

Title: Hippocampus long-axis specialization throughout development: a meta-analysis

Short title: Hippocampus specialization development

Authors: Emily S. Nichols<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Blumenthal<sup>3</sup>, Elizabeth Kuenzel<sup>1</sup>, Jasmyn K. Skinner<sup>1</sup>, Emma G. Duerden<sup>1,2,4</sup>

Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Education, Western University, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Western Institute for Neuroscience, Western University, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Cervo Brain Research Centre, Université Laval, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Medical Biophysics, Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, Western University, Canada

Corresponding author:

Emily S. Nichols, PhD

Applied Psychology

Faculty of Education

Western University

Room 1131, 1137 Western Rd

London, Ontario N6G 1G7

**Acknowledgments**

The funding for this research was provided by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Molly Towell Perinatal Health Foundation and the Canada First Research Excellence Fund by BrainsCAN.

**Abstract**

The human adult hippocampus can be subdivided into the head, or anterior hippocampus and its body and tail, or posterior hippocampus, and a wealth of functional differences along the longitudinal axis have been reported. One line of literature emphasizes specialization for different aspects of cognition, whereas another emphasizes the unique role of the anterior hippocampus in emotional processing. While some research suggests that functional differences in memory between the anterior and posterior hippocampus appear early in development, it remains unclear whether this is also the case for functional differences in emotion processing. The goal of this meta-analysis was to determine whether the long-axis functional specialization observed in adults is present earlier in development. Using a quantitative meta-analysis, long-axis functional specialization was assessed using the data from 26 fMRI studies, which included 39 contrasts and 804 participants ranging in age from 4-21 years. Results indicated that overall emotion was localized to the anterior hippocampus, with memory being localized to the posterior hippocampus, demonstrating long-axis specialization with regard to memory and emotion in children similar to that seen in adults.

**Keywords:** hippocampus, development, meta-analysis, fMRI, long-axis specialization

## Introduction

The human adult hippocampus is differentially organized along its longitudinal axis. It can be subdivided into the head, or anterior hippocampus (aHPC) and its body and tail, or posterior hippocampus (pHPC). These regions have different connectivity patterns with cortical and subcortical brain regions and contain different compositions of the hippocampal subfields, with a higher proportion of CA1-4 in the anterior hippocampus and a higher proportion of dentate gyrus (DG) in the posterior hippocampus. In addition, in rodents aHPC cells have larger receptive fields than those in the pHPC, which is thought to lead to differences in the gradient of representations, (i.e., more fine-grained in the posterior [see Poppenk et al., 2013, for a review]). A recent fMRI study in humans reported converging evidence, with more coarse spatial and temporal representations in aHPC relative to pHPC (Brunec et al., 2018). In addition to major structural distinctions, a wealth of functional differences along the longitudinal axis have been reported. One line of literature emphasizes specialization for different aspects of cognition, whereas another emphasizes the unique role of the aHPC in emotional processing. Findings from a recent meta-analysis of adult functional neuroimaging studies supports both of these distinctions (Grady, 2020). However, although this functional organization is well-established in adults and is becoming better understood, the timing of its emergence during infancy and childhood remains unknown.

Most existing research on structure and function of the hippocampus early in life has been sparked by the paradox of infantile amnesia. Infantile amnesia refers to the finding that adults have no episodic memories, i.e., memories of life events, before the age of 4 (Howe & Courage, 1993). This is a paradox, as infants show many remarkable feats of memory despite

having no memories of the actual events. For example, upon birth, infants already prefer the sound of their mother's language over others (Byers-Heinlein et al., 2010), within days they can recognize their mother's face, and by 3 months they can learn a motor task within 3 minutes (Greco et al., 1986). This phenomenon is thought to result from episodic memory relying on the hippocampus, and maturation of this structure is protracted relative to neural systems that support these other memory feats (Lavenex & Banta Lavenex, 2013).

Interestingly, the rate of hippocampal maturation is not uniform across the longitudinal axis, with pHPC maturing later than aHPC (Lavenex & Banta Lavenex, 2013). In rodents, the ventral hippocampus (corresponding to the aHPC in humans) is mature by the second year of life (Jabès & Nelson, 2015; Lavenex & Banta Lavenex, 2013). In contrast, the dorsal hippocampus (corresponding to the pHPC) begins to develop in the second year of life, and continues to develop until age five (Ghetti & Bunge, 2012; Gómez & Edgin, 2016). The slow maturation of the pHPC is thought to underlie infantile amnesia; that is, detailed episodic memory emerges alongside the protracted development of the pHPC (Hayne & Imuta, 2011; Scarf et al., 2013). In contrast, other types of learning, such as statistical learning and generalized memory are purported to rely on the earlier-maturing aHPC, which also emerges earlier in development (Schlichting et al., 2017).

Thus, it appears that functional differences in cognition between the anterior and posterior HPC appear early in development, in-line with HPC maturation, but it remains unclear whether this is also the case for functional differences in emotion processing. The central role of the aHPC in stress and affect has been emphasized in the non-human animal literature (see Fanselow & Dong, 2010, for a review) and the HPC is implicated in affective disorders (Malchow

et al., 2015; Sheline et al., 2002). In adults, it is engaged during emotion regulation, motivation tasks, and processing of emotional faces (Barch et al., 2019; Kirby & Robinson, 2017; Pessoa, 2017). Ranganath and Ritchey (2012) propose the aHPC is part of an anterior-medial system dedicated to processing people and things, with positive or negative affect being of core importance. A recent meta-analysis supports this model, showing that in human adults, social, emotional, and face processing largely occur in the aHPC (Grady, 2020).

The functional organization of the aHPC and pHPC has not been explored in the developing brain. Thus, the goal of this meta-analysis is to amalgamate existing developmental functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) findings to determine whether the long-axis functional specialization observed in adults is present earlier in development. Specifically, our objective is to localize cognitive functions within the hippocampus in typically-developing children, and ask whether emotion functions are localized to the aHPC, mirroring that seen in adults. In addition, we explore whether functions are lateralized, and whether that differs across emotional and non-emotional function. Ideally, we would also characterize the rich range of cognitive functions that have shown differential organization, such as that explored by Grady (2020). However, given the relatively smaller numbers of developmental neuroimaging studies with healthy children this was not possible at this time. Thus, we focused on emotion-related activation and contrasted that with studies involving non-emotional aspects of memory, using cognitive topics as defined by Poldrack and colleagues (2012). The outcome variable for this objective will be the average coordinates of each cognitive function listed above. The age range is birth to 21 years of age.

## Methods

### Article selection and literature search

This meta-analysis was preregistered on Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/fwty5>) prior to beginning. Literature searches were conducted using Medline, Pubmed, Neurosynth, and Web of Science without restriction on dates. We also searched Google Scholar, and scanned reference lists of relevant publications. The search strategy is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. The list of cognitive processes included in our search strategy was developed by amalgamating broader keywords used to describe memory and emotion. A second search was completed in Neurosynth, which provides sets of “topics” created by applying Latent Dirichlet allocation to the abstracts and text in the database (see Poldrack et al., 2012, for full methods). We completed this search using the full list of keywords included in topics 020, 048, 102, 229, 254, 256, 272, and 348. This list includes 320 keywords (with overlap), and the full set is included in supplementary materials Appendix 1. We classified studies into an “Emotion” category and a “Memory category. The emotion category included the last four keywords listed under Cognitive process in Table 1, namely, faces, emotion, affect, motivation, as well as Poldrack topics 020, 048, 254, and 348. The memory category included all other words listed under Cognitive process in Table 1, and Poldrack topics 102, 229, 256, and 272. Face studies were screened to ensure they involved emotional faces or an emotion-related task.

A study was included for meta-analysis only if it was performed in a healthy, typically developing human sample with participants ranging from 0-21 years of age, inclusive. Intervention studies were considered if there was a healthy control group whose data were analyzed separately to that of the intervention group. No data from intervention or patient

groups were included in the present meta-analysis. For a study to be included, it had to include the spatial coordinates of activation within the hippocampus for the cognitive tasks listed in Table 1.

All task-based fMRI studies that met the above criteria were considered, regardless of task variation or stimuli type. Coordinates were analyzed in MNI space; if Talairach space is used, coordinates were transformed. No lower limit was placed on the timeframe of studies considered. Studies were considered from any article published in an English-language, peer-reviewed academic journal up to the present date. Unpublished reports were included in the form of theses or conference proceedings. If the necessary data were not directly available in the article, thesis or conference proceeding, corresponding authors were contacted by email. Resting-state fMRI, magnetoencephalography, and positron emission tomography studies were excluded.

Records were managed using an Excel spreadsheet, specifically using Templates 7 and 8 provided by Moreau and Gamble (2020) for the literature search and the data extracted. Two independent reviewers (EK, JS) were engaged in the search of the study records. Each researcher conducted an independent literature search and decided on eligibility, and results were compiled into a single excel spreadsheet. The decision to include a study was made if both independent reviewers agreed on its eligibility based on the criteria listed above. Data from included studies was manually extracted from tables available in published manuscripts, and compiled in a table managed in Google sheets. Data for the following variables were extracted: mean and SD of age range of sample, sex ratio, cognitive process being studied, modality, and



task. Data for the outcome variable of spatial location in coordinates of activation within the hippocampus were extracted.

### **Data synthesis**

Data were analyzed using the ALE coordinate-based meta-analytic method (Eickhoff et al., 2009; Laird et al., 2005; Turkeltaub et al., 2002), available through BrainMap (<http://brainmap.org/ale/>; Research Imaging Center of the University of Texas in San Antonio). Contrast coordinates (i.e., foci) from different studies were used to generate 3D maps describing the likelihood of activation within a given voxel in a template MRI (Laird et al., 2005). Significant findings were based on whether the data are more likely to occur compared to a random spatial distribution.

Coordinates from source datasets were first transformed into common space. MNI coordinates were transformed into Talairach space using the best-fit MNI-to-Talairach transformation (Lancaster et al., 2007). Random-effects analyses were performed using GingerALE v3.0.2 (Eickhoff et al., 2009). Using this method, activation foci from each study were converted into three-dimensional Gaussian probability functions. This process involved smoothing the data using a Gaussian blurring kernel. The full-width at half maximum (FWHM) size of the Gaussian blurring kernel was based on the number of participants used in each contrast. A voxel-wise likelihood of activation was calculated and corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR)  $q = 0.01$ .

### **Regional and laterality indices**

The anterior and posterior regions of the hippocampus were drawn on the hippocampal segmentation from the Harvard-Oxford subcortical structural atlas (Desikan et al., 2006; Frazier et al., 2005; Goldstein et al., 2007; Makris et al., 2006) in MNI template space using the protocol most commonly used in hippocampal head/tail segmentation (Malykhin et al., 2007; Poppenk et al., 2013; Yushkevich et al., 2010), and is shown in Figure 2. The border between the anterior and posterior hippocampus was defined by the presence or absence of the uncus. Specifically, the last slice in which the uncus is visible was defined as the last slice of the anterior hippocampus. On the MNI template, at or anterior to  $y = -21$  was considered anterior hippocampus (Poppenk et al., 2013).

Hemispheric dominance of memory and affective processes was assessed by calculating laterality indices for each of the thresholded ALE maps. The thresholded ALE values within each hemisphere of the hippocampus regions-of-interest (ROIs) were summed. A laterality index (LI) was calculated  $[Right - Left] / [Right + Left]$  based on the summed values (Seghier, 2008). Hemispheric dominance was set at  $-0.2/0.2$  (left/right) based on the thresholding methods used in previous literature (Duerden et al., 2013). A value less than  $-0.2$  was deemed left hemisphere dominant, and a value greater than  $0.2$  was deemed right hemisphere dominant. Values in between were considered bilateral.

## Results

### Demographic information

The 13 memory studies included 455 participants (45.3% female), the median of the mean age of the participants was 12.7, and included ages ranged from 6-21. Two of the studies included only boys. Handedness was reported in 12 of the studies, and participants were 98.8% right-handed. The 13 emotion studies included 26 separate contrasts and 349 participants, and sex ratio was reported for the contrast of interest in 10 studies, with 57.9% of participants being female. Mean age was reported for the contrast of interest in 11 studies, with the median of the mean age of participants being 13.1. Included ages ranged from 4-21. Handedness was reported in 8 of the studies, and participants were 95.7% right-handed.

### Memory

Studies included in the memory meta-analysis are shown in Table 2. A total of 20 foci were extracted from the 13 contrasts reporting activation in the hippocampus in response to a memory task in 13 studies. The nature of the memory tasks was mostly encoding and retrieval presented visually, including autobiographical, declarative, and spatial memory. Three significant clusters of activation within the hippocampus in response to all memory tasks were found (Table 3, Fig 3). One cluster was in the left anterior hippocampus, whereas the remaining two clusters were found in the bilateral posterior hippocampus (Figure 4). Laterality indices indicated that within the hippocampus, the left hemisphere was more activated by all memory stimuli ( $LI = -.53$ , Figure 5).

### Emotion

Studies included in the emotion meta-analysis are shown in Table 4. A total of 39 foci were extracted from the 26 contrasts reporting activation in the hippocampus in response to an emotion task in 13 studies. The nature of the emotion experiments included emotional face processing, induction of an emotion such as shame, guilt, or reward, and emotion regulation. Three significant clusters of activation within the hippocampus in response to all emotion tasks were found (Table 3, Fig 4). Two clusters were in the bilateral anterior hippocampus, whereas the remaining cluster was found in the right posterior hippocampus (Figure 4). Laterality indices indicated that within the hippocampus, activation by all emotion stimuli was bilateral (LI = -0.17, Figure 5).

## Discussion

In the present meta-analysis, we sought first to localize emotional and non-emotional memory processing within the hippocampus in typically developing children, and second to determine whether there was a long-axis hippocampal divide similar to adults, with emotion being localized to the aHPC. Results indicated that overall emotion was localized to the aHPC, with memory being localized to the pHPC. Additionally, we examined the laterality of both processes, and found that while memory was left dominant, emotion was processed bilaterally.

The finding that the aHPC is uniquely involved in emotion has long been emphasized in the non-human animal literature (Fanselow & Dong, 2010; Vogel et al., 2020), and was recently replicated in a meta-analysis of adult human neuroimaging studies (Grady, 2020). However, while some studies suggest long-axis differentiation in aspects of cognition may occur early in development (for example, episodic memory versus statistical learning), differentiation for emotional processing has not been explored until now. Our results show that this broad

functional specialization is also present in development. In addition to long-axis functional organization, we also see functional differences in lateralization between emotion and memory. In what follows, we summarize evidence of long-axis specialization in adults in the domains of emotion and memory, and compare it to our findings in children. We then turn to what is known about lateralization of emotion processing, and lateralization of hippocampal function, highlighting the novelty of our results and need for further work in this area.

### **Hippocampal functional organization of emotion**

In rodents, lesions of the ventral (homologue of aHPC) but not dorsal (homologue of pHPC) lesions lead to emotional and stress responses (Henke, 1990). For example, ventral hippocampal modulations can decrease fear and anxiety (Kjelstrup et al., 2002; Maren & Holt, 2004), and increase motivation for food (Ferbinteanu & McDonald, 2001). In meta-analysis of adult neuroimaging studies, social and emotional processing was localized to the aHPC (Grady, 2020). These patterns are supported by the differential connectivity of the aHPC. Animal tracing studies have revealed dense connections are present between the amygdala and the aHPC (but not pHPC) (Canteras & Swanson, 1992; Fanselow & Dong, 2010; Groenwegen et al., 1987; Henke, 1990; Strange et al., 2014; van Groen & Wyss, 1990). The aHPC is also more connected to the temporal, orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex, i.e., areas associated with motivational behavior (Adnan et al., 2016; Vogel et al., 2020). Similar differential connectivity patterns have been found in humans between aHPC and the amygdala, orbitofrontal cortex, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, caudate, perirhinal cortex, and temporal pole (Grady, 2020; Kahn et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). Indeed, it has been proposed that the aHPC is part of an anterior-medial network, whose primary function is processing of unique

entities, including their affective and social importance (Ranganath & Ritchey, 2012). Currently, it is unknown when this system emerges developmentally and becomes adult-like. While our results do not speak to functional connectivity of the system, they do show that functional specialization thought to result from this connectivity is present in development. If driven by underlying network connectivity, this provides evidence that the anterior-medial system is present in development, supporting previous work (Blankenship et al., 2017; Riggins et al., 2016), and adds to existing theories of early functional specialization in the long-axis, which have focused on different rates of intrinsic maturation between the anterior and posterior regions.

### **Hippocampal functional organization of memory**

Due to the relatively small amount of developmental neuroimaging studies including the hippocampus, we collapsed a variety of non-emotional memory tasks into a general “memory” category, guided by topics by Poldrack et al. 2012. Activation in the memory category were largely localized within the posterior hippocampus. In general, our findings in young children replicate meta-analytic findings from adults (Grady, 2020) and animals (Fanselow & Dong, 2010).

Rodent work has shown that lesions to the dorsal hippocampus selectively impair encoding and retrieval of spatial memories (Moser et al., 1995). Additionally, evidence from human studies converge on the importance of the pHPC for spatial memory and navigation, but extend its functional specialization to other domains, such as scene processing, detailed recollection, episodic simulation, and more general retrieval (see Poppenk et al., 2013 for a review). This functional specialization is thought to be largely due to distinct connectivity

patterns in the pHPC. Tracing studies in rodents have shown dorsal hippocampus is differentially connected to parahippocampal cortex, retrosplenial areas, and anterior cingulate cortex (Burwell & Amaral, 1998b, 1998a).

In humans, pHPC is functionally connected to parahippocampal cortex (Kahn et al., 2008; Libby et al., 2012), cuneus, precuneus, anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, and parts of the thalamus (Poppenk & Moscovitch, 2011). Similarly, Grady et al. (2020) found stronger connectivity between pHPC, medial occipital cortex, posterior parietal cortex, thalamus, and lateral inferior frontal gyrus. Ranganth and Ritchey (2012) suggest that this distinct connectivity places the pHPC in a posterior-medial network, specialized for the “situation models” or detailed representations of events, and that this shapes its involvement in a variety of memory and cognitive tasks. This is further supported by meta-analytic findings that pHPC is most distinctly activated for recollection, spatial tasks, episodic simulation, navigation, and spatial processing, all of which rely on detailed spatio-temporal representations.

Our memory category included some tasks in areas that align closely with these areas (spatial, episodic, pattern separation, retrieval, navigation, detail, recall) and others less clearly associated with pHPC (semantic, gist, pattern completion, encoding), yet we still found posterior localization overall. Thus, while our results generally show these same distinctions are present in development, there is currently not enough data to examine more subtle variations. For example, whether the memory tasks including search words such as semantic, pattern completion, and gist are actually associated with aHPC in development requires more data to investigate.

### **Lateralization**

Existing data on lateralization of function in the hippocampus paints a less clear picture than what is known about long-axis organization. At the scale of the entire brain, emotion has long been conceptualized as lateralized. For example, the right-dominant hypothesis holds that all emotional processing is right-hemisphere dominant, and was supported initially by the clinical observation that patients with left-lateralized lesions retained emotion-related language despite severe general language deficits (Jackson, 1878). Alternatively, the valence-lateralization hypothesis argues that the right hemisphere is involved specifically in negative valenced emotions, while the left-hemisphere is involved in positively valenced emotions (see Palomero-Gallagher & Amunts, 2022 for a review). Recent data-driven meta-analytic approaches have revealed that the perception, experience, and expression of emotion are each subserved by a distinct large-scale network (Morawetz et al., 2020), and that these networks are composed of regions which are left-lateralized, right lateralized, and bilateral. In line with this, a meta-analysis of 65 neuroimaging studies found no clear overall evidence for lateralization for emotion, and concluded lateralization is complex, region specific, and dynamic (Wager et al., 2003).

To our knowledge there are no studies focused specifically on emotional processing and lateralization in the hippocampus. However, there is evidence of lateralization of verbal memory to the left hemisphere, and spatial processing to the right hemisphere (with complications and caveats). Left hemisphere stimulation in epileptic patients impairs word but not face recognition (Coleshill et al., 2004), and verbal memory is more impaired in individuals with left hippocampal damage than right (Saling et al., 1993). This language-related lateralization is not simply material specific; individuals with left temporal lobe epilepsy are



impaired in face processing when the task requires naming, even though they perform similarly to controls in face recognition, while individuals with right lateralized epilepsy were impaired in all face processing (Seidenberg et al., 2002). Later fMRI studies have replicated these results, indicating that the left hippocampus plays an important role in verbal memory (Jansen et al., 2009; Sidhu et al., 2015). In the domain of spatial cognition, some evidence suggests the right hippocampus is specialized (Burgess et al., 2002; Maguire et al., 1997, 1998). However, more recent works suggests both left and right hippocampus are involved in different aspects of spatial cognition, with the left hippocampus more involved in contextual memory (object locations) relative to navigation and tests of topographical memory (map drawing, scene recognition) which depended more on the right hippocampus (J. Miller et al., 2018; Spiers et al., 2001). In terms of hippocampal laterality of function in children, there is only one study which found greater right hippocampal involvement in children during a relational memory task (Hopf et al., 2013).

We found that our broad category of memory tasks activated the left hemisphere more than the right, in both aHPC and pHPC. This left-lateralization of memory may result from the verbal nature of several of the tasks; seven of the 13 studies involved some form of verbal, lexical, or language-related stimuli. For example, two of the studies examined remembered versus forgotten words, one involved auditory descriptions, and another was a lexical decision for recently learned words. In contrast, the emotion laterality analysis showed bilateral hippocampal involvement in emotion processing.

Overall, these findings suggest that memory processing may depend more on the left hippocampus than the right, potentially due to the verbal material or task demands in most

studies. This generally aligns with lateralization of verbal materials and tasks reported in adults, and suggests this lateralization is present in development. Much less is known about lateralization of emotion processing in the hippocampus, but our finding that emotional processing is bilateral fits with recent findings that many regions which are part of emotion networks are activated bilaterally (Morawetz et al., 2020). Much future work will be needed to elucidate whether specific components of cognition, or types of tasks involving emotion are lateralized, whether this occurs across the long-axis or within anterior and posterior regions, and whether this differs across development.

### **Conclusions, limitations, and future steps**

In sum, our finding that emotion activates the aHPC and more general memory the pHPC in children and adolescents reflects what has been reported in both animal and human adult work (e.g., Fanselow & Dong, 2010; Grady, 2020). However, the small number of studies conducted in children that met our meta-analytic criteria meant that we could not examine more fine-grade distinctions between memory and emotion. Both memory and emotion are broad terms to describe numerous processes; for example, encoding, retrieval, subsequent memory, and spatial memory are all distinct processes that fall under the umbrella of *memory*, and emotion is equally multifaceted. Although *thousands* of memory and emotion studies have been conducted in children, those that reported usable hippocampal coordinates in typically developing children were relatively few. This is in part due to many studies examining patient populations in relation to healthy controls, as well as due to custom child-based templates being used that could not be translated into standard coordinates. Additionally, child imaging is notoriously difficult due to the data loss caused by motion in the scanner, perhaps further

decreasing the number of studies conducted. Nevertheless, despite small numbers of studies, final sample sizes for each meta-analysis were large, and the results which demonstrate long-axis specialization with regard to memory and emotion in children similar to that seen in adults is an important contribution to the endeavor to fully model hippocampal organization. While our sample ranged in age from four to 21 years, future research should examine long-axis specialization as a function of age to determine at what point in development this specialization emerges, or whether it is present from birth.

## References

- Adnan, A., Barnett, A., Moayed, M., McCormick, C., Cohn, M., & McAndrews, M. P. (2016). Distinct hippocampal functional networks revealed by tractography-based parcellation. *Brain Structure and Function*, 221(6), 2999–3012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-015-1084-x>
- Barch, D. M., Harms, M. P., Tillman, R., Hawkey, E., & Luby, J. L. (2019). Early childhood depression, emotion regulation, episodic memory, and hippocampal development. In *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* (Vol. 128, Issue 1, pp. 81–95). American Psychological Association.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000392>
- Bartha-Doering, L., Novak, A., Kolindorfer, K., Kasprian, G., Schuler, A. L., Berl, M. M., Fischmeister, F. P. S., Gaillard, W. D., Alexopoulos, J., Prayer, D., & Seidl, R. (2018). When two are better than one: Bilateral mesial temporal lobe contributions associated with better vocabulary skills in children and adolescents. *Brain and Language*, 184(June), 1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2018.06.001>
- Bastin, C., Rakesh, D., Harrison, B. J., Davey, C. G., Allen, N. B., Muller, S., & Whittle, S. (2021). Feelings of shame and guilt are associated with distinct neural activation in youth. *Biological Psychology*, 159(January), 108025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2021.108025>
- Bauer, P. J., Pathman, T., Inman, C., Campanella, C., & Hamann, S. (2017). Neural correlates of autobiographical memory retrieval in children and adults. *Memory*, 25(4), 450–466.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2016.1186699>
- Blankenship, S. L., Redcay, E., Dougherty, L. R., & Riggins, T. (2017). Development of hippocampal functional connectivity during childhood. *Human Brain Mapping*, 38(1), 182–201.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23353>

- Brunec, I. K., Bellana, B., Ozubko, J. D., Man, V., Robin, J., Liu, Z. X., Grady, C., Rosenbaum, R. S., Winocur, G., Barense, M. D., & Moscovitch, M. (2018). Multiple Scales of Representation along the Hippocampal Anteroposterior Axis in Humans. *Current Biology*, 28(13), 2129-2135.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.016>
- Buck, S., Bastos, F., Baldeweg, T., & Vargha-Khadem, F. (2020). A Functional MRI Paradigm Suitable for Language and Memory Mapping in Pediatric Temporal Lobe Epilepsy. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 10(January), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.01384>
- Burgess, N., Maguire, E. A., & O'keefe, J. (2002). Review The Human Hippocampus and Spatial and Episodic Memory appears to remain in humans (Abrahams et al. In *Neuron* (Vol. 35).
- Burwell, R. D., & Amaral, D. G. (1998a). Cortical Afferents of the Perirhinal, Postrhinal, and Entorhinal Cortices of the Rat. In *J. Comp. Neurol* (Vol. 398).
- Burwell, R. D., & Amaral, D. G. (1998b). Perirhinal and Postrhinal Cortices of the Rat: Interconnectivity and Connections With the Entorhinal Cortex. In *J. Comp. Neurol* (Vol. 391).
- Byers-Heinlein, K., Burns, T. C., & Werker, J. F. (2010). The roots of bilingualism in newborns. *Psychological Science*, 21(3), 343–348. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797609360758>
- Canteras, N. S., & Swanson, L. W. (1992). Projections of the Ventral Subiculum to the Amygdala, Septum, and Hypothalamus: A PHAL Anterograde Tract-Tracing Study in the Rat. In *THE JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE NEUROLOGY* (Vol. 324).
- Carrión, V. G., Haas, B. W., Garrett, A., Song, S., & Reiss, A. L. (2010). Reduced hippocampal activity in youth with posttraumatic stress symptoms: An fMRI study. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 35(5), 559–569. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsp112>

- Chen, A. G., Zhu, L. N., Yan, J., & Yin, H. C. (2016). Neural basis of working memory enhancement after acute aerobic exercise: FMRI study of preadolescent children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(NOV), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01804>
- Cho, S., Metcalfe, A. W. S., Young, C. B., Ryali, S., Geary, D. C., & Menon, V. (2012). Hippocampal-prefrontal engagement and dynamic causal interactions in the maturation of children's fact retrieval. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 24(9), 1849–1866. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn>
- Christensen, R., van Ameringen, M., & Hall, G. (2015). Increased activity of frontal and limbic regions to emotional stimuli in children at-risk for anxiety disorders. *Psychiatry Research - Neuroimaging*, 233(1), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychresns.2015.04.004>
- Coleshill, S. G., Binnie, C. D., Morris, R. G., Alarcón, G., van Emde Boas, W., Velis, D. N., Simmons, A., Polkey, C. E., van Veelen, C. W. M., & van Rijen, P. C. (2004). Material-Specific Recognition Memory Deficits Elicited by Unilateral Hippocampal Electrical Stimulation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 24(7), 1612–1616. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4352-03.2004>
- Desikan, R. S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R. L., Dale, A. M., Maguire, R. P., Hyman, B. T., Albert, M. S., & Killiany, R. J. (2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla.)*, 31(3), 968–980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021>
- Doyle-Thomas, K. A. R., Goldberg, J., Szatmari, P., & Hall, G. B. C. (2013). Neurofunctional Underpinnings of Audiovisual Emotion Processing in Teens with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 4(May), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00048>

- Duerden, E. G., Arsalidou, M., Lee, M., & Taylor, M. J. (2013). Lateralization of affective processing in the insula. *NeuroImage*, 78, 159–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.014>
- Eickhoff, S. B., Laird, A. R., Grefkes, C., Wang, L. E., Zilles, K., & Fox, P. T. (2009). Coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of neuroimaging data: a random-effects approach based on empirical estimates of spatial uncertainty. *Human Brain Mapping*, 30(9), 2907–2926. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20718>
- Fanselow, M. S., & Dong, H. W. (2010). Are the Dorsal and Ventral Hippocampus Functionally Distinct Structures? *Neuron*, 65(1), 7–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031>
- Ferbinteanu, J., & McDonald, R. J. (2001). Dorsal/ventral hippocampus, fornix, and conditioned place preference. *Hippocampus*, 11(2), 187–200.
- Frazier, J. A., Chiu, S., Breeze, J. L., Makris, N., Lange, N., Kennedy, D. N., Herbert, M. R., Bent, E. K., Koneru, V. K., Dieterich, M. E., Hodge, S. M., Rauch, S. L., Grant, P. E., Cohen, B. M., Seidman, L. J., Caviness, V. S., & Biederman, J. (2005). Structural Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Limbic and Thalamic Volumes in Pediatric Bipolar Disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162(7), 1256–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.7.1256>
- Ghetti, S., & Bunge, S. A. (2012). Neural changes underlying the development of episodic memory during middle childhood. In *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* (Vol. 2, Issue 4, pp. 381–395). Elsevier Science. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2012.05.002>
- Goldstein, J. M., Seidman, L. J., Makris, N., Ahern, T., O'Brien, L. M., Caviness, V. S., Kennedy, D. N., Faraone, S. v, & Tsuang, M. T. (2007). Hypothalamic Abnormalities in Schizophrenia: Sex Effects and Genetic Vulnerability. *Biological Psychiatry* (1969), 61(8), 935–945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.06.027>

- Gómez, R. L., & Edgin, J. O. (2016). The extended trajectory of hippocampal development: Implications for early memory development and disorder. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18, 57–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.08.009>
- Grady, C. L. (2020). Meta-analytic and functional connectivity evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging for an anterior to posterior gradient of function along the hippocampal axis. *Hippocampus*, 30(5), 456–471. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.23164>
- Greco, C., Rovee-Collier, C., Hayne, H., Griesler, P., & Earley, L. (1986). Ontogeny of early event memory: I. Forgetting and retrieval by 2- and 3-month-olds. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 9(4), 441–460. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-6383\(86\)90017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-6383(86)90017-2)
- Groenwegen, H. J., Vermeulen-Van Der Zee, E., te Kortschot, A., & Wittex, M. P. (1987). Organization of the projections from the subiculum to the ventral striatum in the rat. A study using anterograde transport of phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin. *Neuroscience*, 23(1), 103–120.
- Güler, O. E., & Thomas, K. M. (2013). Developmental differences in the neural correlates of relational encoding and recall in children: An event-related fMRI study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 3(1), 106–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2012.07.001>
- Hayne, H., & Imuta, K. (2011). Episodic memory in 3- and 4-year-old children. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 53(3), 317–322. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20527>
- Henke, P. G. (1990). Hippocampal Pathway to the Amygdala and Stress Ulcer Development. In *Brain and Behavior* (Vol. 3). Pergamon Press plc.
- Herting, M. M., & Nagel, B. J. (2013). Differences in brain activity during a verbal associative memory encoding task in high- and low-fit adolescents. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 25(4), 595–612. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_00344](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00344)



- Hopf, L., Quraan, M. A., Cheung, M. J., Taylor, M. J., Ryan, J. D., & Moses, S. N. (2013). Hippocampal Lateralization and Memory in Children and Adults. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 19(10), 1042–1052. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/S1355617713000751>
- Howe, M. L., & Courage, M. L. (1993). On resolving the enigma of infantile amnesia. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(2), 305–326. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.113.2.305>
- Jabès, A., & Nelson, C. A. (2015). 20 years after “the ontogeny of human memory: A cognitive neuroscience perspective,” where are we? *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 39(4), 293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025415575766>
- Jackson, H. (1878). Case of temporary hemiplegia after localised epileptiform convulsion. *The Lancet*, 581–582.
- Jansen, A., Sehlmeier, C., Pfeiderer, B., Sommer, J., Konrad, C., Zwitserlood, P., & Knecht, S. (2009). Assessment of verbal memory by fMRI: Lateralization and functional neuroanatomy. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 111(1), 57–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2008.08.005>
- Kahn, I., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Vincent, J. L., Snyder, A. Z., & Buckner, R. L. (2008). Distinct cortical anatomy linked to subregions of the medial temporal lobe revealed by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 100(1), 129–139.
- Karim, H. T., & Perlman, S. B. (2017). Neurodevelopmental maturation as a function of irritable temperament: Insights From a Naturalistic Emotional Video Viewing Paradigm. *Human Brain Mapping*, 38(10), 5307–5321. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23742>
- Kirby, L. A. J., & Robinson, J. L. (2017). Affective mapping: An activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis. *Brain and Cognition*, 118, 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2015.04.006>

- Kjelstrup, K. G., Tuvnes, F. A., Steffenach, H.-A., Murison, R., Moser, E. I., & Moser, M.-B. (2002). Reduced fear expression after lesions of the ventral hippocampus. In *PNAS August* (Vol. 6, Issue 16). [www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.152112399](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.152112399)
- Laird, A. R., Fox, P. M., Price, C. J., Glahn, D. C., Uecker, A. M., Lancaster, J. L., Turkeltaub, P. E., Kochunov, P., & Fox, P. T. (2005). ALE meta-analysis: Controlling the false discovery rate and performing statistical contrasts. *Human Brain Mapping*, 25(1), 155–164.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20136>
- Lancaster, J. L., Tordesillas-Gutiérrez, D., Martinez, M., Salinas, F., Evans, A., Zilles, K., Mazziotta, J. C., & Fox, P. T. (2007). Bias between MNI and talairach coordinates analyzed using the ICBM-152 brain template. *Human Brain Mapping*, 28(11), 1194–1205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20345>
- Lavenex, P., & Banta Lavenex, P. (2013). Building hippocampal circuits to learn and remember: insights into the development of human memory. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 254, 8–21.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2013.02.007>
- Li, Y., Li, F., He, N., Guo, L., Huang, X., Lui, S., & Gong, Q. (2014). Neural hyperactivity related to working memory in drug-naïve boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 53, 116–122.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2014.03.013>
- Libby, L. A., Ekstrom, A. D., Daniel Ragland, J., & Ranganath, C. (2012). Differential connectivity of perirhinal and parahippocampal cortices within human hippocampal subregions revealed by high-resolution functional imaging. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(19), 6550–6560.  
<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3711-11.2012>

Maguire, E. A., Burgess, N., Donnett, J. G., Frackowiak, R. S. J., Frith, C. D., & O'Keefe, J. (1998).

Knowing Where and Getting There: A Human Navigation Network. *Science*, 280, 921–923.

Maguire, E. A., Frackowiak, R. S. J., & Frith, C. D. (1997). Recalling Routes around London: Activation of the Right Hippocampus in Taxi Drivers. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 17(18), 7103.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-18-07103.1997>

Makris, N., Goldstein, J. M., Kennedy, D., Hodge, S. M., Caviness, V. S., Faraone, S. v., Tsuang, M. T., & Seidman, L. J. (2006). Decreased volume of left and total anterior insular lobule in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 83(2–3), 155–171.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2005.11.020>

Malchow, B., Strocka, S., Frank, F., Bernstein, H. G., Steiner, J., Schneider-Axmann, T., Hasan, A., Reich-Erkelenz, D., Schmitz, C., Bogerts, B., Falkai, P., & Schmitt, A. (2015). Stereological investigation of the posterior hippocampus in affective disorders. *Journal of Neural*

*Transmission*, 122(7), 1019–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-014-1316-x>

Malykhin, N. v., Bouchard, T. P., Ogilvie, C. J., Coupland, N. J., Seres, P., & Camicioli, R. (2007). Three-dimensional volumetric analysis and reconstruction of amygdala and hippocampal head, body and tail. *Psychiatry Research - Neuroimaging*, 155(2), 155–165.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychresns.2006.11.011>

Maren, S., & Holt, W. G. (2004). Hippocampus and Pavlovian Fear Conditioning in Rats: Muscimol Infusions Into the Ventral, but Not Dorsal, Hippocampus Impair the Acquisition of Conditional Freezing to an Auditory Conditional Stimulus. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 118(1), 97–110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7044.118.1.97>

- Maril, A., Davis, P. E., Koo, J. J., Reggev, N., Zuckerman, M., Ehrenfeld, L., Mulkern, R. v., Waber, D. P., & Rivkin, M. J. (2010). Developmental fMRI study of episodic verbal memory encoding in children. *Neurology*, 75(23), 2110–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318201526e>
- May, J. C., Delgado, M. R., Dahl, R. E., Stenger, V. A., Ryan, N. D., Fiez, J. A., & Carter, C. S. (2004). Event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging of reward-related brain circuitry in children and adolescents. *Biological Psychiatry*, 55(4), 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2003.11.008>
- Miller, J. G., Shrestha, S., Reiss, A. L., & Vrtička, P. (2020). Neural bases of social feedback processing and self-other distinction in late childhood: The role of attachment and age. *Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience*, 20(3), 503–520. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-020-00781-w>
- Miller, J., Watrous, A. J., Tsitsiklis, M., Lee, S. A., Sheth, S. A., Schevon, C. A., Smith, E. H., Sperling, M. R., Sharan, A., Asadi-Pooya, A. A., Worrell, G. A., Meisenhelter, S., Inman, C. S., Davis, K. A., Lega, B., Wanda, P. A., Das, S. R., Stein, J. M., Gorniak, R., & Jacobs, J. (2018). Lateralized hippocampal oscillations underlie distinct aspects of human spatial memory and navigation. *Nature Communications*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04847-9>
- Moore, W. E., Pfeifer, J. H., Masten, C. L., Mazziotta, J. C., Iacoboni, M., & Dapretto, M. (2012). Facing puberty: Associations between pubertal development and neural responses to affective facial displays. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 7(1), 35–43. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsr066>
- Morawetz, C., Riedel, M. C., Salo, T., Berboth, S., Eickhoff, S. B., Laird, A. R., & Kohn, N. (2020). Multiple large-scale neural networks underlying emotion regulation. In *Neuroscience and*

*Biobehavioral Reviews* (Vol. 116, pp. 382–395). Elsevier Ltd.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.07.001>

Moreau, D., & Gamble, B. (2020). Conducting a Meta-Analysis in the Age of Open Science: Tools, Tips, and Practical Recommendations. *Psychological Methods*.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000351>

Moser, M. B., Moser, E. I., Forrest, E., Andersen, P., & Morris, R. G. (1995). Spatial learning with a minislab in the dorsal hippocampus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 92(21), 9697–9701. <https://www.pnas.org>

Ofen, N., Chai, X. J., Schuil, K. D. I., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2012). The development of brain systems associated with successful memory retrieval of scenes. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(29), 10012–10020. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1082-11.2012>

Palomero-Gallagher, N., & Amunts, K. (2022). A short review on emotion processing: a lateralized network of neuronal networks. *Brain Structure and Function*, 227(2), 673–684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-021-02331-7>

Paulsen, D. J., Carter, R. M. K., Platt, M. L., Huettel, S. A., & Brannon, E. M. (2012). Neurocognitive development of risk aversion from early childhood to adulthood. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 5(JANUARY 2012), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2011.00178>

Pessoa, L. (2017). A Network Model of the Emotional Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 21(5), 357–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.03.002>

Pfeifer, J. H., Iacoboni, M., Mazziotta, J. C., & Dapretto, M. (2008). Mirroring others' emotions relates to empathy and interpersonal competence in children. *NeuroImage*, 39(4), 2076–2085. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.10.032>

- Poldrack, R. A., Mumford, J. A., Schonberg, T., Kalar, D., Barman, B., & Yarkoni, T. (2012). Discovering Relations Between Mind, Brain, and Mental Disorders Using Topic Mapping. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002707>
- Poppenk, J., Evensmoen, H. R., Moscovitch, M., & Nadel, L. (2013). Long-axis specialization of the human hippocampus. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(5), 230–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.03.005>
- Poppenk, J., & Moscovitch, M. (2011). A hippocampal marker of recollection memory ability among healthy young adults: Contributions of posterior and anterior segments. *Neuron*, 72(6), 931–937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.014>
- Qin, S., Duan, X., Supekar, K., Chen, H., Chen, T., & Menon, V. (2016). Large-scale intrinsic functional network organization along the long axis of the human medial temporal lobe. *Brain Structure and Function*, 221(6), 3237–3258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-015-1098-4>
- Ranganath, C., & Ritchey, M. (2012). Two cortical systems for memory-guided behaviour. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 13(10), 713–726. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3338>
- Reidy, B. L., Hamann, S., Inman, C., Johnson, K. C., & Brennan, P. A. (2016). Decreased sleep duration is associated with increased fMRI responses to emotional faces in children. *Neuropsychologia*, 84, 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2016.01.028>
- Riggins, T., Geng, F., Blankenship, S. L., & Redcay, E. (2016). Hippocampal functional connectivity and episodic memory in early childhood. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19, 58–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2016.02.002>

- Romund, L., Raufelder, D., Flemming, E., Lorenz, R. C., Pelz, P., Gleich, T., Heinz, A., & Beck, A. (2016). Maternal parenting behavior and emotion processing in adolescents—An fMRI study. *Biological Psychology*, 120, 120–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2016.09.003>
- Saling, M. M., Berkovic, S. F., O'shea, M. F., Kalnins, R. M., Darby, D. G., & Bladin, P. F. (1993). Lateralization of verbal memory and unilateral hippocampal sclerosis: Evidence of task-specific effects. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 15(4), 608–618. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01688639308402582>
- Scarf, D., Gross, J., Colombo, M., & Hayne, H. (2013). To have and to hold: Episodic memory in 3- and 4-year-old children. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 55(2), 125–132. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21004>
- Schlichting, M. L., Guarino, K. F., Schapiro, A. C., Turk-Browne, N. B., & Preston, A. R. (2017). Hippocampal Structure Predicts Statistical Learning and Associative Inference Abilities during Development. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 29(1), 37–51. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_01028](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01028)
- Seghier, M. L. (2008). Laterality index in functional MRI: methodological issues. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 26(5), 594–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2007.10.010>
- Seidenberg, M., Griffith, R., Sabsevitz, D., Moran, M., Haltiner, A., Bell, B., Swanson, S., Hammeke, T., & Hermann, B. (2002). Recognition and identification of famous faces in patients with unilateral temporal lobe epilepsy. In *Neuropsychologia* (Vol. 40). [www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropsychologia](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropsychologia)
- Sheline, Y. I., Mittler, B. L., & Mintun, M. A. (2002). The hippocampus and depression. *European Psychiatry*, 17(S3), 300s–305s. [https://doi.org/D0I: 10.1016/S0924-9338\(02\)00655-7](https://doi.org/D0I: 10.1016/S0924-9338(02)00655-7)

- Sidhu, M. K., Stretton, J., Winston, G. P., Symms, M., Thompson, P. J., Koepp, M. J., & Duncan, J. S. (2015). Memory fMRI predicts verbal memory decline after anterior temporal lobe resection. *Neurology*, 84(15), 1512–1519. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000001461>
- Sneider, J. T., Cohen-Gilbert, J. E., Hamilton, D. A., Stein, E. R., Golan, N., Oot, E. N., Seraikas, A. M., Rohan, M. L., Harris, S. K., Nickerson, L. D., & Silveri, M. M. (2018). Adolescent Hippocampal and Prefrontal Brain Activation During Performance of the Virtual Morris Water Task. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 12(June), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00238>
- Spiers, H. J., Burgess, N., Maguire, E. A., Baxendale, S. A., Hartley, T., Thompson, P. J., & O'keefe, J. (2001). Unilateral temporal lobectomy patients show lateralized topographical and episodic memory deficits in a virtual town. In *Brain* (Vol. 124).
- Steele, J. S., Bush, K., Stowe, Z. N., James, G. A., Smitherman, S., Kilts, C. D., & Cisler, J. (2018). Implicit emotion regulation in adolescent girls: An exploratory investigation of Hidden Markov Modeling and its neural correlates. *PLoS ONE*, 13(2), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192318>
- Strange, B. A., Witter, M. P., Lein, E. S., & Moser, E. I. (2014). Functional organization of the hippocampal longitudinal axis. In *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* (Vol. 15, Issue 10, pp. 655–669). Nature Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3785>
- Takashima, A., Bakker-Marshall, I., van Hell, J. G., McQueen, J. M., & Janzen, G. (2019). Neural correlates of word learning in children. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 37(April), 100649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2019.100649>



- Turkeltaub, P. E., Eden, G. F., Jones, K. M., & Zeffiro, T. A. (2002). Meta-analysis of the functional neuroanatomy of single-word reading: Method and validation. *NeuroImage*, 16(3 I), 765–780. <https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2002.1131>
- van Groen, T., & Wyss, J. M. (1990). Extrinsic Projections From Area CA1 of the Rat Hippocampus: Olfactory, Cortical, Subcortical, and Bilateral Hippocampal Formation Projections. In *THE JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE NEUROLOGY* (Vol. 302).
- van Meer, F., van der Laan, L. N., Charbonnier, L., Viergever, M. A., Adan, R. A. H., & Smeets, P. A. M. (2016). Developmental differences in the brain response to unhealthy food cues: An fMRI study of children and adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 104(6), 1515–1522. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.137240>
- Vogel, J. W., la Joie, R., Grothe, M. J., Diaz-Papkovich, A., Doyle, A., Vachon-Presseau, E., Lepage, C., Vos de Wael, R., Thomas, R. A., Iturria-Medina, Y., Bernhardt, B., Rabinovici, G. D., & Evans, A. C. (2020). A molecular gradient along the longitudinal axis of the human hippocampus informs large-scale behavioral systems. *Nature Communications*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14518-3>
- Wager, T. D., Phan, K. L., Liberzon, I., & Taylor, S. F. (2003). Valence, gender, and lateralization of functional brain anatomy in emotion: A meta-analysis of findings from neuroimaging. *NeuroImage*, 19(3), 513–531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119\(03\)00078-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00078-8)
- Wang, S. F., Ritchey, M., Libby, L. A., & Ranganath, C. (2016). Functional connectivity based parcellation of the human medial temporal lobe. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 134, 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2016.01.005>

Yushkevich, P. A., Wang, H., Pluta, J., Das, S. R., Craige, C., Avants, B. B., Weiner, M. W., & Mueller, S. (2010). Nearly automatic segmentation of hippocampal subfields in in vivo focal T2-weighted MRI. *NeuroImage*, 53(4), 1208–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.06.040>

Table 1. Literature search terms used in Medline, Pubmed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar searches. To be indexed, studies needed to mention at least one term from each column (i.e., hippocampus AND cognitive process AND development).

Hippocampus	Cognitive process	Development
Hippocampus	Memory	Develop*
HPC	Spatial	Child*
	Semantic	Pediatric
	Episodic	Paediatric
	Pattern completion	Adolescen*
	Pattern separation	
	Encoding	
	Retrieval	
	Navigation	
	Gist	
	Detail	
	Recall	
	Faces	
	Emotion	
	Affect	
	Motivation	

Table 2. List of studies included in memory meta-analysis

Study #	Author(s)	Year	N	F	Age	Tesla	Task	Contrasts
1	Bartha-Doering et al.	2018	30	12	10.3	3	auditory description definition task	task vs. baseline
2	Bauer et al.	2017	14	7	10.3	3	autobiographical and semantic memory retrieval	AM vs. rest, early vs. late AM retrieval, semantic retrieval
3	Buck et al.	2020	28	17	14	3	noun-to-verb generation and cued recall	memory encoding, block design; memory retrieval, event design
4	Carrión et al.	2010	11	4	13.9	3	Verbal declarative memory	retrieval vs. control
5	Chen et al.	2016	9	4	10	3	n-back	pre vs. post exercise
6	Cho et al.	2012	121	54	8.2	3	arithmetic problem solving	retrieval fluency correlation
7	Güler & Thomas	2013	30	14	8.7 and 12.7	3	paired-associates picture memory task	successful recall vs. forgotten
8	Herting & Nagel	2013	17	0	16.2	3	subsequent memory paradigm	remembered vs. forgotten words
9	Li et al.	2014	27	0	10.9	3	categorical n-back	correct response vs. baseline
10	Maril et al.	2010	24	15	13.8	1.5	verbal memory encoding	remembered vs. forgotten words
11	Ofen et al.	2012	69	34	14.7	3	memory retrieval of scenes	hits vs. misses
12	Sneider et al.	2018	32	15	13.9	3	Virtual Morris water task	retrieval/hidden vs. motor/visible
13	Takashima et al.	2019	43	30	9.8 and 15.5	3	Lexical decision	Day 1 vs Day 8, Japanese

Table 3. Meta-analyses results for memory and emotion studies

Condition	Left/Right	Anterior/Posterior	Cluster #	x	y	z	Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	ALE value	p-value
Memory	Left	Posterior	1	-26	-28	-8	2200	0.01591701	p < .0001
	Left	Anterior	2	-30	-12	-14	1608	0.02018569	p < .0001
	Right	Posterior	3	28	-40	2	648	0.01118116	p < .0001
Emotion	Left	Anterior	1	-26	-14	-14	3040	0.01958763	p < .0001
	Right	Anterior	2	24	-12	-14	1264	0.01297942	p < .0001
	Right	Posterior	3	24	-38	0	608	0.01061688	p < .0001

Table 4. List of studies included in emotion meta-analysis

Study #	Author(s)	Year	N	F	Age	Tesla	Task	Contrasts
1	Bastin et al.	2021	36	36	18.8	3	shame and guilt induction paradigm	Correlation with guilt ratings during negative feedback
2	Christensen et al.	2015	7			3	dot-probe attention-orienting task	Angry vs. blank
3	Doyle-Thomas et al.	2013	16	0	14.69	3	Emotional matching	Visual > Audiovisual
4	Karim & Perlman	2017	30		7.6	3	emotional video viewing	Negative vs positive, positive vs. negative
5	May et al.	2004	12	7	13.25	1.5	reward-based guessing game	Task vs. baseline
6	Miller et al.	2020	21	14	10.43	3	social feedback processing task	Angry > smiling
7	Moore et al.	2012	45	26	13.1	3	Emotional face observation	Correlation with pubertal development during neutral face viewing
8	Paulsen et al.	2012	17	8	14.8	3	Risky decision-making task	Risky > sure
9	Pfeifer et al.	2008	16	7	10.2	3	Emotional face observation	Observation of emotional vs. neutral expressions
10	Reidy et al.	2016	15	0	8.67	3	Emotional face observation	Disgust > neutral
11	Romund et al.	2016	83	43	14.48	3	emotional face-matching paradigm	Fearful vs. neutral
12	Steele et al.	2018	24	24		3	implicit emotion regulation task	Threatening vs. neutral targets
13	van Meer et al.	2016	27		10.9	3	Food-viewing	Unhealthy vs. healthy

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of search strategy.

**Figure 2.** Segmentation of the anterior and posterior divisions of the left (yellow/red) and right (light/dark blue) hippocampus in MNI space.

**Figure 3.** Activation likelihood estimate (ALE) maps for all memory contrasts and all emotion contrasts. Coordinates are in MNI space and overlaid on the anterior/posterior segmentations, L = Left. Clusters were thresholded at a family-wise error rate of 0.05.

**Figure 4.** Distribution of peak cluster coordinates along the y-axis for memory and emotion.

**Figure 5.** Laterality indices for memory and emotion within the full, anterior, and posterior hippocampus.

## Appendix 1

Topic #	Terms
020	emotional, emotion, amygdala, neutral, negative, affective, positive, valence, emotionally, emotions, response, pictures, reactivity, regulation, impact, cognitive, influence, arousal, reactions, implicated, interaction, hippocampus, context, limbic, correlates, scanned, viewed, emotionality, prefrontal, appraisal, female, cues, presented, relevance, salience, psychiatric, valenced, cognition, evoking, modulated
048	faces, amygdala, emotional, fearful, neutral, happy, sad, facial, expressions, angry, face, response, emotion, fear, social, expression, threat, viewing, masked, perception, affective, presented, emotionally, gender, salient, bias, valence, limbic, versus, threatening, reactivity, affect, methods, responsiveness, viewed, presentation, anxiety, amygdalae, responsivity, anger
102	hippocampal, hippocampus, memory, ca, cc, formation, declarative, neocortical, episodic, parahippocampal, encoding, hippocampi, posterior, relationship, consolidation, functions, recall, learning, para, amnesic, amnesia, binding, mnemonic, perirhinal, preferential, volume, subiculum, jon, entorhinal, lesions, sbp, subfields, gradual, possibly, dg, disengagement, remnant, dentate, notably, lacking
229	mtl, recollection, memory, familiarity, recognition, temporal, medial, confidence, lobe, cortex, perirhinal, hippocampus, parahippocampal, item, true, prc, items, source, retrieval, phc, strength, test, remember, paradigm, episodic, suggesting, entorhinal, correct, subregions, decisions, recollected, hsv, studied, gist, success, lobes, episode, recollective, roles, metamemory
254	empathy, social, empathic, insula, affective, emotional, feelings, prosocial, interpersonal, ai, feeling, individuals, pain, emotions, anterior, states, sharing, experience, concern, taking, observing, perspective, emotion, affect, experiencing, distress, personal, compassion, suffering, trait, mentalizing, understand, experiences, situations, vicarious, embarrassment, physical, share, empathize, observation
256	encoding, memory, recognition, subsequent, successful, hippocampus, encoded, items, formation, test, remembered, retrieval, episodic, item, hippocampal, binding, forgetting, remember, forgotten, recognized, suggest, subsequently, success, predicted, memories, incidental, correlates, medial, material, verbal, studied, paradigm, function, recall, parahippocampal, successfully, scanning, tested, unsuccessful, shallow
272	retrieval, memory, episodic, memories, encoding, hippocampus, recall, retrieved, reactivation, successful, correlates, recollection, hippocampal, precuneus, retrieve, autobiographical, parahippocampal, retrieving, ams, semantic, reinstatement, success, test, events, remembering, declarative, search, encoded, past, linked, learned, correct, versus, remembered, mnemonic, recalled, laboratory, reactivated, paired, rich
348	facial, emotion, expressions, emotional, emotions, recognition, expression, fear, neutral, anger, amygdala, disgust, sadness, faces, affect, happiness, perception, face, affective, social, expressing, joy, static, viewed, happy, presented, insula, communication, gender, disgusted, intensities, fearful, recognize, sad, expressive, substrate, angry, images, fusiform, displaying