellations and disadvantaged statuses (e.g., subordinate occupational status) that are coextensive over the life course. I refer to these collectively as *cumulative stressors*. Following Wheaton (1994), Figure 1 argues for the incorporation of stressors that vary in discreteness (e.g., traumas and chronic stressors).

Traumas.

Traumas are distinguished from other events by their suddenness, magnitude, and potential for life threatening harm and injury. These events occupy the discrete end of the stress continuum (Wheaton, 1994) and can occur at any point in the life course. Among the traumas closely linked to race are those that are brought on by exposure and re-exposure to the stress of racism (Carter, 2007). Comas-Díaz, Hall, and Neville (2019) defined *racial trauma* as

...events of danger related to real or perceived experiences of racial discrimination. These include threats of harm and injury, humiliating and shaming events, and witnessing harm to other POCI [People of Color and Indigenous Individuals] due to real or perceived racism (p. 1).

Experiences of racial trauma (direct or vicarious) are often varied and heterogeneous across socially marginalized groups. This is illustrated in an early case study by Loo (1994) that accounts in detail the heightened sense of “double assault” experienced by a 45 year-old Chinese American Vietnam veteran:

I am a sitting duck. Since everyone was in a combat mode, I was threatened by death from all sides—the enemy and my own fellow GIs. I had to be more vigilant than white or Black soldiers because American soldiers were conditioned to respond to anybody Oriental-looking with animosity and hatred. The philosophy was: *kill* anyone with slant eyes, *hate* slant eyes” (pp. 645-646).

Subsequent empirical work by Loo and colleagues (2001) found that exposure to race-related stressors in the military and war zone was a stronger predictor of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms than combat exposure or military rank.

Chavez-Dueñas, Adames, Perez-Chavez, and Salas (2019) document the manifold traumatic stressors (e.g., extortion, rape, kidnapping, racism, deportation, family separation) that Latinx immigrants to the United States are at risk of experiencing throughout the immigration process. The collective impact of these traumatic events is most keenly felt by children. As Chavez-Dueñas et al. (2019) poignantly observe, “Among Latinx children of parents who have been detained or deported, significantly higher externalizing behavior and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms have been reported by parents and clinicians, compared with children of parents without prior contact with immigration enforcement” (p. 54).

Some traumas are so profoundly destructive and outside the range of usual human experience that they are considered *sui generis*, and thus accorded separate status as stressors. Foremost among these are *historical traumas*, defined as collective injuries and unresolved grief experienced by Indigenous people and cultural groups over time and across generations (Mohatt, Thompson, Thai, & Tebes, 2014). The transgenerational impact of historical trauma can be seen among descendants of diverse communities, including American Indians and the heritage of cultural genocide (Brave Heart & DeBruyn, 1998), Mexican Americans and the legacy of anti-immigrant animus (Estrada, 2009), African Americans and the lasting impact of Jim Crow segregation (Massey & Denton, 1993), Japanese Americans after internment (Nagata, 1993), and Vietnamese Americans following refugee resettlement (Zhou & Bankston, 1998). These examples give voice to a crucial point: persistent disparities in rates of morbidity and mortality—

among groups whose statuses yield the least power, privilege, and prestige—may be moored to instances of personal and historic trauma that endure within and across generations.

Life events.

Distinguished from traumatic events are untoward *life events* which are acute (time-limited) and episodic (identifiable onset) in nature. Among the life events that illuminate the enduring connections between social conditions and individual health are those that are patterned by race and ethnicity. An astonishing example comes from the work of Umberson and colleagues (2017) who document black-white disparities in the extent and timing of life course exposure to death of family members in the United States. Using data from the National Longitudinal Study of Youth and the Health and Retirement Study, the researchers found that relative to White Americans, Black Americans were “...more likely to experience the death of mothers, fathers, siblings, spouses, and children and to experience multiple family member deaths. Moreover, racial differences in exposure to deaths of mothers, fathers, and siblings appear early in childhood” (Umberson et al., 2017, p. 917).

Beyond racial disparities in life events are those eventful stressors that are uniquely borne out of racism and discrimination. As D. R. Williams and colleagues have so clearly demonstrated in their explication of racism as a stressor (Clark et al., 1999; Forman, Williams, & Jackson, 1997; Kessler, Mickelson, & Williams, 1999), relative to non-Hispanic White Americans, racial minorities in the U.S. consistently report more experiences of lifetime discrimination (e.g., being unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood) at every level of age, gender, and socioeconomic status (SES). Moreover, lifetime exposure to racism and discrimination is associated with broad-based morbidity and mortality (Ong & Williams, 2019; D. R. Williams, Lawrence, Davis, & Vu, 2019; D. R. Williams & Mohammed, 2013).

Macrostressors.

Occurring above the level of the individual are large-scale events or *macrostressors* that have reverberating effects, such as economic recessions, natural disasters, and terrorist attacks (Wheaton, 1994). The health effects of macrostressors can be seen in a dramatic study of birth outcomes before and after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Lauderdale (2006) examined birth records for all California births during the 6 months after September 2001, compared to the same period 1 year earlier. The study found the incidence of preterm birth and low-birth weight was substantially elevated among Arab American women 6 months after 9/11, with no increase seen for other ethnic groups. As Williams and Mohammed (2009) suggest, “...macro-stressors that are ostensibly unrelated to race or ethnicity can be racialized in ways that can generate increased discrimination for socially stigmatized groups” (p. 30).

There is evidence that highly publicized race-related events can predict changes in health. For example, in a 2006 case that was widely covered by the media, a Black woman accused White male members of the Duke University men’s lacrosse team of rape and violence. Richman and Jonassaint (2008) found that in the wake of the alleged campus racial incident, Black college students had higher and more sustained cortisol levels during a laboratory stress task compared with participants who did the laboratory stress task prior to the alleged incident. While no data are yet available, it is possible that the police killing of George Floyd, an unarmed Black man—whose death sparked an upwelling of protest and discontent over police violence (cf. Bor, Venkataramani, Williams, & Tsai, 2018; Harderman, Medina, & Boyd, 2020)—could engender similar effects.

Nonevents.

Although individuals are profoundly influenced by what they experience, it is reasonable to assume that they are also affected by what they do not experience. Stress researchers use the term *nonevents* to refer to anticipated events or experiences that do not come to pass, such as being unable to have children when children are desired or not finding a marital partner. Gersten, Langner, Eisenberg, and Orzeck (1974) define a nonevent as an "...event that is desired or anticipated and does not occur...Alternatively, a nonevent could be seen as something desirable which does not occur when its occurrence is normative for people of a certain group" (p. 89). For Wheaton (1994), nonevents occupy an ambiguous middle ground on the stress continuum between time-limited "eventful" stressors (e.g., retirement) on the one hand, and more continuous chronic stressors (e.g., infertility) on the other.

While scientific interest in nonevents is not new (e.g., Wheaton, 1994; Wheaton, 2010), only a handful of studies have examined the psychological effects of unfulfilled expectations or goal strivings in samples of racial/ethnic minorities. Using data from the US National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (1974-1994), Mossakowski (2011) found that achieving a lower level of education than expected, becoming a parent unexpectedly, and being out of the labor force unexpectedly at ages 19-27 presaged higher levels of depressive symptoms at ages 29-37 among black, Hispanic and white respondents. Sellers and Neighbors (2008) used data from the National Survey of Black Americans (1987-1992) and found that goal striving stress, defined as the discrepancy between expectations and achievements, was associated with lower levels of happiness, life satisfaction, self-esteem, and higher levels of psychological distress. More research—incorporating prospective designs, longitudinal data, and control groups (Lucas,

2007)—is needed before firm conclusions can be drawn concerning the role of nonevents as a key source of stress in the lives of individuals from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds.

To date, research on nonevents has largely focused on the nonoccurrence of expected and desired events. However, it is plausible that nonevents that are expected but undesired are also related to individual well-being. Notably, while much research seeks to assess self-reported experiences of discrimination (Lewis, Cogburn, & Williams, 2015), no empirical attention to date has been given to capturing discriminatory events that are expected but never occur (see D. R. Williams, Neighbors, & Jackson, 2003). It is possible that such violations in expectation might be experienced as *stress relief* in some individuals, especially those who may show sustained vigilance around discrimination (Lewis et al., 2015). Overall, closer consideration of research at the interface of discrimination, nonevents, and ethnic and racial disparities in mental health is warranted.

Chronic stressors.

A final class of stressors, referred to as *chronic stressors*, represent unresolved problems, conflicts, and hardships that people face in their daily lives. Unlike eventful stressors that have clear temporal origins, chronic stressors tend to be recurrent, surfacing within major social roles (e.g., work, friendship, marriage, or parenthood) that are themselves enduring (Pearlin, 1995). In addition to role-based stressors, Pearlin and Skaff (1996) distinguished between chronic stressors that are encountered on a daily basis (*quotidian strains*) from those that cut across multiple roles (*ambient strains*).

Among the chronic stressors that are likely to be repeatedly experienced across multiple contexts (e.g., education, housing, medical care, justice system) over the life course are discriminatory experiences based on race/ethnicity (D. R. Williams & Mohammed, 2013; D. R.

Williams et al., 2003). Importantly, although quotidian and ambient strains are captured in traditional self-report measures of discrimination, such as the Everyday Discrimination Scale (D. R. Williams et al., 1997) and the Major Experiences of Discrimination Questionnaire (D. R. Williams et al., 2008), these measures are typically included in studies to assess their unique explanatory utility in the prediction of health. Less common are studies that seek to account for the diverse ways in which ambient and quotidian stressors act in concert to produce undesirable outcomes. Are the effects of major experiences of racial discrimination indirect, operating through day-to-day experiences of discrimination (Ong et al., 2009)? Do major and everyday experiences of discrimination converge in the production of stress, each providing meaning and context for the other (Serido, Almeida, & Wethington, 2004; see also M. T. Williams, 2020a)? Pursuit of these questions may lead to important insights into how racial inequalities stemming from repeated exposure to discrimination may come to be reproduced.

Stress proliferation

As the preceding discussion suggests, different types of stressors can be arrayed along a “stress continuum,” from discrete traumatic events to continuous chronic stressors (Wheaton, 1994). Of course, stressors rarely, if ever, occur in isolation; more often, stressors surface as constellations of multiple stressors that merge and blend with one another over time (Pearlin, 1995). It is this tendency of stressors to multiply and create other stressors that provides ballast for the construct of *stress proliferation* (Pearlin, 1995; Pearlin et al., 1997). This expansion of stressors is portrayed in Figure 1 by the double arrow, signifying the reciprocal causal flow involved in each juncture of the stress continuum. Thus, one event may lead to another, as when the demands of caregiving lead to problems at work (Pearlin et al., 1997) or when involuntary

job loss results in marital conflict (Price, 1992) or when divorce necessitates residential move (Booth & Amato, 1993).

Ong and colleagues (2009) tested the hypothesis that stress proliferation is a critical, but overlooked, mechanism underlying the expansion of chronic racial discrimination. Using a daily process design, they found two pathways of stress proliferation (daily discrimination and negative events) that serve to link chronic discrimination to daily distress. These findings have important implications for future microaggression research. First, they demonstrate that stress proliferation is a major mechanism through which chronic racial discrimination contributes to broad-based mental health. Notably, the findings from this work call attention to the array of multiple daily racial (microaggressions) and nonracial stressors to which racial/ethnic minorities may be exposed, and the potential for such proliferated stressors to “spillover” (Wethington, 2000) and contaminate daily well-being.

Beyond providing a mechanistic account for robust associations between self-reported experiences of discrimination and health (D. R. Williams et al., 2003), the stress proliferation concept has implications for research that seeks to consider the role of protective factors (see Neblett, Rivas-Drake, & Umana-Taylor, 2012). Much of this work has focused on the moderating effects of psychosocial resources (e.g., racial and ethnic identity) on outcomes. But as the foregoing discussion shows, psychosocial resources may also have indirect effects by limiting the expansion of proliferated stressors (Figure 1). This conceptualization of the stress process suggests ways to evaluate hypothesized protective effects that currently are understudied.

The structural origins of stress

The structural origins of stress in social inequality have been discussed extensively by Aneshensel (1992), Pearlin (1995), Turner, Wheaton, and Lloyd (1995), and Thoits (2010). A

general point that can be drawn from this rich sociological work is that differences in stressor exposure are rooted in the various social structures in which individuals are embedded. As portrayed in Figure 1, the most encompassing of these structures are found in social institutions and their arrangements of hierarchies, statuses, roles, and relationships. Furthermore, to the extent that these structural arrangements embody the unequal distribution of resources and opportunities, they are what Link and Phelan (1995) have described as the “fundamental cause” of health inequalities in disease.

As originally formulated, fundamental-cause theory sought to explain enduring associations between SES and health inequalities (Link & Phelan, 1995; Link & Phelan, 2010). A key implication of the theory is that health inequalities persist and are reproduced across time via enduring inequalities in knowledge, money, power, and prestige. In the context of flexible resources, power is the ability to exert control and influence over others. Prestige is regard or esteem attached to a person or social group (Link, Phelan, Miech, & Westin, 2008). Access to and deployment of these health-enhancing resources, according to the theory, allow individuals and groups to avoid risks for morbidity and mortality. In an ingenious test of the theory, Phelan, Link, Diez-Roux, Kawachi, and Levin (2004) identified a condition in which the advantages of higher SES could not be used to prolong life and derived the following hypothesis: To the extent that SES is critical to extending life, SES gradients in mortality should be weaker for less preventable causes of death—for which there are no known preventions or cures. Consistent with predictions derived from the fundamental cause explanation, Phelan and colleagues found that the SES-mortality association was significantly stronger for highly preventable causes of death than for less preventable causes of death.

Systemic racism as a fundamental cause

In 1997, D. R. Williams argued that alongside SES, racism should be recognized as a root cause of racial differences in health. Extending this idea, Phelan and Link (2015) proposed a set of processes linking racism to health inequalities. In advancing racism as fundamental cause, they posit that *systemic racism* embodies a set of flexible race-related resources (i.e., nonoccupational prestige and power, beneficial social connections, and freedom to control one's life circumstances) that advantage whites.⁴ These resources are transmitted over time via multiple replacement mechanisms (e.g., slavery and disenfranchisement, racial violence, legal and covert segregation and discrimination, implicit stereotypes). The flexibility of these resources, in turn, are predicted to cause racial differences in health and mortality, independent of SES (Phelan & Link, 2015).

Considering fundamental causes of health inequalities from the perspective of race leads to several health policy implications. Chief among these is where to direct resources to address health inequalities. As Phelan and Link (2015) conclude,

If the distinctive features of a fundamental cause that reproduce inequalities over time characterize SES but not racism, then persistent racial differences in health should be addressed by breaking the link between race and SES. If, however, racism has the distinctive features of a fundamental cause independent of SES, then persistent racial differences in health cannot be remedied solely by reducing socioeconomic differences between black and white Americans. Even if such SES differences were eliminated, racial differences in health would persist. In this case, racial differences in health would have to be addressed like a fundamental cause, reducing racism itself. Our review suggests that such is the case. (p. 325)

If racism is a fundamental cause of racial health disparities, how might exposure to racism be reduced, and its negative health effects ameliorated, through societal intervention (D. R Williams et al., 2019)? How should strategies to reduce racism be

⁴ For a discussion of the invisibility or *hypocognition* that underlies racial privilege, see Wu and Dunning (2020).

distinguished from those aimed at enhancing racial equity or promoting antiracism (Roberts & Rizzo, 2020)? The answers to these questions await further investigation and research.

Underlying mechanisms

Finally, in addition to tracing the antecedents of racial microaggressions, the conceptual model outlined in Figure 1 suggests a number of distal mechanisms and outcomes by which to extend future work. This is indicated by the arrows from daily stress processes (represented by dashed ovals) to behavioral and biological responses, and from behavioral and biological responses to mental and physical health risks (represented by rectangles). Thus, daily microaggressions are hypothesized to influence mental and physical health risks via multiple behavioral (e.g., smoking, alcohol consumption, substance use, physical activity, sleep) and biological (e.g., neuroendocrine, inflammation, cardiovascular) pathways (Figure 1). Support for these pathways in studies of discrimination and health has been reviewed by D. R. Williams (2019), Paradies et al. (2015), Goosby, Cheadle, and Mitchell (2018), Korous et al. (2017), and Cuevas et al. (2020), and others. Although it is plausible that the mechanisms found to link discrimination to health may play similar roles in racial microaggressions, the evidence base to date includes no formal tests of these mechanistic hypotheses.

Concluding Comment

Psychological scientists have an intellectual stake in the study of everyday racial incivilities or microaggressions. Fundamentally, a psychological conception of microaggressions brings researchers closer to the real world of individuals' daily lives (Ong & Burrow, 2017). The conceptual framework proposed in this essay prompts us to explore this dynamic. The challenge before us is to assess the potential of microaggressions as a bridging concept across multiple

levels of analysis, from daily processes to social structure. From a psychological perspective, developing and refining microaggressions as a dynamic process is crucial, and remains a key challenge to advancing the concept and its application.

Following the publication of Sue's (2010) canonical text, *Microaggressions in Everyday Life*, the term "microaggressions" has entered the popular lexicon. In his critique, Lilienfeld (2017a) raised a number of concerns regarding the scientific status of microaggression research and training programs. In response, commentaries have argued for greater qualitative investigations that capture the interiority of socially devalued groups (Sue, 2017), as well as intervention efforts to reduce the commission of microaggressions and their invidious effects on mental health (M. T. Williams, 2020a, 2020b). Others have argued that engaging in critical microaggression dialogue in professional and public settings demands social action—praxis—that is grounded *ab initio* in the perspectives of marginalized groups and communities (Huber & Solorzano, 2018; Sue, Lin, Torino, Capodilupo, & Rivera, 2009).

While being in accord with these points, in this essay, I have argued for an integrative psychological science of racial microaggressions, one that contextualizes day-to-day experiences with adequate analysis—giving sufficient attention to process, person, and context. As psychological scientists, we ought not lose sight of these enveloping influences whose interconnections are often hidden and not easily discernible from the outside. The time for such inquiry is at hand.

References

- Affleck, G., Zautra, A., Tennen, H., & Armeli, S. (1999). Multilevel daily process designs for consulting and clinical psychology: A preface for the perplexed. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 67*(5), 746-754.
- Almeida, D. M. (2005). Resilience and vulnerability to daily stressors assessed via diary methods. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14*(2), 64-68.
- Aneshensel, C. S. (1992). Social stress: Theory and research. *Annual Review of Sociology, 18*, 15-38.
- Aneshensel, C. S., Phelan, J. C., & Bierman, A. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of the sociology of mental health* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer.
- Avison, W. R., Aneshensel, C. S., Schieman, S., & Wheaton, B. (Eds.). (2010). *Advances in the conceptualization of the stress process: Essays in honor of Leonard Pearlin*. New York: Springer.
- Bolger, N., & Schilling, E. A. (1991). Personality and the problems of everyday life: The role of neuroticism in exposure and reactivity to daily stressors. *Journal of Personality, 59*(3), 355-386.
- Bolger, N., & Zuckerman, A. (1995). A framework for studying personality in the stress process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*(5), 890-902. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.890
- Bonilla-Silva, E. (1997). Rethinking racism: Toward a structural interpretation. *American Sociological Review, 62*, 465-480.
- Booth, A., & Amato, P. (1993). Divorce, residential change, and stress. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage, 18*, 205-214.

- Bor, J., Venkataramani, A. S., Williams, D. R., & Tsai, A. (2018). Police killings and their spillover effects on the mental health of black Americans: a population-based, quasi-experimental study. *The Lancet*, 392(10144), 302-310.
- Brave Heart, M. Y., & DeBruyn, L. M. (1998). The American Indian Holocaust: healing historical unresolved grief. *American Indian Alaskan Native Mental Health Research*, 8, 56-78.
- Burrow, A. L., & Ong, A. D. (2010). Racial identity as a moderator of daily exposure and reactivity to racial discrimination. *Self and Identity*, 9(4), 383-402.
doi:10.1080/15298860903192496
- Carter, R. T. (2007). Racism and psychological and emotional injury: Recognizing and assessing race-based traumatic stress. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 35, 13–105.
- Charles, S. T., Piazza, J. R., Mogle, J., Sliwinski, M. J., & Almeida, D. M. (2013). The wear-and-tear of daily stressors on mental health. *Psychological Science*, 24, 733–741.
- Chavez-Dueñas, N. Y., Adames, H. Y., Perez-Chavez, J. G., & Salas, S. P. (2019). Healing ethno-racial trauma in Latinx immigrant communities: Cultivating hope, resistance, and action. *American Psychologist*, 74, 49-62.
- Clark, R., Anderson, N. B., Clark, V. R., & Williams, D. R. (1999). Racism as a stressor for African Americans: A biopsychosocial model. *American Psychologist*, 54(10), 805-816.
- Cohen, L. H., Gunthert, K. C., Butler, A. C., O'Neil, S. C., & Tolpin, L. H. (2005). Daily affective reactivity as a prospective predictor of depressive symptoms. *Journal of Personality*, 73, 1687-1713.
- Comas-Díaz, L., Hall, G. N., & Neville, H. A. (2019). Racial trauma: Theory, research, and healing: Introduction to the special issue. *American Psychologist*, 74, 1-5.

- Cuevas, A., D., O. A., Carvalho, K., Ho, T., Chan, S. W., Allen, J., . . . Williams, D. R. (2020). Discrimination and systemic inflammation: A critical review and synthesis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 89, 465-479.
- DeLapp, R. C. T., & Williams, M. T. (2019). Preparing for racial discrimination and moving beyond reactive coping: A systematic review. *Current Psychiatry Reviews*, 15, 58-71.
- Dovidio, J. F., & Gaertner, S. L. (1996). Affirmative action, unintentional racial biases, and intergroup relations. *Journal of Social Issues*, 52, 51-75.
- Embrick, D. G., Dominguez, S., & Karsak, B. (2017). More than just insults: Rethinking sociology's contribution to scholarship on racial microaggressions. *Sociological Inquiry*, 87, 193-206.
- Epel, E. S., Crosswell, A. D., Mayer, S. E., Prather, A. A., Slavich, G. M., Puterman, E., & Mendes, W. B. (2018). More than a feeling: A unified view of stress measurement for population science. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 49, 146-169.
- Essed, P. (1991). *Understanding everyday racism: An interdisciplinary theory*. London: Sage Publications.
- Estrada, A. L. (2009). Mexican Americans and historical trauma theory: A theoretical perspective. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 8, 330-340.
- Forman, T. A., Williams, D. R., & Jackson, J. S. (1997). Race, place, and discrimination. In C. Gardner (Ed.), *Perspectives on Social Problems* (pp. 231-261). New York: JAI Press.
- Geronimus, A. T. (1992). The weathering hypothesis and the health of African-American women and infants: evidence and speculations. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 2, 207-221.

- Gersten, J. C., Langner, T. S., Eisenberg, J. G., & Orzeck, L. (1974). Child behavior and life events: Undesirable change or change per se? In B. S. Dohrenwend & B. P. Dohrenwend (Eds.), *Stressful life events: Their nature and effects* (pp. 159-170). New York: Wiley.
- Goosby, B. J., Cheadle, J. E., & Mitchell, C. (2018). Stress-related biosocial mechanisms of discrimination and African American health inequities. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 44, 319-340.
- Gunthert, K. C., Cohen, L. H., & Armeli, S. (1999). The role of neuroticism in daily stress and coping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(5), 1087-1100.
- Haidt, J. (2017). The unwisest idea on campus: Commentary on Lilienfeld (2017). *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12, 176-177.
- Harderman, R. R., Medina, E. M., & Boyd, R. W. (2020). Stolen breaths. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383, 197-199.
- Harrell, S. P. (2000). A multidimensional conceptualization of racism-related stress: Implications for the well-being of people of color. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 70(1), 42-57.
- Hoggard, L. S., Byrd, C. M., & Sellers, R. M. (2012). Comparison of African American college students' coping with racially and nonracially stressful events. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 329-339.
- Huber, L. P., & Solorzano, D. G. (2018). Teaching racial microaggression: Implications of critical hypos for social work praxis. *Journal of Ethnic & Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 27, 54-71.
- Huynh, V. W., & Fuligni, A. J. (2010). Discrimination hurts: The academic, psychological, and physical well-being of adolescents. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 20, 916-941.

- James, S. A. (1994). John Henryism and the health of African-Americans. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry*, 18, 163-182.
- Jamieson, J. P., Hangen, E. J., Lee, H. Y., & Yeager, D. S. (2018). Capitalizing on appraisal processes to improve affective responses to social stress. *Emotion Review*, 10, 30-39.
- Kessler, R. C., Mickelson, K. D., & Williams, D. R. (1999). The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 40(3), 208-230.
- Korous, K. M., Causadiaz, J. M., & Casper, D. M. (2017). Racial discrimination and cortisol output: A meta-analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 193, 90-100.
- Kraus, M. W., & Park, J. W. (2017). *Microaggressions as part of the historical context of stigma and prejudice*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/622ke>
- Lauderdale, D. S. (2006). Birth outcomes for Arabic-named women in California before and after September 11. *Demography*, 43, 185–201.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisals & coping*. New York.
- Leger, K. A., Charles, S. T., & Almeida, D. M. (2018). Letting it go: Lingering negative affect in response to daily stressors is associated with physical health in later years. *Psychological Science*, 29, 1283-1290.
- Lewis, T. T., Cogburn, C. D., & Williams, D. R. (2015). Self-reported experiences of discrimination and health: Scientific advances, ongoing controversies, and emerging issues. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 11, 407-440.
- Lilienfeld, S. O. (2017a). Microaggressions: Strong claims, inadequate evidence. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12, 138-169.

Lilienfeld, S. O. (2017b). Through a glass, darkly: Microaggressions and psychological science.

Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12, 178-180.

Lilienfeld, S. O. (2020). Microaggression research and application: Clarifications, corrections,

and common ground. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 15*, 27-37.

Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (1995). Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 35*, 80-94.

Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2010). *Social conditions as fundamental causes of health inequalities* (6th ed.). Nashville: Vanderbilt University Press.

Link, B. G., Phelan, J. C., Miech, R., & Westin, E. L. (2008). The resources that matter:

Fundamental social causes of health disparities and the challenge of intelligence. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 49*, 72-91.

Loo, C. M. (1994). Race-related PTSD: The Asian American Vietnam veteran. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 7*(4), 637-656.

Loo, C. M., Fairbank, J. A., Scurfield, R. M., Ruch, L. O., King, D. W., Adams, L. J., &

Chemtob, C. M. (2001). Measuring exposure to racism: Development and validation of a race-related stressor scale (RRSS) for Asian American Vietnam veterans. *Psychological Assessment, 13*(4), 503-520.

Lucas, R. E. (2007). Adaptation and the set-point model of subjective well-being: Does

happiness change after major life events? *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 16*, 75-79.

Lui, P. P., & Quezada, L. (2018). Associations between microaggressions and adjustment

outcomes: A meta-analytic and narrative review. *psychological Bulletin, 145*, 45-78.

- Massey, D., & Denton, N. (1993). *American apartheid: segregation and the making of the underclass*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- McEwen, B. S. (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease: Allostasis and allostatic load. In J. M. Lipton & S. M. McCann (Eds.), *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences: Neuroimmunomodulation: Molecular aspects, integrative systems, and clinical advances*. (Vol. 480, pp. 33-44). New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- McEwen, B. S., & Stellar, E. (1993). Stress and the individual: Mechanisms leading to disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 153, 2093–2101.
- Mohatt, N. V., Thompson, A. B., Thai, N. D., & Tebes, J. K. (2014). Historical trauma as public narrative: A conceptual review of how history impacts present-day health. *Social Science & Medicine*, 106, 128-136.
- Mossakowski, K. N. (2011). Unfulfilled expectations and symptoms of depression among young adults. *Social Science & Medicine*, 73, 729-736.
- Mroczek, D. K., & Almeida, D. M. (2004). The effect of daily stress, personality, and age on daily negative affect. *Journal of Personality*, 72(2), 355-378. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00265.x
- Mroczek, D. K., Stawski, R. S., Turiano, N. A., Chan, W., Almeida, D. A., Neupert, S. D., & Spiro III, A. (2015). Emotional reactivity predicts mortality: Longitudinal findings from the VA Normative Aging Study. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 70, 398–406.
- Nagata, D. K. (1993). *Legacy of injustice: Exploring the crossgenerational impacts of the Japanese American internment*. New York: Plenum Press.

- Neblett, E. W., Jr. (2019). Racism and health: Challenges and future directions in behavioral and psychological research. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 26*, 12-20.
- Neblett, E. W., Jr., Rivas-Drake, D., & Umana-Taylor, A. J. (2012). The promise of racial and ethnic protective factors in promoting ethnic minority youth development. *Child Development Perspectives, 6*, 295-303.
- Ong, A. D., & Burrow, A. (2017). Microaggressions and daily experience: Depicting life as it is lived. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12*, 173-175.
- Ong, A. D., & Burrow, A. L. (2018). Affective reactivity to daily racial discrimination as a prospective predictor of depressive symptoms in African American graduate and post-graduate students. *Development and Psychopathology, 30*, 1649-1659.
- Ong, A. D., Burrow, A. L., Fuller-Rowell, T. E., Ja, N., & Sue, D. W. (2013). Racial microaggressions and daily well-being among Asian Americans. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 60*, 188-199.
- Ong, A. D., Cerrada, C., Lee, R., & Williams, D. R. (2017). Stigma consciousness, racial microaggressions, and sleep disturbance among Asian Americans. *Asian American Journal of Psychology, 8*, 72-81.
- Ong, A. D., Exner-Cortens, D., Riffin, C., Steptoe, A., Zautra, A., & Almeida, D. M. (2013). Linking stable and dynamic features of positive affect to sleep. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 46*(1), 52-61.
- Ong, A. D., Fuller-Rowell, T. E., & Burrow, A. L. (2009). Racial discrimination and the stress process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 96*(6), 1259-1271.
- doi:10.1037/a0015335

- Ong, A. D., & Williams, D. R. (2019). Lifetime discrimination, global sleep quality, and inflammation burden in a multiethnic sample of middle-aged adults. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 26*, 82-90.
- Ong, A. D., Zautra, A., & Finan, P. H. (2017). Inter- and intra-individual variation in emotional complexity: Methodological considerations and theoretical implications. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 15*, 22-26.
- Paradies, Y., Ben, J., Denson, N., Elias, A., Priest, N., Pieterse, A., . . . Gee, G. (2015). Racism as a determinant of health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*. doi:10:e0138511
- Pascoe, E. A., & Smart Richman, L. (2009). Perceived discrimination and health: A meta-analytic review. *psychological Bulletin, 135*(4), 531-554. doi:10.1037/a0016059
- Pearlin, L. I. (1995). Some conceptual perspectives on the origins and prevention of social stress. In B. Bruner, M. Stoughton, P. Gibbons, & S. Milmoie (Eds.), *Social stressors, personal and social resources and their health consequences*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Mental Health.
- Pearlin, L. I., Aneshensel, C. S., & LeBlanc, A. J. (1997). The forms and mechanisms of stress proliferation: The case of AIDS caregivers. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 38*(3), 223-236.
- Pearlin, L. I., Menaghan, E. G., Lieberman, M. A., & Mullan, J. T. (1981). The stress process. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 22*(4), 337-356.
- Pearlin, L. I., & Skaff, M. M. (1996). Stress and the life course: A paradigmatic alliance. *Gerontologist, 36*(2), 239-247.

- Phelan, J. C., & Link, B. G. (2015). Is racism a fundamental cause of inequalities in health? *Annual Review of Sociology*, 41, 311-330.
- Phelan, J. C., Link, B. G., Diez Roux, A., Kawachi, I., & Levin, B. (2004). "Fundamental causes" of social inequalities in mortality: A test of the theory. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 45, 265-285.
- Pierce, C. (1974). Psychiatric problems of the black minority. In S. Arieti (Ed.), *American Handbook of Psychiatry* (pp. 512-523). NY: Basic Books.
- Pierce, C., Carew, J., Pierce-Gonzalez, D., & Willis, D. (1978). An experiment in racism: TV commercials. In C. Pierce (Ed.), *Television and education* (pp. 62-88). Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Price, R. H. (1992). Psychosocial impact of job loss on individuals and families. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 1, 9-11.
- Reich, J. W., Zautra, A. J., & Davis, M. C. (2003). Dimensions of affect relationships: Models and their integrative implications. *Review of General Psychology*, 7(1), 66-83.
- Richman, L. S., & Jonassaint, C. (2008). The effects of race-related stress on cortisol reactivity in the laboratory: Implications of the Duke lacrosse scandal. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 35, 105-110.
- Roberts, S. O., & Rizzo, M. T. (2020). The psychology of American racism. *American Psychologist*. doi:10.1037/amp0000642
- Sanchez, D., Adams, W. N., Arango, S. C., & Flannigan, A. E. (2018). Racial-ethnic microaggressions, coping strategies, and mental health in Asian American and Latinx American college students: A mediational model. *Journal of Counselling Psychology*, 65, 214-215.

- Seaton, E. K., & Douglass, S. (2014). School diversity and racial discrimination among African-American adolescents. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 20*, 156-165.
- Seaton, E. K., & Zeiders, K. H. (2021). Daily racial discrimination experiences, ethnic-racial identity, and diurnal cortisol patterns among Black adults. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 27*, 145-155.
- Segerstrom, S. C., & Miller, G. E. (2004). Psychological stress and the human immune system: A meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *psychological Bulletin, 130*(4), 601-630.
- Sellers, S. L., & Neighbors, H. W. (2008). Effects of goal-striving stress on the mental health of Black Americans. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 49*, 92-103.
- Serido, J., Almeida, D. M., & Wethington, E. (2004). Chronic stressors and daily hassles: Unique and interactive relationships with psychological distress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 45*, 17-33.
- Sin, N. L., Graham-Engeland, J. E., Ong, A. D., & Almeida, D. M. (2015). Positive and negative affective responses to daily stressors are associated with inflammation. *Health Psychology, 34*, 1154-1165.
- Smith, W. A. (2004). Black faculty coping with racial battle fatigue: The campus racial climate in a post-civil rights era. In D. Cleveland (Ed.), *A long way to go: Conversations about race by African American faculty and graduate students* (pp. 171-190). New York: Peter Lang.
- Smith, W. A., Hung, M., & Franklin, J. (2011). Racial battle fatigue and the miseducation of black men: Racial microaggressions, societal problems, and environmental stress. *The Journal of Negro Education, 80*, 63-82.

- Solórzano, D. G., Ceja, M., & Yosso, T. (2000). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate: The experience of African American college students. *Journal of Negro Education, 69*, 60-73.
- Stanton, S. C., Selcuk, E., Farrell, A. K., Slatcher, R. B., & Ong, A. D. (2019). Perceived partner responsiveness, daily negative affect reactivity, and all-cause mortality: A 20-year longitudinal study. *Psychosomatic Medicine, 81*, 7-15.
- Steele, C. M., Spencer, S. J., & Aronson, J. (2002). Contending with group image: The psychology of stereotype and social identity threat. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 23, pp. 379–440). New York: Academic Press.
- Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Sue, D. W. (2017). Microaggressions and "evidence": Empirical or experiential reality? *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12*, 170-172.
- Sue, D. W., Alsaidi, S., Awad, M. N., Glaeser, E., Calle, C. Z., & Mendez, N. (2019). Disarming racial microaggressions: Microintervention strategies for targets, White allies, and bystanders. *American Psychologist, 74*, 128-142.
- Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A. M. B., Nadal, K. L., & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Implications for clinical practice. *American Psychologist, 62*(4), 271-286.
- Sue, D. W., Lin, A. I., Torino, G. C., Capodilupo, C. M., & Rivera, D. P. (2009). Racial microaggressions and difficult dialogues on race in the classroom. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 15*(2), 183-190.

- Sue, D. W., & Spanierman, L. B. (2020). *Microaggressions in everyday life* (2nd ed.). Hoboken: Wiley.
- Suls, J., Green, P., & Hillis, S. (1998). Emotional reactivity to everyday problems, affective inertia, and neuroticism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(2), 127-136.
- Swim, J. K., Hyers, L. L., Cohen, L. L., Fitzgerald, D. C., & Bylsma, W. H. (2003). African American college students' experiences with everyday racism: Characteristics of and responses to these incidents. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 29, 38-67.
- Tennen, H., & Affleck, G. (1996). Daily processes in coping with chronic pain: Methods and analytic strategies. In N. S. Endler & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of coping: Theory, research, applications* (pp. 151-177). Oxford: John Wiley & Sons.
- Tennen, H., & Affleck, G. (2002). The challenge of capturing daily processes at the interface of social and clinical psychology. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 21(6), 610-627.
- Thoits, P. A. (2010). Stress and health: Major findings and policy implications. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 51, 41-53.
- Torres, L., Driscoll, M. W., & Burrow, A. L. (2010). Racial microaggressions and psychological functioning among highly achieving African-Americans: A mixed-methods approach. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 29, 1074-1099.
- Torres, L., & Ong, A. D. (2010). A daily diary investigation of latino ethnic identity, discrimination, and depression. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 16(4), 561-568. doi:10.1037/a0020652
- Turner, R., Wheaton, B., & Lloyd, D. A. (1995). The epidemiology of social stress. *American Sociological Review*, 60(1), 104-125.

- Umberson, D., Olson, J. S., Crosnoe, R., Liu, H., Pudrovska, T., & Donnelly, R. (2017). Death of family members as overlooked source of racial disadvantage in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114, 915-920.
- Wethington, E. (2000). Contagion of stress. *Advances in Group Processes*, 17, 229–253.
- Wheaton, B. (1994). Sampling the stress universe. In W. R. Avison & I. H. Gotlib (Eds.), *Stress and mental health: Contemporary issues and prospects for the future* (pp. 77–114). New York: Plenum Press.
- Wheaton, B. (2010). The stress process as a successful paradigm. In W. R. Avison, C. S. Aneshensel, S. Schieman, & B. Wheaton (Eds.), *Advances in the conceptualization of the stress process: Essays in honor of Leonard I. Pearlin* (pp. 231–252). New York: Springer.
- Williams, D. R. (1997). Race and health: basic questions, emerging directions. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 7, 323-333.
- Williams, D. R., Gonzalez, H. M., Williams, S., Mohammed, S. A., Moomal, H., & Stein, D. J. (2008). Perceived discrimination, race and health in South Africa. *Social Science & Medicine*, 67(3), 441-452.
- Williams, D. R., Lawrence, J. A., & Davis, B. A. (2019). Racism and health: Evidence and needed research. 40, 105-125.
- Williams, D. R., Lawrence, J. A., Davis, B. A., & Vu, C. (2019). Understanding how discrimination can affect health. *Health Services Research*, 54, 1374-1388.
- Williams, D. R., & Mohammed, S. A. (2009). Discrimination and racial disparities in health: Evidence and needed research. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 32, 20–47.

- Williams, D. R., & Mohammed, S. A. (2013). Racism and health I: pathways and scientific evidence. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 0002764213487340.
- Williams, D. R., Neighbors, H. W., & Jackson, J. S. (2003). Racial/ethnic discrimination and health: Findings from community studies. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(2), 200-208.
- Williams, D. R., Yu, Y., Jackson, J. J., & Anderson, N. B. (1997). Racial differences in physical and mental health: Socioeconomic status, stress, and discrimination. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 2, 335–351.
- Williams, M. T. (2020a). Microaggressions: Clarification, evidence, and impact. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15, 3-26.
- Williams, M. T. (2020b). Psychology cannot afford to ignore the many harms caused by microaggressions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15, 38-43.
- Wong, G., Derthick, A. O., David, E. J. R., Saw, A., & Okazaki, S. (2014). The what, the why, and the how: A review of racial microaggressions research in psychology. *Race and Social Problems*, 6(2), 181-200.
- Wu, K., & Dunning, D. (2020). Hypocognition and the invisibility of social privilege. *Advances in Group Processes*, 37, 1-23.
- Zautra, A. J., Smith, B., Affleck, G., & Tennen, H. (2001). Examinations of chronic pain and affect relationships: Applications of a dynamic model of affect. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69(5), 786-795.
- Zeiders, K. H., Landor, A. M., Flores, M., & Brown, A. (2018). Microaggressions and diurnal cortisol: Examining within-person associations among African-American and Latino young adults. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 63, 482-488.

Zhou, M., & Bankston, C. L. (1998). *Growing up American: How Vietnamese children adapt to life in the United States*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Figure 1

A Conceptual Framework for Understanding Everyday Microaggressions