Prospective associations between neuroticism and the common mental disorders:

A comment upon the review by Brandes and Tackett (2019)

Bertus F. Jeronimus^{1,2*}[‡]

¹ Department of Developmental Psychology, Faculty of Social and Behavioural sciences, Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.

² Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion regulation (ICPE), Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

* b.f.jeronimus@rug.nl

[‡] BFJ is supported by a NWO Veni grant #016.195.405.

Although I agree with most of the great review by [Brandes and Tackett \(2019\)](#) about the connection between neuroticism and psychopathology as conceptualized in the Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP), there are several limitations and future research directions on which I wish to elaborate. First, and most fundamental, I welcome the aims of the HiTOP model to overcome some limitations of categorical diagnostic systems (Kotov et al., 2017). However, the HiTOP frequency-approach remains largely similar to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5, APA, 2013) insofar that it negates the time dimension and most intra-individual dynamics and developmental interconnections between the various system levels (e.g., Fisher et al., 2019; Jeronimus, 2019; Molenaar, 2004), from emotions (over hours, e.g., Kuppens et al., 2010) to mood problems (over weeks, e.g., Wichers, 2014) and interpersonal and personality processes (over months and years, e.g., Hopwood, 2018; Mobbs, 2020), their co-development (e.g., Durbin & Hicks, 2014; Ormel et al., 2014), underlying processes (e.g., Kunnen et al., 2019) and origins (e.g., Kendler, 2012; or Nickels et al. (2015) for some problems with the HiTOP), among others. For example, in line with the HiTOP model, Brandes and Tackett cover the time dimension only in Table 1 and on page 242. Neuroticism may be linked to the emergence of symptoms and psychopathology (Brandes, *p.* 243) but proof requires studies and manipulations that cover *intra*-individual changes across various time scales (e.g., Hamaker & Wichers, 2017, Jeronimus, 2019). Hence, the inclusion of developmental and dynamic process-perspectives and methodology would make the HiTOP approach even more relevant and exciting. For example, the 25% of the Dutch population with the highest neuroticism scores seem to generate over 80% of all mental health costs (Cuijpers et al., 2010), but a strictly dimensional or spectrum perspective cannot explain why many people with high neuroticism scores do *not* develop disorders and

are healthy and happy (as illustrated below).¹ Health is a multidimensional state and in certain circumstances high levels of neuroticism can benefit health (Friedman, 2000), such as when combined high conscientiousness (e.g., healthy neurotic; Turiano et al., 2013; Weston & Jackson, 2015) or in interaction with various other personal strengths (e.g., Bos et al., 2016; Tamir et al., 2006). Furthermore, high scores on the neuroticism facets worry and vulnerability predict longer lives (Hill et al., 2019). Future work shall show us in more detail what we can learn from healthy and happy neurotics.

Another limitation is the stipulation that neuroticism is the most difficult personality dimension to measure in infants, toddlers, and children (Brandes, *p.* 239). Others have reported that the neuroticism items in self-reported Big Five personality questionnaires may reflect the personality dimension that was most easy to comprehend by children (e.g., Soto et al., 2008). Moreover, individual differences in negative affect and patterns of emotion dynamics can be reliably *observed* in fetuses (Piontelli, 2004) and infants (Buss et al., 2011) and remains the backbone of personality differences along the lifespan (e.g., Houben et al., 2015, Jeronimus, 2015; Reitsema et al., 2019). Although we agree that anxiety, sadness, and worry are subjective and potentially hidden within the mind, our avoidance behaviors and inhibitory control, irritability/anger and frustration tolerance surface easily and can be reliably measured (e.g., Caspi et al., 2016; Jeronimus et al., 2017a), especially in trait relevant situations (e.g., Hirschmüller et al., 2014). Next to the question whether the infant or childhood complex of emotions and temperament is “personality” (as the cognitive maturity that is required for most fine-grained and differentiated personality self-descriptions and our narrative identity typically emerges over early adolescence, see De Pauw, 2016; McAdams, 2015; Soto et al., 2008)², it also remains doubtful whether the other broadband factors openness, agreeableness, or conscientiousness/effortful control are more easily and reliably observable in human infants and children (e.g., Goldberg, 2001; Mervielde et al., 1995), primates (King et al., 2008), or adults (Connolly et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2019) and their environments (e.g., Gosling, 2018).

¹ Which also requires an explanation if neuroticism is equated to the general factor *P* (see Brandes, page 241).

² Several aspects of our personality go beyond our inborn temperamental core - via sociocultural influences that are specific to the context in which you acquire your personality - including social cognition and self-related perceptions such as self-esteem and paranoia and social emotions like pride/guilt/shame, and cognitive adaptations to our social world such as the contents of thoughts, attitudes, values, beliefs, morals, needs and goals, as well as the concepts, schema's, and life narratives and identities which subsume tasks, goals, projects, tactics, defenses, values, and other developmental, motivational, and/or strategic concerns that contextualize individual lives in time, place, and role performances. Most of these personality components cannot be distinguished in young children as humans typically develop them over adolescence.

A third limitation is that *frustration* was not mentioned once, despite the strong prospective link between temperamental frustration in children and adolescents and the development of both internalizing (self-directed) and externalizing (other-directed) problems (e.g., Caspi et al., 2016; Jeronimus et al., 2017b). Space constraints may have limited the number of lower-order facets of neuroticism that could be reviewed (see Table 2 on page 239), but frustration is a key feature and temperamental precursor of neuroticism in youth (e.g., Jeronimus et al., 2017a; Putnam et al., 2001; Rothbart, 2012) and adults (Egan & Lewis, 2011), and if frustration was not part of any of the neuroticism questionnaires that were reviewed, this may indicate a notable limitation in the field of neuroticism assessment. For example, frustration (i.e., unexpected non-reward) *may* lead to irritability (sensitivity/excitability) but could also propel *positive* processes other than anger (displeasure/hostility), anxiety, or sadness (see Jeronimus et al., 2017a), which are all prominent states within the neuroticism domain. I wholeheartedly agree with Brandes and Tackett that we must untangle *which* aspects of the multifaceted neuroticism construct predict *what* outcomes in more detail (cf. Hill et al., 2009), via the study of “personality nuances” (e.g., Möttus et al., 2018) and the inclusion of individual dynamics (e.g., Jayawickreme et al., 2019; Jeronimus & Reitsema, 2018), and we have only started to explore such questions. For example, the concurrent and prospective associations between neuroticism and somatic distress (as mentioned by Brandes on page 241; see Costa & McCrae, 1987; Cuijpers et al., 2010; Rosmalen et al., 2007) might primarily reflect vigilance (Tamir et al., 2006) and overlap in semantics and negative affect (e.g., De Gucht et al., 2004a, 2004b; van Diest et al., 2005).

Finally, the review by Brandes and Tackett missed a recent meta-analysis of the prospective associations between neuroticism and psychopathology with 59 longitudinal/prospective studies and 444,313 participants (Jeronimus et al., 2016). This meta-analysis showed prospective associations between neuroticism and symptoms/diagnosis of anxiety, depression, and non-specific mental distress ($d = 0.50-0.70$) and considerably weaker prospective associations with substance abuse and thought disorders/symptoms ($d = 0.03-0.20$). After adjustment for baseline symptoms and psychiatric history the prospective associations between neuroticism and internalizing phenomena were reduced by half ($d = 0.10-0.40$), whereas the association with substance abuse and thought problems were not attenuated. Prospective associations were four times larger over short (<4 year) than long (≥ 4 years) follow-up intervals, suggesting a substantial decay of the association with increasing

time intervals. Adjusted effects were only slightly larger over short versus long time intervals, however, which suggests that high neuroticism indexes a risk constellation that exists years prior to the development and onset of all measured mental disorders. Admittedly, such prospective associations do not rule out the spectrum and scar model - see Ormel et al. (2013) or Tackett et al. (2006) for elaborations, for which novel studies are required (e.g., Goldstein et al., 2019). Nonetheless, these prospective associations between neuroticism and psychopathology clearly support the integration of emotional, mood, and personality processes across multiple time scales, which may be required to give the HiTOP model mechanistic substance at the individual level and expand our understanding of the dynamic link between neuroticism, psychopathology, and (un)happiness.

Happy Neurotics are Depicted in Gold

This figure shows 5000 participants of the HowNutsAreTheDutch study (age range 18-87, mean is 46 (SD= 15), 69% women, see van der Krieke et al. (2015) for details) who completed the neuroticism scale of the NEO-PI-3 (48 items with Likert scale 1-5). Horizontally you see the neuroticism scale subdivided over four quartiles. The golden section indicates the 10% of the people with the highest neuroticism scores (top 25% or Q4) who report to be happy, which I defined as the top 25% (Q4) of happiness scores (scale 0-10, which ranges from the lowest (=0) to highest (=10) wellbeing one can imagine, see Abdel-Khalek, 2006).

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Abdel-Khalek, A. (2006). Measuring happiness with a single-item scale. *Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal*, 34(2), 139-149. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2006.34.2.139>
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed., or DSM-5). Washington, DC: APA
- Bos, E. H., Snippe, E., de Jonge, P., & Jeronimus, B. F. (2016). Preserving subjective wellbeing in the face of psychopathology: buffering effects of personal strengths and resources. *PloS one*, 11(3), e0150867. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150867>
- Brandes, C. M., & Tackett, J. L. (2019). Contextualizing neuroticism in the Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 81, 238-245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2019.06.007>
- Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Harrington, H., Hogan, S., Ramrakha, S., ... Moffitt, T. E. (2016). Childhood forecasting of a small segment of the population with large economic burden. *Nature human behaviour*, 1, 0005. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-016-0005>
- Connolly, J. J., Kavanagh, E. J., & Viswesvaran, C. (2007). The convergent validity between self and observer ratings of personality: A meta-analytic review. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 15(1), 110-117. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2389.2007.00371.x>
- Costa Jr, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1987). Neuroticism, somatic complaints, and disease: is the bark worse than the bite?. *Journal of personality*, 55(2), 299-316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1987.tb00438.x>
- Cuijpers, P., Smit, F., Penninx, B. W., de Graaf, R., ten Have, M., & Beekman, A. T. (2010). Economic costs of neuroticism: a population-based study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 67(10), 1086-1093. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.130>
- De Gucht, V., Fischler, B., & Heiser, W. (2004a). Neuroticism, alexithymia, negative affect, and positive affect as determinants of medically unexplained symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(7), 1655-1667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2003.06.012>
- De Gucht, V., Fischler, B., & Heiser, W. (2004b). Personality and affect as determinants of medically unexplained symptoms in primary care: A follow-up study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 56(3), 279-285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(03\)00127-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(03)00127-2)
- De Pauw, S. S. (2017). Childhood personality and temperament. *The Oxford handbook of the five factor model*, Chapter 12, pages 243-280. Editors: T. Widiger. Oxford Press: New York. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199352487.013.21>
- Durbin, C. E., & Hicks, B. M. (2014). Personality and psychopathology: A stagnant field in need of development. *European Journal of Personality*, 28(4), 362-386. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1962>

- Egan, V., & Lewis, M. (2011). Neuroticism and agreeableness differentiate emotional and narcissistic expressions of aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(6), 845-850. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2011.01.007>
- Fisher, A. J., Medaglia, J. D., & Jeronimus, B. F. (2018). Lack of group-to-individual generalizability is a threat to human subjects research. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(27), E6106-E6115. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711978115>
- Friedman, H. S. (2000). Long-term relations of personality and health: Dynamisms, mechanisms, tropisms. *Journal of personality*, 68(6), 1089-1107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.00127>
- Goldberg, L. R. (2001). Analyses of Digman's child-personality data: Derivation of Big-Five factor scores from each of six samples. *Journal of personality*, 69(5), 709-744. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.695161>
- Goldstein, B. L., Perlman, G., Eaton, N. R., Kotov, R., & Klein, D. N. (2019). Testing explanatory models of the interplay between depression, neuroticism, and stressful life events: a dynamic trait-stress generation approach. *Psychological Medicine*, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719002927>
- Gosling, S. (2018). *Snoop: What your stuff says about you*. Profile Books.
- Hamaker, E.L., Wichers, M. (2017). No time like the present: Discovering the hidden dynamics in intensive longitudinal data. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 26, 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721416666518>
- Hill, W. D., Weiss, A., Liewald, D. C., Davies, G., Porteous, D. J., Hayward, C., ... & Deary, I.J. (2019). Genetic contributions to two special factors of neuroticism are associated with affluence, higher intelligence, better health, and longer life. *Molecular psychiatry*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0387-3>
- Hirschmüller, S., Egloff, B., Schmukle, S.C., Nestler, S., & Back, M.D. (2015). Accurate judgments of neuroticism at zero acquaintance: A question of relevance. *Journal of personality*, 83(2), 221-228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12097>
- Hopwood, C.J. (2018). Interpersonal dynamics in personality and personality disorders. *European Journal of Personality*, 32(5), 499-524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2155>
- Houben, M., Van Den Noortgate, W., & Kuppens, P. (2015). The relation between short-term emotion dynamics and psychological well-being: A meta-analysis. *Psychological bulletin*, 141(4), 901. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038822>
- Jayawickreme, E., Zachry, C.E., & Fleeson, W. (2019). Whole trait theory: An integrative approach to examining personality structure and process. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 136, 2-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.06.045>
- Jeronimus, B. F. (2015). *Environmental influences on neuroticism: A story about emotional (in) stability*. PhD thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Jeronimus, B.F. (2019). Dynamic system perspectives on Anxiety and Depression. In book: *Psychosocial Development in Adolescence: Insights from the Dynamic Systems Approach* (Editors: Kunnen, E.S., de Ruiter, N.M.P., Jeronimus, B.F., van der Gaag, M.A.), chapter 7. London: Routledge Psychology.
- Jeronimus, B. F., Kotov, R., Riese, H., & Ormel, J. (2016). Neuroticism's prospective association with mental disorders halves after adjustment for baseline symptoms and psychiatric history, but the adjusted association hardly decays with time: A meta-analysis on 59 longitudinal/prospective studies with 443 313 participants. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14), 2883-2906. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716001653>
- Jeronimus, B. F., Laceulle, O. M. (2017a). Frustration. In Zeigler-Hill, V., Shackelford, T.K.

- (eds.). *Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences*. New York: Springer. p. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28099-8_815-1. ISBN 978-3-319-28099-8.
- Jeronimus, B.F., Riese, H., Oldehinkel, A.J., & Ormel, J. (2017b). Why does frustration predict psychopathology? Multiple prospective pathways over adolescence: A TRAILS study. *European Journal of Personality*, 31(1), 85-103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2086>
- Jeronimus, B.F., & Reitsema, A.M. (2018). Yes, let's enrich the Big Five personality taxonomy! But how far do we go?. *European Journal of Personality*, 32(5), 552-553. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2174>
- Kendler, K. S. (2012). The dappled nature of causes of psychiatric illness: Replacing the organic–functional/hardware–software dichotomy with empirically based pluralism. *Molecular psychiatry*, 17(4), 377. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2011.182>
- Kim, H., Di Domenico, S. I., & Connelly, B. S. (2019). Self–Other Agreement in Personality Reports: A Meta-Analytic Comparison of Self-and Informant-Report Means. *Psychological science*, 30(1), 129-138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797618810000>
- King, J. E., Weiss, A., & Sisco, M. M. (2008). Aping humans: age and sex effects in chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) and human (*Homo sapiens*) personality. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 122(4), 418. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013125>
- Kotov, R., Krueger, R. F., Watson, D., Achenbach, T. M., Althoff, R. R., Bagby, R. M., ... & Eaton, N. R. (2017). The Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP): a dimensional alternative to traditional nosologies. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 126(4), 454. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000258>
- Kunnen, E.S., De Ruiter, N.M.P., Jeronimus, B.F., van der Gaag, M.A. (2019). *Psychosocial Development in Adolescence: Insights from the Dynamic Systems Approach*. New York: Routledge Psychology.
- Kuppens, P., Oravecz, Z., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2010). Feelings change: Accounting for individual differences in the temporal dynamics of affect. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 99(6), 1042. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0020962>
- McAdams, D. P. (2015). *The art and science of personality development*. Guilford Publications.
- Mervielde, I., Buyst, V., & De Fruyt, F. (1995). The validity of the Big-Five as a model for teachers' ratings of individual differences among children aged 4–12 years. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 18(4), 525-534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(94\)00175-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)00175-R)
- Mobbs, A. E. (2020). An atlas of personality, emotion and behaviour. *PloS one*, 15(1), e0227877. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227877>
- Molenaar, P. C. (2004). A manifesto on psychology as idiographic science: Bringing the person back into scientific psychology, this time forever. *Measurement*, 2(4), 201-218. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1
- Mõttus, R., Sinick, J., Terracciano, A., Hřebíčková, M., Kandler, C., Ando, J., ... & Jang, K. L. (2018). Personality characteristics below facets: A replication and meta-analysis of cross-rater agreement, rank-order stability, heritability, and utility of personality nuances. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000202>
- Nickels, M. K., & Nelson, C. E. (2005). Beware of nuts & bolts: Putting evolution into the teaching of biological classification. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(5), 283-289. [https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685\(2005\)067\[0283:BONBPE\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2005)067[0283:BONBPE]2.0.CO;2)
- Ormel, J., Laceulle, O. M., & Jeronimus, B. F. (2014). Why personality and psychopathology

- are correlated: a developmental perspective is a first step but more is needed. *European Journal of Personality*, 28(4), 396-398. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per>
- Ormel, J., Jeronimus, B. F., Kotov, R., Riese, H., Bos, E. H., Hankin, B., ... & Oldehinkel, A. J. (2013). Neuroticism and common mental disorders: meaning and utility of a complex relationship. *Clinical psychology review*, 33(5), 686-697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2013.04.003>
- Piontelli, A. (2004) *Twins: From fetus to child*. London: Routledge.
- Putnam, S.P., Ellis, S.K., Rothbart, M.K. (2001). The structure of temperament from infancy through adolescence. in *Advances in Research on Temperament*. Edited by Elias A, Engleneiter A. Berlin, Pabst Scientist Publisher, pp 165-182.
- Reitsema, A.M., Jeronimus, B.F., van Dijk, M., de Jonge, P. (2019). Emotion dynamics in children and adolescents: A systematic and multi-level meta-analytic and descriptive review. *Preprint*.
- Rosmalen, J. G., Neeleman, J., Gans, R. O., & de Jonge, P. (2007). The association between neuroticism and self-reported common somatic symptoms in a population cohort. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, 62(3), 305-311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2006.10.014>
- Soto, C. J., John, O. P., Gosling, S. D., & Potter, J. (2008). The developmental psychometrics of big five self-reports: Acquiescence, factor structure, coherence, and differentiation from ages 10 to 20. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 94(4), 718. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.4.718>
- Tackett, J. L. (2006). Evaluating models of the personality–psychopathology relationship in children and adolescents. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(5), 584-599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2006.04.003>
- Tamir, M., Robinson, M. D., & Solberg, E. C. (2006). You may worry, but can you recognize threats when you see them?; Neuroticism, threat identifications, and negative affect. *Journal of Personality*, 74(5), 1481-1506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2006.00417.x>
- Turiano, N. A., Mroczek, D. K., Moynihan, J., & Chapman, B. P. (2013). Big 5 personality traits and interleukin-6: Evidence for “healthy Neuroticism” in a US population sample. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 28, 83-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2012.10.020>
- van der Krieke, L., Jeronimus, B. F., Blaauw, F.J., Wanders, R.B., Emerencia, A.C., Schenk, H. M., ... & Bos, E. H. (2016). HowNutsAreTheDutch (HoeGekIsNL): A crowdsourcing study of mental symptoms and strengths. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research*, 25(2), 123-144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1495>
- Van Diest, I., De Peuter, S., Eertmans, A., Bogaerts, K., Victoir, A., & Van den Bergh, O. (2005). Negative affectivity and enhanced symptom reports: Differentiating between symptoms in men and women. *Social Science & Medicine*, 61(8), 1835-1845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.03.031>
- Weston, S. J., & Jackson, J. J. (2015). Identification of the healthy neurotic: Personality traits predict smoking after disease onset. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 54, 61-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.04.008>
- Wichers, M. (2014). The dynamic nature of depression: a new micro-level perspective of mental disorder that meets current challenges. *Psychological medicine*, 44(7), 1349-1360. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713001979>