Trajectories of adolescent life satisfaction

Amy Orben¹, Richard E Lucas², Delia Fuhrmann^{1,3}, & Rogier A Kievit^{1,4}

¹ University of Cambridge

² Michigan State University

³ King's College London

⁴ Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute

AO: MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit and Emmanuel College, University of Cambridge. REL: Department of Psychology, Michigan State University. DF: MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge; Department of Psychology, King's College London. RAK: MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge; Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior.

Data availability statement: Understanding Society data are distributed by the UK Data Service. Most of the data is available for download, after the completion of a registration form, from the UK Data Service: University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research, NatCen Social Research, Kantar Public. (2019). Understanding Society: Waves 1-9, 2009-2018 and Harmonised BHPS: Waves 1-18, 1991-2009. [data collection]. 12th Edition. UK Data Service. SN: 6614, <http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6614-13>. The Special License data necessary to calculate Index of Multiple Deprivation are available after a more intensive approval procedure. The SOEP data is available for download, after the completion of a registration form and data distribution contract from DIW Berlin (International Science Use Version; Goebel, Jan, et al. 2019).

Funding statement: AO was supported by a College Research Fellowship from Emmanuel College, University of Cambridge and by the UK Economic and Social Research Council ES/T008709/1. RAK was supported by the UK Medical Research Council SUAG/047 G101400 and a RadboudUMC Hypatia Fellowship.

Conflicts of interests disclosure: No COIs to declare.

Ethics approval statement: The University of Essex Ethics Committee has approved all data collection on Understanding Society main study and innovation panel waves, including asking consent for all data linkages except to health records. The ethical approval of the SOEP study is under the monitoring of an independent advisory board at the DIW Berlin.

33 The authors made the following contributions. Amy Orben: Conceptualization, Data
34 Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft Preparation, Writing -
35 Review & Editing; Richard E Lucas: Writing - Review & Editing; Delia Fuhrmann: Writing -
36 Review & Editing; Rogier A Kievit: Methodology, Supervision, Writing - Original Draft
37 Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing.

38 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Amy Orben, MRC
39 Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge. E-mail: aco35@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Increasing global policy interest in measuring and improving population wellbeing has prompted academic investigations into the dynamics of lifespan life satisfaction. Yet little research has assessed the complete adolescent age range, although it harbours developmental changes that could affect wellbeing far into adulthood. This study investigates how life satisfaction develops throughout the whole of adolescence, and compares this development to that in adulthood, by applying exploratory and confirmatory Latent Growth Curve modelling to UK and German data respectively (37,076 participants, 10-24 years). We find a near universal decrease in life satisfaction during adolescence. This decrease is steeper than at any other point across adulthood. Further, our findings suggest that adolescent girls' life satisfaction is lower than boys', but that this difference does not extend into adulthood. The study highlights the importance of studying adolescent subjective wellbeing trajectories to inform research, policy and practice.

Keywords: life satisfaction, latent growth models, lifespan development, adolescents, sex differences, subjective well-being

Word count: 7,113

Trajectories of adolescent life satisfaction

The past decade has seen global wellbeing become progressively enumerated, tracked and analysed in a bid to understand and maximise human happiness (Helliwell et al., 2020). Efforts range from the regular publication of wellbeing reports (e.g. Gallup, 2019) to calls for wellbeing indices to replace the predominant economic and political metrics of GDP and GNP in steering national and international politics (Costanza et al., 2014; Fox, 2012). Responding to these developments, psychologists and other behavioural scientists have been increasingly attending to wellbeing as a valuable area of academic research (Adler & Fleurbaey, 2016; Diener et al., 2018).

The resulting research has tracked wellbeing across the adult lifespan, often analysing data from tens of thousands of participants from late adolescence (~16-18 years) into old age (Blanchflower, 2021; Baird et al., 2010 ; Cheng et al., 2017; Joshanloo & Jovanović, 2020; Schilling, 2005). Trajectories of wellbeing that occur during adolescence itself (10 – 24 years, Sawyer et al., 2018) have however been routinely given less focus in the research process, even though this time of life harbours some of the most wide-reaching changes in brain structure and function (Tamnes et al., 2017), cognitive abilities (Li et al., 2004; Murre et al., 2013), sociality (Blakemore & Mills, 2014) and mental health (Kessler et al., 2005, 2007). As these changes can have long-lasting influence, an understanding of how subjective wellbeing develops in adolescence is important for supporting wellbeing across the whole life course (Deary, 2014; Kessler et al., 2012). We therefore present analyses that provide a new view of wellbeing developments during this critical period of life.

Defining Life Satisfaction

A central question for social scientists and policymakers alike concerns the extent to which policies, interventions, and research efforts succeed in improving people's lives. To

answer these questions, improvements must be quantified, yet no single domain captures the quality of a person’s life in its entirety. Indeed, it is reasonable to assume that specific policies and interventions may affect different desirable life outcomes—outcomes such as good health, stable financial resources, or strong social relationships—in different ways. For this reason, many social scientists have considered whether it is possible to develop broad measures that capture the overall levels of wellbeing that people experience.

There are many ways to conceptualize and operationalize wellbeing (for an overview, see Adler & Fleurbaey, 2016). For instance, affective approaches focus on the emotions that people experience on a moment-to-moment basis (Dolan & White, 2007). Wellbeing, then, could be defined simply as experiencing a preponderance of pleasant feelings over unpleasant feelings (Kahneman, 1999). Objective-list-based approaches, on the other hand, require that experts identify which components of life are necessary for one to be “well,” and then those components are assessed directly. Those with high wellbeing, according to this approach, are those who acquire these components, including things such as good health, adequate income, supportive social relationships, and freedom and autonomy.

An alternative to these approaches prioritizes respondents’ own subjective evaluation of whether their lives are going well. These subjective approaches allow respondents themselves to determine which domains in life are most important and how well they are doing in those domains (Diener et al., 2018; Frijters & Krekel, 2019). One increasingly common version of this subjective approach is to assess self-reported judgments of life satisfaction. For instance, life satisfaction can be assessed quickly and simply by asking “how dissatisfied or satisfied you are with . . . your life overall”. A broad range of research on the correlates of these measures supports their construct validity as indicators of overall wellbeing (Diener et al. 2018).

Life Satisfaction Across the Lifespan

Because the circumstances that are thought to predict wellbeing change systematically with age, much research has tracked life satisfaction across the adult lifespan (Blanchflower, 2021; Baird et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2017; Joshanloo & Jovanović, 2020; Schilling, 2005). These studies have identified somewhat of a paradox regarding the patterns of changes that emerge. Specifically, many (though not all) studies have found a U-shaped curve in life satisfaction ratings, whereby life satisfaction declines from adolescence through early and middle adulthood, followed by a rebound in older adulthood (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2008; Cheng et al., 2017; Otterbach et al., 2018; Piper, 2015). The pattern is seen as somewhat of a paradox because many objective circumstances (including income) increase during the period of life when life satisfaction exhibits its greatest decline, whereas other circumstances (including health status) decline when life satisfaction is rebounding in late life. These patterns have been observed in samples from many different countries (Blanchflower, 2021; Cheng et al., 2017, yet see Galambos et al., 2020; Glenn, 2009; Steptoe et al., 2015). Although methodological concerns—including concerns about the impact of cohort, period, and instrumentation effects—have been raised, the robustness of this pattern across studies that rely on different populations and analytic approaches suggests that at the very least, it cannot be explained entirely by methodological artifacts.

Adolescent Life Satisfaction

Work tracking life satisfaction from when participants enter large-scale panel surveys (often in late adolescence at 16 or 18 years), has therefore highlighted that life satisfaction drops in late adolescence and early adulthood: a decline that continues into middle age (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2008; Cheng et al., 2017; Otterbach et al., 2018; Piper, 2015). This decrease in life satisfaction can be explained (at least partially) by mechanisms including growing social, financial, professional, or family pressures and changing appraisals of life

satisfaction questions (McAdams et al., 2012). Social capital, such as peer relationships, may be one of the strongest predictors of life satisfaction in adolescence (Calmeiro et al., 2018). Furthermore, adolescence is a time of social reorientation (Blakemore and Mills, 2014). Temporary dissatisfaction with social relationships, may motivate adolescents to seek new social roles and build life-long relationships (Majorano et al. 2015).

However there has yet to be a comprehensive study to map how life satisfaction develops in early adolescence, and how this compares to the more widely studied developments across the lifespan. A handful of large-scale longitudinal studies have studied earlier age ranges (e.g., 15-18 years; Goldbeck et al., 2007; Moksnes & Espnes, 2013; Ullman & Tatar, 2001; Willroth et al., 2020; Baird et al., 2010), or examined adolescents specifically (Gilman & Huebner, 2003; Willroth et al., 2020). The resulting evidence suggests that the decline in subjective well-being that has been found among young adults (e.g., those over 15 years), may actually begin even earlier and subsequently continue throughout adolescence (Goldbeck et al., 2007; Moksnes & Espnes, 2013; Ullman & Tatar, 2001; Willroth et al., 2020). However, when exactly large drops in life satisfaction occur, remains unclear as most studies have yet to examine the whole adolescent age range of 10-24 years (Sawyer et al., 2018) and adolescents' transition into adulthood (Huebner et al., 2000).

Further, differences in wellbeing trajectories between boys and girls have been routinely noted (Chui & Wong, 2016). Multiple studies suggest that adolescent girls do significantly worse than adolescent boys in terms of subjective wellbeing and internalising mental health (Campbell et al., 2020; Goldbeck et al., 2007; González-Carrasco et al., 2017; Kwong et al., 2019; Moksnes & Espnes, 2013; Uusitalo-Malmivaara, 2014). This has been supported in recent work with data from 73 countries (Campbell et al., 2020). Campbell and colleagues also, and perhaps counter-intuitively, show that higher country-level gender parity and GDP is associated with a larger difference in well-being between boys and girls. Whether this finding is an artefact of the, largely, cross-sectional modelling, or rather due to intrinsic

mechanisms such as disparities in expectations and reality in high-party-high-GDP countries (Graham and Pettinato, 2002; West and Sweeting, 2003), is unclear. A better understanding of how life satisfaction develops at the individual level, whether and how these trajectories differ between boys and girls and if these differences persist into adulthood, is therefore needed (Joshani & Jovanović, 2020).

The Current Study

To establish a deeper understanding of life satisfaction trajectories in adolescence, the current study introduces three core innovations to the literature: 1) It analyses data collected from 37,076 adolescent participants aged 10-24 years from the UK and Germany, to understand adolescent life satisfaction trajectories, and compares these with trajectories found in adulthood (95,466 adult participants aged 25 or over); 2) It utilizes longitudinal modelling to differentiate within- and between-person patterns and understand in-person change over the whole period of adolescence; 3) It investigates the sex differences highlighted in previous adolescent mental health research to understand possible disparities. The UK dataset was analysed in an exploratory fashion; the analyses were then pre-registered to replicate on a second German dataset. In doing so, we rigorously test whether adolescence harbours large-scale changes in life satisfaction trajectories and if sex differences are evident during this process.

Methods

Data

This study analysed data from the UK Understanding Society longitudinal household panel survey (University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research, 2018) and the German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) study (International Science Use Version; Goebel,

Jan, et al. 2019). The Understanding Society survey is an annual longitudinal study of about 40,000 UK households started in 2009 (University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research, 2018). The data is collected annually, however because of its large scale each wave is collected over 2 years. We use 9 waves (2009-2018) of data as released in February 2020. The study consists of an adult questionnaire completed by anyone 16 and over in the households surveyed and also a youth survey that is filled out by 10-15-year-old adolescents in each household. Participants can age in and out of certain surveys, e.g. completing the youth survey and then graduating into the adult survey. At study onset, the population sampled was recruited to ensure accurate representation of the UK population. It now consists of multiple different samples: the General Population Sample, the Ethnic Minority Boost Sample, the former British Household Panel Survey sample and an Immigrant and Ethnic Minority Boost Sample (for more information see Lynn, 2009).

Similar to the UK data, the German data is also a household panel survey. It was started in 1984 and now surveys about 30,000 people and 15,000 households annually. The sample has been refreshed multiple times to ensure accurate representation of the German population. In the German data, participants under 18 were questioned if they had turned 12 years (pre-teen questionnaire), 14 years (early-youth questionnaire) or 17 years (youth questionnaire) in each survey year, after which participants graduated into an annual adult questionnaire. There is therefore no data for ages 13, 15 and 16. As data collection from 14-year-olds only commenced in 2016, we analysed three waves of data (2016-2018, as released in December 2020; we mistakenly pre-registered that we will use data from 2015 onwards due to ambiguity in consulted data documentation). Both studies have been used frequently to examine age differences in life satisfaction among adults (e.g., Baird et al., 2010, Clark, 2019; Frijters & Beaton, 2021; Gerstorf et al., 2008; McAdams et al., 2012, Winkelmann & Winkelmann, 1998).

Ethics. Understanding Society is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council and various Government Departments, with scientific leadership by the Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, and survey delivery by NatCen Social Research and Kantar Public. The University of Essex Ethics Committee has approved all data collection on Understanding Society main study and innovation panel waves, including asking consent for all data linkages except to health records. The SOEP survey was led by the German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin) in collaboration with the Kantar Group. Funding is provided by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research and federal state governments. The ethical approval of the SOEP study is under the monitoring of an independent advisory board at the DIW Berlin. The analyses in this paper were therefore exempt from needing university ethics as they were classed as using secondary data with appropriate primary ethical approval.

Measurement

United Kingdom. We use life satisfaction, age and sex measures from both the youth and adult survey of the UK Understanding Society study. We chose our life satisfaction question because it was harmonized across ages and the two datasets (Understanding Society and SOEP). In the UK youth survey, adolescents used a 1 to 7 visual scale (very happy smiley face - very sad smiley face; scale subsequently reversed) to answer “which best describes how you feel about your life as a whole?”; in the adult survey participants were asked “how dissatisfied or satisfied you are with the following aspects of your current situation . . . your life overall” on a 1 to 7 numeric scale. We treated the life satisfaction scores provided in the youth and adult survey as continuous due to previous simulation work showing that variables with more than five categories can be approximately represented by continuous analytic methods (Rhemtulla et al., 2012). We assumed the adult and youth questions were not substantially different, and the Supplementary Materials

provides tests to support this assumption and also further information about the measures.

The study includes two variables to address the selective dropout of certain populations during data collection, by including them as auxiliary variables (explained below). Firstly, it includes the log of monthly household income provided by an adult survey respondent (those with zero monthly income were assigned the next lowest reported income level to allow for log transformation). Secondly, it also includes the Index of Multiple Deprivation for the participant's residential area. To derive this value, we extracted the participants' Lower Super Output Area (LSOA) from the Special License version of the Understanding Society survey and merged it with data provided by authorities in England, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales specifying the area's general Index of Multiple Deprivation.

Age was derived by Understanding Society from self-reported date of birth for the youth and adult sample. Further sex was taken from the "stable characteristics" file provided by Understanding Society which integrates all waves of data collection to ensure the most accurate report is used. In the youth survey, participants reported annually whether they were "male" or "female"; in the adult sample they were asked to confirm their sex collected previously or report it as "male" or "female". They were allowed to refuse to respond. If sex reporting was inconsistent, i.e. if it varied between waves, the sex was labelled as "0" in the stable file and we recode these instances as NA (30 measurement occasions).

Germany. Each of the German questionnaires included a largely-identical question about life satisfaction at the end of the data collection process. For example, in the pre-teen and early-youth questionnaire it was introduced as: "In conclusion, we would like to ask you how satisfied you are with your life in general. Please give your answer on the basis of the following scale. The value 0 means"completely dissatisfied". The value 10 means"completely satisfied". By means of the values between 0 and 10 you can rate your answer between the two extremes. How satisfied are you with your life?" (0 to 10 scale; see Supplementary Materials). A monthly household income variable, retrieved from the generated household

data file provided annually by the SOEP study, was used to address selective dropout (by including these as auxiliary variables as explained below; the Index of Multiple Deprivation was not available in Germany).

In the data, age was derived differently for those participants in the adult versus pre-teen, early youth and youth questionnaires. For the adult participants, age was calculated by subtracting the year the questionnaire was completed by the year of birth collected in the autobiographical data file for each individual. For the younger participants we assigned those ages they would turn in the survey year in question, i.e. 12 years if they completed the pre-teen questionnaire, 14 years if they completed the early-youth questionnaire and 17 years if they completed the youth questionnaire. This approach ensured we had the necessary number of participants, and data structure appropriate for our longitudinal modelling later in the analysis pipeline. Sex was provided in the autobiographical dataset present for each participant.

Inclusion Criteria

Before proceeding to analyze the data, we applied a variety of inclusion criteria. In the UK dataset we only included those participants that were in the age range specified for the survey they filled out: i.e. we included from the youth survey only participants over age 9 and under age 16 (excluded 61 measurement occasions) and only included those from the adult sample that were over age 15 (excluded 65 measurement occasions). For those UK participants who completed the survey twice within the same one-year age bin, we excluded the second time the data was collected (excluding 417,989 measurement occasions). This was necessary as our longitudinal modelling technique only allowed one measurement occasion per participant per age. We did not need to apply either exclusion criteria to the German data as there was no participant who fit these requirements.

In both datasets, we excluded participants over 80 to generally match UK life expectancy (UK: excluding 15,537 measurement occasions; Germany: excluding 2,596 measurement occasions), to ensure there were enough participants per age group. Lastly, in the UK data we deleted all those cases where sex was NA (31 measurement occasions) because these participants could not be assigned to a specific sex during the analyses. Sex could be NA because, for example, a participant changed their reporting on the sex (“male” or “female”) item during the study or because they decided to refuse responding to this item. There was no participant who had missing data for sex in the German dataset.

After exclusions, in both the datasets, we are left with 417,989 measurement occasions from a total of 91,267 UK participants aged 10 to 80, and 89,869 measurement occasions from a total of 41,275 German participants aged 12 to 80. Not all participants provided data for the same number of waves. In the UK dataset we had the following number of participants per wave: 1 wave = 19,566; 2 waves = 12,220; 3 waves = 8,927; 4 waves = 7,692; 5 waves = 6,241; 6 waves = 6,130; 7 waves = 7,369; 8 waves = 11,232; 9 waves = 11,890. In the German dataset there were the following number of participants per wave: 1 wave = 11,622; 2 waves = 10,712; 3 waves = 18,941.

Longitudinal Analyses

To analyse the longitudinal adolescent data (UK: age 10-24 years, Germany: age 12-24 years) we fit a series of Latent Growth Curve Models (McArdle, 2009; Newsom, 2015) using the R package *lavaan* (Rosseel, 2012). We estimated our model using robust Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) with robust Huber-White standard errors to account for deviations from multivariate normality. Further, we used auxiliary variables (UK: log of total household net income and Index of Multiple Deprivation, Germany: log of total household net income) as part of the FIML missing data imputation to account for selective drop-out of certain populations due to SES and income in the longitudinal sample (Enders,

2001). The use of auxiliary variables (i.e., variables that are not included in the model but are added to allow FIML to take them into account when imputing missing data) has been shown to outperform procedures such as application of attrition weights (Davis-Kean et al., 2015). We did not apply sampling weights and therefore cannot fully generalise our results to the whole UK or German population. We also note here that some adolescents in the datasets are from the same household (see Supplementary Materials). To assess model fit we report the chi-square test with degrees of freedom, RMSEA and its confidence interval, the Comparative Fit Index and the standardized root mean squared residuals. We report model fit (Schermelleh-Engel et al., 2003) as follows: $RMSEA < 0.05$ (acceptable: 0.05-0.08), $CFI > 0.97$ (acceptable: 0.95-0.97) and $SRMR < 0.05$ (acceptable: 0.05-0.10), although we note that LGM's may show poorer fit in some circumstances (DeRoche, 2009). Our growth modelling approach allowed us to capture change over time. As we hypothesized change would likely be non-linear, we fit a series of different models. This included a standard linear growth model (with slope loadings 0, 1, 2 etc.), a quadratic growth factor added to the linear factor, as well as a latent-basis model. The latter model is most flexible and a suitable model if linear or polynomial change may be too restrictive (e.g. Stoel, Wittenboer & Hox, 2004): By only constraining (usually) the first and last factor loadings, it allows us to capture a wide range of non-linear shapes (e.g. cf. McArdle 2009, p. 594; Stoel, Wittenboer & Hox, 2004, p. 11-12) with the “price” of added complexity in terms of a greater number of parameters. We compared a series of models that vary in both the complexity of the functional form, as well as in the restrictiveness of other model properties (e.g. equality constraining of error variances across time and/or groups), and use model selection to decide on the optimal balance of model fit versus complexity (e.g. see Myung & Pitt, 2002, Stoel, Wittenboer & Hox, 2004).

Sex Differences

To examine when sex differences emerge, we fit two types of model to data at each age. One model predicted life satisfaction by taking into account sex ($life\ satisfaction \sim 1 + sex$) while the other model did not include this factor ($life\ satisfaction \sim 1 + 0*sex$, i.e. only computing the intercept and not regressing sex onto life satisfaction). We use Akaike weights (AIC weights, Wagenmakers & Farrell, 2004) to test whether a version of such a model that includes sex outperforms a model that does not include sex. We also use the ratios of these AIC weights to show by how much the model with sex differences incorporated is favoured. If there is no substantial sex difference in a given parameter, this procedure will favour the more parsimonious model that assumes no such differences; if there is a sex difference, then the greater explanatory power will outweigh the added complexity of additional parameters (Myung & Pitt, 2002). Within this framework, values below one favour a model without sex, values above one favour a model that includes sex (Farrell & Wagenmakers, 2004).

We continued by running longitudinal Latent Growth Curve to examine the sex differences highlighted. As these were more exploratory for the UK data, we first fit models to 20% of data before expanding to the whole dataset, at which time we added some minor changes to the model due to fit. We chose 20% as this balanced the need for adequate statistical power in the model-building dataset, against the aim to subsequently test the models on a larger dataset.

We first examined the functional form (linear, quadratic or latent-basis model), comparing the models using a likelihood ratio test. We progressed using a latent-basis model and then freed or constrained error variances between waves and groups. Lastly, we used a similar approach to compare group differences in substantive parameters (e.g. mean/variance of the slope or intercept parameters). In the German data we followed a pre-registered approach, first examining functional form (linear, quadratic or latent-basis model) using

likelihood ratio tests. We then tested freed versus constrained error variances between waves and groups, progressing to testing whether sex differences are present by freeing and constraining slope loadings across sex. As a model that had the same slope loadings for both sexes was found to be preferred, we did not proceed with fitting further model iterations.

Code and Pre-Registration Availability Statement

The data cleaning and analysis code is available on the OSF: https://osf.io/9kd2r/?view_only=d3dbcef9a05e431b9c22b91e466c01a3. Furthermore, this manuscript is fully reproducible and the appropriate R markdown is available on the OSF. The pre-registration of the analyses to be performed on the German data is also available on the OSF: 10.17605/OSF.IO/RN29V. See Supplementary Materials for the Data Availability Statement.

Results

Cross-Sectional Life Satisfaction Levels

While most previous studies of lifespan life satisfaction have examined adult populations, with a minority investigating the ages 15 or 16 onwards, Figure 1 shows that the difference in life satisfaction scores between the beginning and end of adolescence is substantial (UK: life satisfaction at age 10 = 6.07 vs at age 24 = 5.09; difference equates to 0.84 SD using the SD at age 10; Germany: life satisfaction at age 12 = 8.39 vs at age 24 = 7.35; difference equates to 0.63 SD using the SD at age 12). Indeed, there is no other time between adolescence and adulthood where differences for similarly short intervals are so pronounced in either dataset. The Supplementary Materials provide additional analyses to address concerns about potential repeated measures, instrumentation effects and clustering by household (Figure S1, Figure S2 and Figure S3 respectively). In Figure S4 we show a

random selection of 75 UK adolescent participant's raw life satisfaction scores, highlighting large variation in scores on an annual basis.

Figure 1. Cross-sectional trajectory of life satisfaction for both UK and German populations between age 10 and 80 and age 12 and 80 respectively (black line = mean value by age, grey ribbon = 95% CI error bars, teal points = individual data points). Please note that the error bars are very small due to the large sample size analysed.

Longitudinal Life Satisfaction Trajectories

While cross-sectional analyses allow us to examine associations between age and life satisfaction, longitudinal analyses are necessary to track true within-subject trajectories, which need not match cross-sectional associations unless strict assumptions (e.g., ergodicity) are met (Kievit et al., 2013; Molenaar et al., 2003). Throughout the study we analysed the UK data first, developing an analytical strategy that was then pre-registered and applied to

the German dataset. For both the UK and the German data, the best fitting model determined by a Likelihood Ratio Test was a latent basis model, where only the first (0) and last (1) loadings were constrained and all others were freely estimated. In the UK data we fitted a model with freed error variances and in the German data we fitted a model with constrained error variances to allow for better model convergence. The fit measures were good, except the SRMR measure for the German dataset, which could be a result of large residuals due to the two years of missing data between ages 14 and 17 (UK: $\chi^2(102) = 1061.358$, $p < 0.001$, RMSEA = 0.019 [0.02, 0.018], CFI = 0.91, SRMR = 0.069; Germany: $\chi^2(51) = 102.004$, $p < 0.001$, RMSEA = 0.01 [0.013, 0.007], CFI = 0.961, SRMR = 0.199).

The UK baseline model estimated a life satisfaction score of 6.09 (SD = 0.75) at age 10, corresponding to an average response between 6 (smiling face) and 7 (very smiling face) on a 7-point visual scale. Yet, there are pronounced between-person differences in life satisfaction at this time point (variance est = 0.56, SE = 0.02, $p < 0.001$). To study how this change unfolds over time, we fit a latent basis model which allowed us to estimate a flexible, non-linear shape of change, which is shown in Figure 2 (left). The model shows a near universal decrease of life satisfaction between the ages of 10 to 24. The most prominent decrease in life satisfaction occurs early in adolescence while the decrease decelerates in later adolescence (see Table S1 for slope loadings). The mean slope (est = -0.98, SE = 0.03, $p < 0.001$) as well as the slope variance (est = 0.97, SE = 0.07, $p < 0.001$) is pronounced, suggesting both population level change and considerable individual differences in the degree to which individuals expressed this change. We observed a substantial negative correlation between the adolescents' starting point at age 10 and their trajectory (est = -0.31, SE = 0.03, $p < 0.001$): those adolescents with a higher initial life satisfaction show a steeper decrease throughout adolescence. To visualize these individual differences in life satisfaction patterns, we plot the model-implied trajectories for each individual (Figure 2, left, black lines) as well as the trajectory for a simulated participant starting at the population mean and population mean slope (Figure 2, left, teal line).

409 The model fit to German data estimated a life satisfaction score of 8.36 (SD = 0.97) at
 410 age 12 (between 8 and 9 on a 10-point numerical scale from 0 = “completely dissatisfied” to
 411 10 = “completely satisfied”). Many of the characteristics of the model estimates were similar
 412 to the UK data (Figure 2, right). There were prominent between-person differences in life
 413 satisfaction at age 12 (variance est = 0.93, SE = 0.16, $p < 0.001$) and life satisfaction
 414 decreased more quickly in early adolescence compared to late adolescence (see Table S2 for
 415 slope loadings, mean slope: est = -0.97, SE = 0.07, $p < 0.001$). However, the variance in
 416 slope (est = 1.02, SE = 0.58, $p = 0.078$) and the correlation between an adolescent’s starting
 417 point at age 12 and their trajectory were non-significant (est = -0.06, SE = 0.28, $p = 0.822$).

Figure 2. Longitudinal life satisfaction trajectories in UK and German data, ages 10 to 24 years and 12 to 24 years respectively. Latent Growth Curve estimated life satisfaction trajectories for adolescents (black lines) and trajectory for a simulated adolescent with a mean life satisfaction at age 10 and 12 respectively (teal line).

Sex Differences

Having investigated the trajectories of life satisfaction in adolescence, one relevant avenue for further investigation is the sex differences that have been highlighted in previous work (Chui & Wong, 2016). When comparing for sex in the cross-sectional UK data, we find a prominent difference emerging in early adolescence: girls show a more pronounced drop in life satisfaction scores than boys, but this difference levels off in later adolescence when boys start reporting lower life satisfaction than girls at times (Figure 3, top). In the German data, the decrease in life satisfaction for young adolescent girls is less distinct from boys (Figure 3, bottom): this could be due to an absence of effect or the lower density of data collected (adolescent data in the German sample was not collected annually, and was only available for aged 12, 14 and 17). The German data, however, has clearer evidence of older adolescent and young adult males scoring lower in life satisfaction than their female counterparts, complementing the trend found in the UK data. Additional analyses in the Supplementary Materials show that alternative explanations such as repeated measurements (Figure S5), instrumentation effects (Figure S6) and household clustering (Figure S7) do not affect this finding. Further, while adolescent sex differences in life satisfaction appear (and disappear) at slightly different age groups for different UK birth cohorts, they are consistently present throughout the data collected (Figure S8).

Applying an AIC weights approach to UK data we find that there is an overwhelmingly strong preference for a model allowing for sex differences to exist between the ages of 13 and 18 (AIC weights ratios between 39,000 and 3 trillion trillion, see also Table S3 and Figure S10). While some other ages like 10 (ratio = 139.97), 27 (ratio = 7.85) or 29 (ratio = 15.12) additionally show modest evidence for sex differences, the evidence for sex differences in the UK data is clearly most compelling in the mid-adolescence range from 13 to 18 years. In the German data the most compelling sex differences were found in adulthood: at ages 25-27 (ratio at 25 = 25.72, ratio at 26 = 19.99, ratio at 27 = 5,186.66) and 30-32 (ratio at 30 =

Figure 3. Life satisfaction scores by age for 91,267 10-80 year-old UK and 41,275 12-80 year-old German participants. Top: The UK data split by sex shows that adolescence is also a time of substantial sex differences with girls showing an earlier drop in life satisfaction scores than boys, which lasts till late adolescents when the sex differences get smaller, and males do slightly worse than females in terms of life satisfaction. Bottom: The German data presents less clear sex differences in early adolescence than the UK data, even though girls do show lower life satisfaction than boys during that time. The German data however shows a prominent sex difference in life satisfaction during late adolescence and early adulthood, when males score lower in life satisfaction than females.

86.41, ratio at 31 = 446.95, ratio at 32 = 52.44). In the mid-adolescent age range there was little evidence for sex differences being apparent in the German data (ratio at 12 = 0.38, ratio at 14 = 0.63, ratio at 17 = 5.14; see also Table S4 and Figure S11).

United Kingdom. To understand the sex differences in life satisfaction trajectories in the UK data in more detail we harnessed the longitudinal scope of the data by fitting multi-group Latent Growth Curve models to participants between the ages of 10 and 21 for females and males separately (13-18 years +/- 3 years). We built our models on 20% of the data to test the modelling approach, before fitting and adjusting them on the whole data (we note here that fitting models on 80% of the data yielded virtually identical results). The total sample for this analysis was 72,476 measurement occasions from 22,531 adolescents. Our final model's fit statistics were acceptable ($\chi^2(127) = 810.55$, $p < 0.001$, RMSEA = 0.02 [0.02, 0.02], CFI = 0.92, SRMR = 0.06).

To compare group differences and similarities we selectively freed, and constrained, parts of the Latent Growth Curve model within (over time) and between groups using a likelihood ratio test. First, we examined life satisfaction at baseline by freeing the mean of the intercept. Doing so, we find that females have a higher life satisfaction than males at age 10 ($\Delta\chi^2(1) = 24.36$, $p < 0.001$; female: est = 6.16, SE = 0.02, $p < 0.001$; male: est = 6.02, SE = 0.03, $p < 0.001$, the group difference was moderate in size: 0.19 pooled SD). However, the variance of the mean life satisfaction at age 10 did not differ between sexes ($\Delta\chi^2(1) = 0.03$, $p = 0.85$; est = 0.53, SE = 0.02). Second, we examine slope loadings. We find that a free basis model with different patterns of loadings between sexes fits better ($\Delta\chi^2(10) = 241.02$, $p < 0.001$) than one that assumes the same developmental shape (for a comparison of slope loadings see Table S5). Although direct comparisons of sex differences in slope intercept and variance are not straightforward given the specific model constraints with regard to slope loadings, we observe substantially more negative slopes in females than males meaning that they decrease at a faster rate earlier in adolescence ($\Delta\chi^2(1) = 10.36$, $p = 0.001$; female:

est = -0.99, SE = 0.04, $p < 0.001$; male: est = -0.82, SE = 0.04, $p < 0.001$). Moreover, males showed greater variance for their slope estimates than females; they therefore show more within group differences in their life satisfaction trajectory over the time span examined (constrained model failed to converge; female: est = 0.80, SE = 0.09, $p < 0.001$; male: est = 1.15, SE = 0.12, $p < 0.001$). Lastly, we find that these sex differences remain almost identical when we use a model with equality constrained slope loadings (Figure S9).

Figure 4. Longitudinal Latent Growth Curve Models of life satisfaction between 10 and 21 years in the UK dataset, differentiating by sex. Panel A = Latent Growth Curve Model implied trajectories of life satisfaction for all participants (split by sex). The coloured lines show the implied trajectory for a simulated adolescent girl or boy who starts at their sex's mean life satisfaction at age 10. Panel B: The model implied trajectories for a simulated adolescent girl and boy who starts at their sex's mean life satisfaction at age 10 are overlaid to accommodate comparison.

The differences between the life satisfaction trajectories in males and females can be

seen when plotting the model implied trajectories of the participants in the data. Females show a quicker decrease from a higher initial life satisfaction, while males show a later decrease but more variance in the amount they decrease over adolescence (Figure 4). The coloured lines in Figure 4 that plot the trajectory for a simulated adolescent starting at their sex's mean and sex's mean slope reinforce this trend and show that female and male differences decrease in late adolescence and ultimately disappear around age 21 (Figure 4, Panel A coloured lines and Figure 4, Panel B colour lines overlaid to aid comparison). Taken together, our findings from UK data would suggest that during adolescence males and females differ in the nature of their life satisfaction trajectory, the extent to which they express these changes and the degree to which they differ from each other.

Germany. We pre-registered the approach taken above on the UK data, to be repeated on the German data to examine whether sex differences would also be present. We fit free basis Latent Growth Curve models with constrained error variances that either constrained the slopes loadings to be equal across sex, or allowed the slope loadings to vary. We find that the free basis model with the same patterns of slope loadings between sexes fits better than one that assumes different developmental shapes ($\Delta\chi^2(5) = 6.39, p = 0.270$). We therefore invoked our pre-registered plan not to continue further model testing procedures, as there was no clear evidence for sex differences. As stated above, this lack of sex differences could be due to a lack of differences, cultural differences in when and how sex differences manifest, or because the dataset was both smaller (22,531 UK participants aged 10-21 years vs 7,974 German participants aged 12-21 years) and has a lower density of data collected (mean number of waves per participant: 3.22 UK vs 1.48 Germany), especially for the younger adolescent age range of interest where the German dataset had complete missing data for ages 13, 15 and 16 years.

Discussion

This study analysed two large-scale longitudinal datasets with up to nine waves of annual life satisfaction data from 37,076 UK and German adolescent participants aged 10-24 years and 95,466 adult participants aged 25 or over. Cross-sectionally we find that adolescence harbours the steepest and most pronounced drops in life satisfaction across the whole adult lifespan in both datasets, and that this drop is steepest before the time frame normally studied by longitudinal surveys (16-18 years or older). Only in the UK dataset do adolescents also show substantial sex differences in life satisfaction levels: girls start at a slightly higher baseline life satisfaction at age 10, but show an earlier decrease in life satisfaction than adolescent boys. In both datasets, male life satisfaction trajectories “catch up” later in adolescence when life satisfaction scores converge across sexes, and in the German dataset female life satisfaction starts outperforming male life satisfaction when moving into adulthood.

Life Satisfaction Decreases in Adolescence

There are two different explanations for why adolescents show drastic decreases in life satisfaction throughout the adolescent age range. First, the drop in life satisfaction scores during adolescence could be driven by conditions of life getting worse during this period, e.g. increasing social insecurity, autonomy or uncertainty, or the change being a consequence of certain developmental changes (Andersen & Teicher, 2008; Bisegger et al., 2005). While life satisfaction is not identical to mental health, adolescents experience prominent increases in mental disorders such as depression or anxiety and decreases in other forms of subjective wellbeing (Bradford et al., 2002; Casas & González-Carrasco, 2019; González-Carrasco et al., 2017; Kwong et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2015). This supports the notion that life satisfaction drops due to decreases in quality of life. We also find that decreases in life satisfaction are steepest in early adolescence, which presents a period of social re-orientation; the decrease in

life satisfaction may be symptomatic of a motivation to engage in developmental tasks such as individuation, exploration and connection to peers (Majorano et al., 2015).

Second, the appraisal process that determines the response to a life satisfaction question might also change throughout adolescence due to alterations in cognitive or social processes (Murre et al., 2013; Tamnes et al., 2013; Mogilner et al., 2011). For example, the continued development of the “social brain” in adolescence allows for increased and improved mentalising, i.e. adolescents’ skill in understanding how others think and feel (Blakemore & Mills, 2014; Kilford et al., 2016; Sebastian et al., 2011). Further, adolescents’ interest in peers increases and so does the influence of their peer group on behaviour (Maxwell, 2002; Goldbeck et al., 2007; Helseth & Misvaer, 2010). A decrease in life satisfaction scores could therefore also be linked to changes in cognitive and social appraisals of what a question about one’s satisfaction with life means, such as an increased sense of a larger social world or comparing one’s own life to more stringent and competitive benchmarks.

Sex Differences in Life Satisfaction Trajectories

Our study adds another perspective to studies highlighting a difference between boys’ and girls’ wellbeing in adolescence, where girls score systematically lower on subjective wellbeing questionnaires than boys (Campbell et al., 2020). Our cross-sectional UK adolescent data supports this finding: yet longitudinally it becomes apparent that the gap closes later in adolescence and might even reverse in young adulthood (epidemiological studies have found such a narrowing of the difference between sexes when age increases, Seedat et al., 2009). The German data provides less evidence of a difference between sexes in early adolescence; it however provides evidence for the reversal in early adulthood when young men report lower life satisfaction than women.

Overall, these findings highlight that cross-sectional analyses may overestimate sex

differences (c.f. Campbell et al., 2020). The UK data in particular indicates that decreases in life satisfaction in boys simply occur later than they do in girls. This might be symptomatic of “accelerated maturation” of adolescent girls. As girls proceed through certain developmental stages, e.g. puberty (Sisk & Foster, 2004), earlier than their male counterparts, this might induce an earlier decrease in life satisfaction. While the understanding that there is a gap between boys’ and girls’ wellbeing encourages researchers and policymakers to focus on boosting female life satisfaction and wellbeing throughout adolescence, an “accelerated maturation” hypothesis emphasizes that male decreases in life satisfaction should not be discounted. Males, for example, have a higher incidence of externalizing problems (e.g. alcohol and substance use) than females (Kessler et al., 2005, 2007; Seedat et al., 2009), and these difficulties can emerge later in adolescence. Interventions might thus need to be adapted in both scope and timing to fit their needs.

Limitations

This study therefore opens many avenues for potential research, including examining how major changes in cognitive and social appraisal during development might be altering adolescents’ response to life satisfaction questions. There is also potential for future research to address some of the limitations of this study. Firstly, our work relies on a single item measure of life satisfaction; while this measure triumphs in its ease of data collection, a more diverse set of measurements would be helpful to gauge measurement changes, challenges, and nuances. For example, the interpretation and nature of life satisfaction might be changing across the life span, something that could be examined using measurement invariance with a more extensive measure. Further, due to harmonization we could only focus on life satisfaction, however mental health or other well-being measures would have been insightful to include. Finally, the data was collected from UK and German populations, but to guide a global understanding of life satisfaction a greater variety of countries would need to be

studied.

Conclusion

The last decade has seen adolescence become progressively recognised as a core developmental stage; adolescence is a period where many of the key health, social and developmental trajectories experienced in adulthood first crystallise (Blakemore, 2019; Lamblin et al., 2017; Orben et al., 2020). This recognition has led to large research and funding investments that aim to improve adolescents' mental health, cognitive and social outcomes (UK Research & Innovation, 2020; Wellcome Trust, 2020). In parallel, there has been interest in measuring and improving global wellbeing levels, with initiatives focusing primarily on adults (e.g. Gallup, 2019). These two developments have been co-occurring without much reciprocal recognition, as many of the large-scale longitudinal studies of wellbeing trajectories only analyzed those participants aged over 16 or 18 years. This study however shows that early adolescence harbours important and substantial changes in subjective well-being that need to be further understood. To start mapping and comprehending wellbeing across the lifespan, and with it the near-universal downward trajectories of adolescent life satisfaction highlighted in this paper, behavioural scientists need to recognise the urgent need to start merging adult and adolescent research perspectives.

References

- Adler, M. D., & Fleurbaey, M. (Eds.). (2016). *The Oxford Handbook of Well-Being and Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.
- Andersen, S. L., & Teicher, M. H. (2008). Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 31(4), 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2008.01.004>
- Baird, B. M., Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2010). Life Satisfaction Across the Lifespan: Findings from Two Nationally Representative Panel Studies. *Social Indicators Research*, 99(2), 183–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-010-9584-9>
- Bisegger, C., Cloetta, B., von Bisegger, U., Abel, T., Ravens-Sieberer, U., & the European Kidscreen group. (2005). Health-related quality of life: Gender differences in childhood and adolescence. *Sozial- Und Präventivmedizin SPM*, 50(5), 281–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-005-4094-2>
- Blakemore, S.-J. (2019). Adolescence and mental health. *Lancet*, 393(10185), 2030–2031. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31013-X)
- Blakemore, S.-J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is Adolescence a Sensitive Period for Sociocultural Processing? *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65(1), 187–207. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202>
- Blanchflower, David G. “Is Happiness U-Shaped Everywhere? Age and Subjective Well-Being in 145 Countries”. *Journal of Population Economics* 34, no. 2 (April 2021): 575–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-020-00797-z>.
- Blanchflower, D. G., & Oswald, A. J. (2008). Is well-being U-shaped over the life cycle? *Social Science & Medicine*, 66(8), 1733–1749.
- Bradford, R., Rutherford, D. L., & John, A. (2002). Quality of life in young people: Ratings

and factor structure of the Quality of Life Profile—Adolescent Version. *Journal of Adolescence*, 25(3), 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jado.2002.0469>

Brix, N., Ernst, A., Lauridsen, L. L. B., Parner, E., Støvring, H., Olsen, J., Henriksen, T. B., & Ramlau-Hansen, C. H. (2019). Timing of puberty in boys and girls: A population-based study. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 33(1), 70–78. PubMed. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12507>

Calmeiro, Luis, Inês Camacho, and Margarida Gaspar de Matos. “Life Satisfaction in Adolescents: The Role of Individual and Social Health Assets”. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology* 21 (2018): E23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sjp.2018.24>.

Campbell, O., Bann, D., & Patalay, P. (2020). The gender gap in adolescent mental health: A cross-national investigation of 566,827 adolescents across 73 countries [Preprint]. *Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.20129312>

Casas, F., & González-Carrasco, M. (2019). Subjective Well-Being Decreasing With Age: New Research on Children Over 8. *Child Development*, 90(2), 375–394. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13133>

Cheng, T. C., Powdthavee, N., & Oswald, A. J. (2017). Longitudinal Evidence for a Midlife Nadir in Human Well-Being: Results from Four Data Sets. *The Economic Journal*, 127(599), 126–142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12256>

Cheung, F., & Lucas, R. E. (2014). Assessing the validity of single-item life satisfaction measures: Results from three large samples. *Quality of Life Research*, 23(10), 2809–2818.

Chui, W. H., & Wong, M. Y. H. (2016). Gender Differences in Happiness and Life Satisfaction Among Adolescents in Hong Kong: Relationships and Self-Concept. *Social Indicators Research*, 125(3), 1035–1051.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0867-z>

Clark A.E. (2019) Born to Be Mild? Cohort Effects Don't (Fully) Explain Why Well-Being Is U-Shaped in Age. In: Rojas M. (eds) *The Economics of Happiness*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15835-4_17

Costanza, R., Kubiszewski, I., Giovannini, E., Lovins, H., McGlade, J., Pickett, K. E., Ragnarsdóttir, K. V., Roberts, D., De Vogli, R., & Wilkinson, R. (2014). Development: Time to leave GDP behind. *Nature*, 505(7483), 283–285. <https://doi.org/10.1038/505283a>

Davis-Kean, Pamela E., Justin Jager, and Julie Maslowsky. “Answering Developmental Questions Using Secondary Data”. *Child Development Perspectives* 9, no. 4 (December 2015): 256–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12151>.

Deary, I. J. (2014). The Stability of Intelligence From Childhood to Old Age. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 23(4), 239–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414536905>

Diener, E., Lucas, R. E., & Oishi, S. (2018). Advances and Open Questions in the Science of Subjective Well-Being. *Collabra: Psychology*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.115>

Dolan, P., & White, M. P. (2007). How can measures of subjective well-being be used to inform public policy? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2(1), 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1745-6916.2007.00030.x>

Enders, C. K. (2001). A Primer on Maximum Likelihood Algorithms Available for Use With Missing Data. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 8(1), 128–141. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0801_7

662 Fox, J. (2012). The Economics of Well-Being. *Harvard Business Review*, January-February
663 2012.

664 Frijters, P., & Beatton, T. (2012). The mystery of the U-shaped relationship between
665 happiness and age. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 82(2), 525–542.
666 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2012.03.008>

667 Frijters, P., & Krekel, C. (2019). A handbook for wellbeing policy-making in the UK: history,
668 measurement, theory, implementation, and examples.

669 Galambos, N. L., Krahn, H. J., Johnson, M. D., & Lachman, M. E. (2020). The U Shape of
670 Happiness Across the Life Course: Expanding the Discussion. *Perspectives on*
671 *Psychological Science*, 15(4), 898–912. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620902428>

672 Gallup. (2019). Gallup Global Emotions.

673 Gerstorf, D., Ram, N., Estabrook, R., Schupp, J., Wagner, G. G., & Lindenberger, U. (2008).
674 Life satisfaction shows terminal decline in old age: longitudinal evidence from the
675 German Socio-Economic Panel Study (SOEP). *Developmental Psychology*, 44(4),
676 1148.

677 Gilman, R., & Huebner, S. (2003). A review of life satisfaction research with children and
678 adolescents. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 18(2), 192–205.
679 <https://doi.org/10.1521/scpq.18.2.192.21858>

680 Glenn, N. (2009). Is the apparent U-shape of well-being over the life course a result of
681 inappropriate use of control variables? A commentary on Blanchflower and Oswald
682 (66: 8, 2008, 1733–1749). *Social Science & Medicine*, 69(4), 481–485.
683 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.05.038>

684 Goebel, J., Grabka, M. M., Liebig, S., Kroh, M., Richter, D., Schröder, C. & Schupp, J.

(2019). The German Socio-Economic Panel Study (SOEP). *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik / Journal of Economics and Statistics* , 239(2), 345-360.

Goldbeck, L., Schmitz, T. G., Besier, T., Herschbach, P., & Henrich, G. (2007). Life satisfaction decreases during adolescence. *Quality of Life Research*, 16(6), 969–979. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-007-9205-5>

Gondek, Dawid, David Bann, Praveetha Patalay, Alissa Goodman, Eoin McElroy, Marcus Richards, and George B. Ploubidis. “Psychological Distress from Early Adulthood to Early Old Age: Evidence from the 1946, 1958 and 1970 British Birth Cohorts”. *Psychological Medicine*, 21 January 2021, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172000327X>.

González-Carrasco, M., Casas, F., Malo, S., Viñas, F., & Dinisman, T. (2017). Changes with Age in Subjective Well-Being Through the Adolescent Years: Differences by Gender. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 18(1), 63–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-016-9717-1>

Graham, C., and S. Pettinato. “Frustrated Achievers: Winners, Losers and Subjective Well-Being in New Market Economies”. *Journal of Development Studies* 38, no. 4 (April 2002): 100–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380412331322431>.

Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J., & De Neve, J.-E. (2020). *World Happiness Report 2020* (eds. 2020). Sustainable Development Solutions Network.

Helseth, S., & Misvaer, N. (2010). Adolescents’ perceptions of quality of life: What it is and what matters. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(9–10), 1454–1461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03069.x>

Huebner, E. S., Funk, B. A., & Gilman, R. (2000). Cross-sectional and Longitudinal

Psychosocial Correlates of Adolescent Life Satisfaction Reports. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 16(1), 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/082957350001600104>

Huppert, Felicia A., and Joyce E. Whittington. “Evidence for the Independence of Positive and Negative Well-Being: Implications for Quality of Life Assessment”. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 8, no. 1 (February 2003): 107–22. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135910703762879246>.

Joshanloo, M., & Jovanović, V. (2020). The relationship between gender and life satisfaction: Analysis across demographic groups and global regions. *Archives of Women’s Mental Health*, 23(3), 331–338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-019-00998-w>

Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustun, T. B. (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 20(4), 359–364. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c>

Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(6), 593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593>

Kessler, R. C., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Wittchen, H.-U. (2012). Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States: Anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 21(3), 169–184. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1359>

Kievit, R. A., Frankenhuis, W. E., Waldorp, L. J., & Borsboom, D. (2013). Simpson’s paradox in psychological science: A practical guide. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00513>

Kilford, E. J., Garrett, E., & Blakemore, S. J. (2016). The development of social cognition in adolescence: An integrated perspective. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 70, 106–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.016>

Kwong, A. S. F., Manley, D., Timpson, N. J., Pearson, R. M., Heron, J., Sallis, H., Stergiakouli, E., Davis, O. S. P., & Leckie, G. (2019). Identifying Critical Points of Trajectories of Depressive Symptoms from Childhood to Young Adulthood. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 48(4), 815–827. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-018-0976-5>

Lamblin, M., Murawski, C., Whittle, S., & Fornito, A. (2017). Social connectedness, mental health and the adolescent brain. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 80, 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.05.010>

Li, S. C., Lindenberger, U., Hommel, B., Aschersleben, G., Prinz, W., & Baltes, P. B. (2004). Transformations in the couplings among intellectual abilities and constituent cognitive processes across the life span. *Psychological Science*, 15(3), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.01503003.x>

Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2012). Estimating the Reliability of Single-Item Life Satisfaction Measures: Results from Four National Panel Studies. *Social Indicators Research*, 3, 323–331.

Lynn, P. (2009). Sample design for Understanding Society. *Understanding Society Working Paper Series*.

Majorano, Marinella, Alessandro Musetti, Margherita Brondino, and Paola Corsano. “Loneliness, Emotional Autonomy and Motivation for Solitary Behavior During Adolescence”. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* 24, no. 11 (November 2015): 3436–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-015-0145-3>.

Maxwell, K. A. (2002). Friends: The Role of Peer Influence Across Adolescent Risk Behaviors. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 31(4), 267–277.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015493316865>

McAdams, K. K., Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2012). The Role of Domain Satisfaction in Explaining the Paradoxical Association Between Life Satisfaction and Age. *Social Indicators Research*, 109(2), 295–303. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-011-9903-9>

McArdle, J. J. (2009). Latent Variable Modeling of Differences and Changes with Longitudinal Data. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60(1), 577–605.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163612>

Mogilner, Cassie, Sepandar D. Kamvar, and Jennifer Aaker. “The Shifting Meaning of Happiness”. *Social Psychological and Personality Science* 2, no. 4 (July 2011): 395–402. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550610393987>.

Molenaar, P. C. M, Hilde M. Huizenga, and J. R. Nesselroade. “The Relationship between the Structure of Inter–Individual and Intra–Individual Variability: A Theoretical and Empirical Vindication of Developmental Systems Theory”. In *Understanding Human Development: Dialogues with Lifespan Psychology*, 339–60. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.

Moksnes, U. K., & Espnes, G. A. (2013). Self-esteem and life satisfaction in adolescents—Gender and age as potential moderators. *Quality of Life Research*, 22(10), 2921–2928. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-013-0427-4>

Murre, J. M. J., Janssen, S. M. J., Rouw, R., & Meeter, M. (2013). The rise and fall of immediate and delayed memory for verbal and visuospatial information from late childhood to late adulthood. *Acta Psychologica*, 142(1), 96–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2012.10.005>

- Newsom, J. T. (2015). *Longitudinal Structural Equation Modelling*. Routledge.
- Orben, A., Tomova, L., & Blakemore, S.-J. (2020). The effects of social deprivation on adolescent development and mental health. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 4(8), 634–640. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30186-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30186-3)
- Otterbach, S., Sousa-Poza, A., & Møller, V. (2018). A cohort analysis of subjective wellbeing and ageing: Heading towards a midlife crisis? *Longitudinal and Life Course Studies*, 9(4), 382–411. <https://doi.org/10.14301/llcs.v9i4.509>
- Piper, A. T. (2015). Sliding down the U-shape? A dynamic panel investigation of the age-well-being relationship, focusing on young adults. *Social Science & Medicine*, 143, 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.08.042>
- Pitt, M. A., Myung, I. J., & Zhang, S. (2002). Toward a method of selecting among computational models of cognition. *Psychological review*, 109(3), 472.
- Rhemtulla, Mijke, Patricia É. Brosseau-Liard, and Victoria Savalei. “When Can Categorical Variables Be Treated as Continuous? A Comparison of Robust Continuous and Categorical SEM Estimation Methods under Suboptimal Conditions.” *Psychological Methods* 17, no. 3 (September 2012): 354–73. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029315>.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). *lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling*. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1–36.
- Sawyer, S. M., Azzopardi, P. S., Wickremarathne, D., & Patton, G. C. (2018). The age of adolescence. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 2(3), 223–228. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(18\)30022-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30022-1)
- Schilling, O. K. (2005). Cohort- and age-related decline in elder’s life satisfaction: Is there really a paradox? *European Journal of Ageing*, 2(4), 254–263.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-005-0016-7>

Sebastian, C. L., Tan, G. C., Roiser, J. P., Viding, E., Dumontheil, I., & Blakemore, S. J. (2011). Developmental influences on the neural bases of responses to social rejection: Implications of social neuroscience for education. *NeuroImage*, 57(3), 686–694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.063>

Seedat, S., Scott, K. M., Angermeyer, M. C., Berglund, P., Bromet, E. J., Brugha, T. S., Demyttenaere, K., de Girolamo, G., Haro, J. M., Jin, R., Karam, E. G., Kovess-Masfety, V., Levinson, D., Medina Mora, M. E., Ono, Y., Ormel, J., Pennell, B.-E., Posada-Villa, J., Sampson, N. A., . . . Kessler, R. C. (2009). Cross-national associations between gender and mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66(7), 785–795. PubMed. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.36>

Singh, K., Ruch, W., & Junnarkar, M. (2015). Effect of the Demographic Variables and Psychometric Properties of the Personal Well-Being Index for School Children in India. *Child Indicators Research*, 8(3), 571–585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-014-9264-4>

Sisk, C. L., & Foster, D. L. (2004). The neural basis of puberty and adolescence. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7(10), 1040–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1326>

Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP), data for years 1984-2018, version 35, SOEP, 2018, doi:10.5684/soep.v35.

Steptoe, A., Deaton, A., & Stone, A. A. (2015). Subjective wellbeing, health, and ageing. *The Lancet*, 385(9968), 640–648. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)61489-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61489-0)

Stoel, R. D., van Den Wittenboer, G., & Hox, J. (2003). Analyzing Longitudinal Data using Multilevel Regression and Latent Growth Curve Analysis. *Metodologia de las*

828 Ciencias del Comportamiento.

829 Tamnes, C. K., Herting, M. M., Goddings, A. L., Meuwese, R., Blakemore, S. J., Dahl, R. E.,
830 Güroğlu, B., Raznahan, A., Sowell, E. R., Crone, E. A., & Mills, K. L. (2017).
831 Development of the cerebral cortex across adolescence: A multisample study of
832 interrelated longitudinal changes in cortical volume, surface area and thickness.
833 Journal of Neuroscience. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3302-16.2017>

834 Tamnes, C. K., Walhovd, K. B., Grydeland, H., Holland, D., Ostby, Y., Dale, A. M., & Fjell,
835 A. M. (2013). Longitudinal working memory development is related to structural
836 maturation of frontal and parietal cortices. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 25(10),
837 1611–1623. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00434

838 UK Research & Innovation. (2020). MRC/AHRC/ESRC Adolescence, Mental Health and
839 the Developing Mind: Call for Research Programmes. UKRI: Medical Research
840 Council. [https://mrc.ukri.org/funding/browse/amhdm/adolescence-mental-health-](https://mrc.ukri.org/funding/browse/amhdm/adolescence-mental-health-and-the-developing-mind/)
841 [and-the-developing-mind/](https://mrc.ukri.org/funding/browse/amhdm/adolescence-mental-health-and-the-developing-mind/)

842 Ullman, C., & Tatar, M. (2001). Psychological Adjustment Among Israeli Adolescent
843 Immigrants: A Report on Life Satisfaction, Self-Concept, and Self-Esteem. Journal of
844 Youth and Adolescence, 30(4), 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010445200081>

845 University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research. (2018). Data from
846 “Understanding Society: Waves 1-8, 2009–2017 and Harmonised BHPS: Waves 1-18,
847 1991–2009.” UK Data Service. <https://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6614-12>.

848 Uusitalo-Malmivaara, L. (2014). Happiness Decreases during Early Adolescence—A Study
849 on 12- and 15-Year-Old Finnish Students. Psychology, 05(06), 541–555.
850 <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2014.56064>

851 Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Farrell, S. (2004). AIC model selection using Akaike weights.

Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 11(1), 192–196.

<https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03206482>

Wellcome Trust. (2020). Mental health programme strategy. <https://wellcome.ac.uk/what-we-do/our-work/mental-health-transforming-research-and-treatments/strategy>

West, Patrick, and Helen Sweeting. “Fifteen, Female and Stressed: Changing Patterns of Psychological Distress over Time: Increased Psychological Distress among Females over Time”. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 44, no. 3 (March 2003): 399–411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00130>.

Willroth, E. C., Atherton, O. E., & Robins, R. W. (2020). Life satisfaction trajectories during adolescence and the transition to young adulthood: Findings from a longitudinal study of Mexican-origin youth. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000294>

Winkelmann, L., & Winkelmann, R. (1998). Why Are the Unemployed So Unhappy? Evidence from Panel Data. *Economica*, 65(257), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0335.00111>