Note:

This manuscript is a pre-print version of a manuscript accepted for publication in *Journal of Happiness Studies*. This article appears here in its accepted form, as it was provided by the submitting author. It has NOT been copy edited, proofed, or formatted by the publisher.

Please cite this paper as:

Canale, N., Marino, C., Lenzi, M. et al. How Communication Technology Fosters Individual and Social Wellbeing During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Preliminary Support For a Digital Interaction Model. *J Happiness Stud* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-021-00421-1>

How communication technology fosters individual and social wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic: Preliminary support for a digital interaction model

Natale Canale^{1*}, Claudia Marino¹, Michela Lenzi¹, Alessio Vieno¹, Mark D. Griffiths², Marta Gaboardi¹, Matteo Giraldo¹, Carmen Cervone¹, Santinello Massimo¹

¹ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialisation, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

² International Gaming Research Unit, Psychology Department, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK

RUNNING HEAD: Wellbeing and communication technologies

***Corresponding author:**

Natale Canale, PhD
Department of Developmental and Social Psychology
University of Padova, Via Venezia, 8 35131 - Padova - Italy
E-mail: natale.canale@unipd.it

1 **Length of paper:** 5579 words (main test)

2 **Declarations**

3

4 **Funding:** The work was supported by the University of Padua and from donors of the Coronavirus
5 Research Fundraising Campaign

6

7 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of
8 interest

9

10 **Compliance of ethical standard statement:** The research team's university ethical committee
11 provided approval for the study (protocol number: 3540). The study did not involve human and/or
12 animal experimentation and conformed to all guidelines according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

13

14 **Informed consent:** All participants received information about the study and gave their online
15 consent before starting the survey

16

17 **Acknowledgements:** The work presented was carried out within the scope of the research program
18 "Social and psychological benefits with the support of communication technologies" (Coronavirus
19 research, fundraising support by the University of Padua)

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 **Abstract**

2 The aim of the present study was to test an explanatory model for individual and social wellbeing
3 which incorporates the advantages of using digital technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic. The
4 study was carried out in Italy, one of the countries that has been most severely affected by the
5 pandemic worldwide. The study was designed to include variables that might be specifically pertinent
6 to the uniqueness of the restrictions imposed by the pandemic. Adults living in Italy (n=1412)
7 completed an online survey during the lockdown period in March 2020. Results showed two distinct
8 digital interaction processes highlighted by the facilitating use of online emotions (“e-motions”) and
9 online social support (“e-support”). In short, e-motions were positively related to posttraumatic
10 growth, which in turn was positively associated with positive mental health and higher engagement
11 in prosocial behaviors. Moreover, individuals who perceived themselves as having greater e-support
12 were characterized by higher levels of positive mental health, which it turn was positively associated
13 with prosocial behaviors. Collectively, these two digital interaction processes suggest that digital
14 technologies appear to be critical resources in helping individuals cope with difficulties raised by the
15 COVID-19 pandemic.

16 **Keywords:** coronavirus-19, COVID-19, social sharing of emotions, online social support,
17 posttraumatic growth, positive mental health, prosocial behaviors

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 **Introduction**

2 In March 2020, Italy was the first European country to adopt measures of spatial distancing (e.g.,
3 quarantine and self-isolation; Abel & McQueen, 2020) in order to delay and mitigate the impact of
4 the COVID-19 pandemic, and to follow the guidelines for the use of non-pharmaceutical measures
5 proposed by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC, 2020). At the time of
6 writing, the majority of the world population has been complying with the containment regulations
7 implemented by the respective countries. However, the American Psychological Association (APA)
8 recently stated that spending entire weeks at home (with limited resources, stimulation, and social
9 contacts) can seriously damage individuals' health and wellbeing, by increasing negative internal
10 states, such as fear, anxiety, depression, frustration and/or irritability (APA, 2020) as has also been
11 demonstrated by recent studies specifically examining the psychological impact of COVID-19 both
12 in Italy (Cellini et al., 2020; Soraci et al., 2020) and other countries (e.g., Ahorsu et al., 2020; Sakib
13 et al., 2020). Moreover, there are expectations about significant escalations in mental health problems
14 among the general population after the current pandemic crisis ends (Galea et al., 2020), especially
15 in countries characterized by economic vulnerability and negative economic consequences such as
16 Italy and Spain (Codagnone et al., 2020).

17 Despite such difficulties, individuals have also reacted by engaging in altruistic behaviors, such as
18 volunteering, donating money, and offering online social and emotional support to others (Aresi et
19 al., 2020; Brooks et al., 2020). Unique to COVID-19 is the widespread access to digital
20 communication technologies that helps buffer the negative outcomes related to the COVID-19
21 pandemic, for example by reducing loneliness and isolation and increasing belongingness via social
22 support (Gabbiadini et al., 2020). Although the recent literature has already studied the negative
23 psychological impact of COVID-19 (see Salari et al. [2020] for a meta-analysis), few studies have
24 focused on how positive outcomes may occur despite adversities. Therefore, the present study

examined the specifics of how digital communication technologies can help individuals coping with the difficulties raised by home confinement through fostering individual and social wellbeing.

Because of the restrictions imposed during the ongoing pandemic, individuals were not allowed to use common coping strategies to manage the difficult conditions of quarantine and isolation, such as going to the gym, attending sporting events, going to the cinema/theatre, or attending religious services. As an alternative, a useful coping strategy is staying *virtually connected with others* because virtual conversations (e.g., phone calls, text messages, video chats, and interaction on social media) can guarantee access to social support networks and allow individuals the opportunity to discuss their own experiences and associated emotions (APA, 2020). Over the past two decades, digital communication technologies (i.e., online support groups, blogs, social media) have been found to positively influence psychological outcomes in mass disaster contexts, such as Hurricane Katrina, terrorist attacks, and the earthquake in Haiti (e.g., Brunet et al., 2010). Two main social interaction processes have been highlighted following disastrous events: (i) online sharing of emotions (Rimè et al., 2020) and (ii) online social support (Herbert & Brunet, 2010).

Sharing emotions online

In exceptional circumstances, such as natural disasters (e.g., hurricanes and earthquakes), traumas are experienced by entire communities bringing out collective traumatic dynamics (Lowe et al., 2013; Manove et al., 2019; Włodarczyk et al., 2016). Taking into account the health and social impact of COVID-19 among the Italian population, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic presents characteristics of collective trauma experiences that have stimulated national identity and interpersonal trust (Ellena et al., 2021). Collective traumas have been found to elicit intense sharing of emotions (offline and online) among members of concerned communities (Rimè et al., 2020). Considering the worldwide increased usage of online digital technology during the coronavirus lockdown (Perez, 2020), it is plausible that individuals have shared their emotions using digital technologies, such as smartphones and social media. In fact, a recent study found that the COVID-19 outbreak has resulted in excessive

1 emotional experiences in users of *Weibo* (a leading Chinese online social networking platform) (Li,
2 Wang, Xue, Zhao, & Zhu, 2020).

3 In the context of the widespread tendency to express and manage emotions in the cyberspace, Zych
4 and colleagues (2017) introduced the multidimensional concept of “e-motions”, that is the expression,
5 perception, use, and regulation of emotions online. Drawing from the emotional intelligence literature
6 (Mayer & Salovey, 1997), e-motions comprise four main factors including (i) emotional expression
7 and (ii) emotional perception on social media, (iii) understanding and management of emotions
8 online, and (iv) beliefs about the facilitating role of emotion-expression on social media in
9 overcoming personal difficulties (Zych et al., 2017; Marino et al., 2020). The latter (facilitating use
10 of online emotions, henceforth “e-motions”) was chosen for the purpose of the present study because
11 the belief that the expression of e-motions may improve relationships and help in thinking as well as
12 making decisions might be particularly relevant in pandemic times characterized by (inter)personal
13 difficulties.

14 Online emotional disclosure elicits emotional responses (Bareket-Bojmel & Shahar, 2011), emotional
15 support (Pentina, & Zhang, 2017), and reinforces involvement in online social behaviors (Erreygers,
16 Vandebosch, Vranjes, Baillien, & De Witte, 2017). Online sharing of emotions also has clear
17 implications in the processes of mourning and reaction to traumatic events (Neubaum, Rösner,
18 Rosenthal-von der Pütten, & Krämer, 2014; Tedeschi, & Calhoun, 2004) by fostering the
19 reconstruction and reorganization of positive socially shared beliefs about the self and the world.
20 Emotions are evolutionary adaptations that guide individuals in responding to environmental
21 challenges (Nesse & Ellsworth, 2009). Mixed emotions have been found to be associated with
22 eudaimonic wellbeing (e.g., person’s sense that his or her life has purpose or meaning; Berrios et al.,
23 2018; Fredrickson, 2013). Higher sharing of emotions after a disaster (e.g., March 2004 terrorist
24 attack in Madrid and November 2015 terrorist attacks in Paris) predicted higher social integration,
25 posttraumatic growth, and prosocial behavior (Rimé et al., 2010; Garcia, & Rimé, 2019).

1 Several authors have argued that exposure to the COVID-19 pandemic and its related consequences
2 can also be considered an (individual) traumatic event with almost 20% of adults among the general
3 population suffering posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms during the early stages of the current
4 pandemic (Karatzias et al., 2020; Vasquez et al., 2021). Vasquez et al. (2021) argued that
5 posttraumatic growth, just after the onset of the pandemic crisis, could be considered an initial coping
6 strategy that allows the development of long-term positive outcomes in personality. Posttraumatic
7 growth has been defined in the field of Positive Psychology as the experience of positive changes that
8 follows highly challenging life crises (e.g., life-threatening diseases, Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004),
9 which also includes the human capacity for resilience (e.g., “the ability to maintain a stable
10 equilibrium”; Bonanno, 2004, p. 20) and the use by individuals of aversive events as a springboard
11 for further growth and development (Bonanno, 2004). It is manifested by increased appreciation of
12 life and sense of personal strength, changed priorities, a richer existential and spiritual life, and more
13 meaningful interpersonal relationships, which result in greater subjective wellbeing (e.g., Arpawong
14 et al., 2016; Dickinson, 2020; Ryff and Singer 2008). In addition to the intrapersonal benefits of post-
15 traumatic growth, other studies have demonstrated prosocial behaviors following trauma as a form of
16 post-traumatic growth (e.g., Frazier et al., 2013). From this viewpoint, in a situation characterized by
17 increased depression and isolation, it is extremely important to adopt a strength-based approach in
18 the context of emergencies and traumatic experience, in order to nurture individuals’ capacity to grow
19 from adversity (Dickinson, 2020).

20 **Online Social support**

21 Social support can be considered a psychosocial protective factor in relation to mental health
22 difficulties during the current pandemic. Perceived social support relates to the subjective evaluation
23 of how individuals perceive someone (e.g., friends and family members) as being available to provide
24 material, psychological, and overall support during times of need (Eagle et al., 2019). In non-
25 pandemic situations, several studies have suggested that social support is crucial for individual

1 wellbeing (Cohen, 2004; Leigh-Hunt et al., 2017; Siedlecki et al., 2014). A large body of research
2 has shown that social support is positively associated with mental health in terms of positive affect,
3 self-esteem (e.g., Cohen & Wills, 1985), and adjustment after a negative experience (e.g., Forbes &
4 Roger, 1999). A recent review of findings in the area of disaster mental health confirmed that
5 survivors' perception of being supported is essential for survivors' wellbeing and, in turn, improves
6 the quality of interpersonal relationships, for example in terms of intentions to help others and general
7 prosocial behaviors (Kaniasty, 2020).

8 Social support also helps individuals by alleviating posttraumatic reactions (e.g., Ozer, Best, Lipsey
9 & Weiss, 2003) and by promoting posttraumatic growth (e.g., Jia, Ying, Zhou, Wu, & Lin. 2015; Yu
10 et al., 2014), for example, by bolstering the ability to cope with imposed demands. Several recent
11 studies already demonstrated that higher levels of perceived social support mitigate the effect of
12 spatial distancing mandates during the COVID-19 pandemic by reducing loneliness, depression, and
13 PTSD, and increasing belongingness (e.g., Gabbiadini et al., 2020; Grey et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021).

14 During the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals' feelings of connectedness toward their social
15 relationships dramatically changed due to stay-at-home mandates and limited social contact because
16 individuals were asked to work from home, keep distance from others, and engage in self-quarantine
17 (World Health Organization, 2020). In this scenario, online technologies for communication have
18 functioned as a social connector by maintaining social connections and facilitating the exchange of
19 online social support among individuals living in home confinement (Gabbiadini et al., 2020). Online
20 social support concerns the sense of identity and belonging experienced by individuals when they feel
21 understood and respected during a process of emotional, information, and material exchange in online
22 interpersonal interactions. Online social support refers to an expansion of social support from real life
23 to cyberspace, which can improve the physical and mental health of individuals (Gilmour et al., 2020)
24 and can be provided at any time and shared beyond geographic boundaries. Also, digital technologies
25 provide opportunities to give and receive social support to individuals, especially for those who are

1 experiencing isolation at home. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic online social support
2 (henceforth “e-support”) represents the main form of social support many individuals have access to,
3 and is therefore an important issue in the field of cyberpsychology (Guitton, 2020).

4 **The present study**

5 During the COVID-19 pandemic, it is imperative to understand how the population, especially that
6 of severely affected countries such as Italy, has been coping with such difficult conditions of
7 quarantine and isolation. Some recent studies have reported the psychiatric impact of the novel
8 coronavirus outbreak (see Brooks et al., 2020 for rapid review of the evidence). However, few studies
9 have reported the impact of strategies to cope with the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on positive
10 mental health or quality of life (e.g., Zhang & Ma, 2020). The uniqueness of the restrictions imposed
11 by the pandemic appear to be an unprecedented research opportunity to test models that take into
12 account the advantages of digital technologies for communications, virtual meeting, and expression
13 of emotions and feelings.

14 Consistent with the reviewed theoretical backgrounds, the present authors developed the digital
15 interaction model for individuals living the COVID-19 pandemic, depicted in Figure 1. The top half
16 of the figure suggests that e-motions lead to posttraumatic growth and positive mental health, which
17 in turn are positively associated with prosocial behaviors (H1). The bottom half of the figure posits
18 that perceived e-support leads to posttraumatic growth and positive mental health, which in turn are
19 related to prosocial behaviors (H2). For the e-motions path to prosocial behaviors, it was hypothesized
20 that there would be indirect effects mediated by posttraumatic growth and positive mental health
21 (H3). For the e-support path to prosocial behaviors, it was hypothesized that there would be indirect
22 effects mediated by positive mental health and posttraumatic growth (H4). Also, considering that
23 previous studies find a positive relationship between social support and self-disclosure in general
24 (Lee et al., 2013; Liu and Brown 2014), it was hypothesized there would be a positive association
25 between e-support and e-motions (H5). Taken together, the two digital interaction processes (e-

1 motions and e-support) can make digital interactions critical resources in helping individuals cope
2 with difficulties raised by the COVID-19 pandemic.

3 [INSERT ABOVE HERE FIGURE 1]

4 5 **Methods**

6 *Procedure and participants*

7 Participants in the present study were recruited via an online survey powered by Qualtrics® during
8 the lockdown period in March 24-31 2020 (third week of the Italian lockdown). The lockdown was
9 decreed by the Italian government due to the emergency caused by COVID-19. All participants
10 received information about the study and gave their online consent before starting the survey. The
11 anonymity of the participants was guaranteed (no personal data or Internet Protocol address was
12 collected). No compensation was given for participating in the study. The research team's university
13 ethical committee provided approval for the study (protocol number: 3540). The study did not involve
14 human and/or animal experimentation and conformed to all guidelines according to the Declaration
15 of Helsinki. A total of 1413 participants completed the survey. From this sample, one participant was
16 excluded because he reported having been infected by COVID-19. The final sample consisted of 1412
17 adults living in the Italian territory (415 males; $M_{age} = 36.68$ years ($SD \pm 14.43$), age range = 18-86).
18 Regarding their education, the majority (59%) had a higher education level (Bachelor's or Master's
19 degree or PhD), 35% had a high-school diploma, and the remaining 5% comprised elementary,
20 secondary, or other. Regarding cohabitation status during the lockdown, 11% reported living alone,
21 25% reported living with one other individual, 24% reported living with two other individuals, 25%
22 reported living with three other individuals, 10% reported living with four other individuals, and 4%
23 reported living with five or more individuals. The study was part of a larger research project on the
24 psychosocial impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy, and other data not related to the present
25 study will be presented elsewhere.

1 ***Measures***

2 Psychometric scales included in the online survey were selected to prioritize instruments that had
3 been validated and published in Italian. The scales were presented in random order to control for the
4 influence that the order of presentation of different constructs might have had on answers. For the
5 present study, participants were asked to answer to each scale thinking specifically about the current
6 ongoing period of the COVID-19 emergency in Italy.

7 *Online social support* was assessed using the Italian version of the Offline/Online Social-Support
8 Scale (Wang & Wang, 2013, adapted from Leung & Lee, 2005; Italian version: Mazzoni et al., 2013).
9 The online social support subscale comprises 11 items (e.g., someone you can count on to listen to
10 you when you need to talk) rated on a four-point scale from 1 (never) to 4 (often). Participants were
11 asked to rate the frequency with which they have other individuals available to fulfill emotional and
12 social needs. Higher scores indicate a higher level of perceived online support. Cronbach's alpha in
13 the present study was .96 (95% CI [.95, .96]).

14 *Facilitating use of e-motions* was assessed by using one dimension of the E-motions Questionnaire
15 (Zych et al., 2017; Italian version: Marino et al., 2020). The dimension comprises four items rated on
16 a five-point scale from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). Participants were asked to
17 rate their agreement with each item thinking about their use of social media to facilitate emotion
18 expression, thoughts, and relationships with others during the specific situation of the COVID-19
19 pandemic. Therefore, items were slightly adapted to the emergency context (e.g., “I express my
20 emotions on social networks to overcome my difficulties *in this situation*”). Higher scores refer to
21 greater facility in expression e-motions. Cronbach's alpha in the present study was .80 (95% CI [.78,
22 .82]).

23 *Posttraumatic growth* was assessed using the Short Form of the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory
24 (Italian version: Prati & Pietrantonio, 2014). It comprises ten items (e.g., “I discovered that I’m

stronger than I thought I was”) evaluating positive changes after a traumatic experience. The PTGI is scored with a six-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (no change) to 6 (high change), with higher scores referring to greater posttraumatic growth. Cronbach's alpha in the present study was .91 (95% CI [.90, .92]).

Mental wellbeing was assessed using the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (WEMWBS; Italian version: Gremigni & Stewart-Brown, 2011). This scale encompasses most aspects of subjective wellbeing (hedonic perspective) and psychological functioning (eudaimonic perspective) addressing aspects of positive mental health. The scale consists of 14 positively worded items (e.g., I've been feeling relaxed, I have been thinking clearly), each rated on a five-point scale from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Higher scores reflect a higher level of mental well-being. Cronbach's alpha in the present study was .86 (95% CI [.85, .87]).

Prosocial behavior was assessed with three items asking participants how often they had been involved in different prosocial activities during these times of emergency. More specifically: (i) volunteering in activities for the elderly and individuals in need; (ii) donating to services that are working to contain the sanitary/social emergency caused by COVID-19; (iii) helping individuals in other ways. Items were adapted from Caprara et al. (2005) by selecting the most relevant activities for the current emergency and combining volunteering, informal help, and donations. Responses ranged from 1 (never) to 5 (all the time). Due to the fact that this measure consists of only three items and the type of Likert scale was discrete and ordinal, the factorial properties were evaluated with polychoric correlations between item: volunteering and donations ($r_{\text{Polychoric}} = 0.23$, CI low = 0.16 - CI high = 0.30, $p < .001$), volunteering and help ($r_{\text{Polychoric}} = 0.32$, CI low = 0.26 - CI high = 0.39, $p < .001$) and donations and help ($r_{\text{Polychoric}} = 0.18$, CI low = 0.12 - CI high = 0.24, $p < .001$).

Statistical analyses

1 Descriptive statistics were computed for all the variables in the study, and bivariate Pearson's
2 correlations were performed. The Lavaan package (Rosseel, 2012) of the open-source software R (R
3 Development Core Team, 2017) was used to compute the model and estimate parameters. The pattern
4 of associations specified by the proposed model was analyzed via path analysis by using a single
5 observed score for each variable examined in the model. The dimensions of e-motions and e-support
6 were included as exogenous variables (independent variables; IVs), posttraumatic growth and
7 positive mental health (mediators), and prosocial behaviors (dependent variable; DV) as endogenous
8 variables. Relevant socio-demographic variables (age, gender, cohabiting status, and education) were
9 included in the model as covariates of prosocial behaviors. Standardized parameters were estimated
10 by using the robust maximum likelihood methods (MLR; Satorra & Bentler, 1994) suitable for non-
11 normally distributed variables. In the final model, indirect paths from IVs to DV via mediators were
12 tested using Sobel tests for mediation (Baron & Kenny, 1986; Hayes, 2013). To evaluate the overall
13 goodness of fit of the model, the R^2 of each dependent variable and the total variance explained by
14 the model were considered [total coefficient of determination (TCD); Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1996].
15 Due to the large sample size, the level of significance was set at $p < .01$.

16 **Results**

17 Table 1 summarizes the means, standard deviations (SDs), skewness, kurtosis, and bivariate
18 correlations among the study variables. E-motions showed a non-normal distribution, further
19 supporting the use of the MLR estimator for the subsequent path analysis. Overall, the sample
20 reported a mean score of 1.74 in facilitating use of e-motions. This score was close to the second
21 point of the five-point scale, indicating a mild agreement concerning the use of emotions to facilitate
22 thought and relationships with others. Regarding the Online Social Support Scale, the mean score of
23 the sample (2.74) was very close to the third point of the four-point scale, suggesting a strong
24 agreement of perceived online support. The PTGI mean score of the sample (2.87) was close to the
25 third of the six-point scale, indicating mild positive changes after a traumatic experience. Concerning

1 the WEMWBS, sample's mean score (3.35) was between the third and fourth points of the five-point
2 scale. This score suggested a relatively high level of mental wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic.
3 Finally, regarding the assessment of prosocial behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic, the sample
4 showed a mean score of 2.09, indicating a small involvement in prosocial activities, such as
5 volunteering, informal help, and donations. The magnitude of correlation coefficients was relatively
6 modest, ranging from .06 to .29.

7 [INSERT ABOVE HERE TABLE 1]

8 Estimation of the model (Figure 2) showed that the total coefficient of determination (TCD) was 0.13
9 (corresponding to a correlation of $r = 0.36$ [which is a small-to-medium effect size according to
10 Cohen's traditional criteria, 1988]), and the squared multiple correlations indicated a modest
11 proportion of the variance explained for study variables (7% in posttraumatic growth, 9% in positive
12 mental health, and 13% in prosocial behaviors). Individuals who expressed more e-motions were
13 more likely to report greater posttraumatic growth, which in turn was associated with a higher
14 frequency of prosocial behaviors. A distinct pathway was observed for higher levels of e-support.
15 Participants perceiving more e-support had higher positive mental health, which in turn was
16 associated with a higher frequency of prosocial behaviors.

17 Moreover, higher posttraumatic growth was associated with higher positive mental health and a
18 positive association was found between e-motions and e-support. Results of the Sobel tests supported
19 the mediating role of posttraumatic growth in the association between e-motions and prosocial
20 behaviors ($\beta = 0.05$, $SE = 0.009$, $z = 5.16$, $p < 0.001$) and positive mental health in the association
21 between e-support and prosocial behaviors ($\beta = 0.03$, $SE = 0.007$, $z = 4.30$, $p < 0.001$). Finally, e-
22 motions were not significantly related to positive mental health, as well as e-support to posttraumatic
23 growth. Given these results, the mediation effects of positive mental health in e-motions/pro-social
24 behaviors and posttraumatic growth in e-support/prosocial behaviors are not presented.

[INSERT ABOVE HERE FIGURE 2]

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to test an explanatory model for individual and social wellbeing which utilizes the advantages of using digital technologies during the COVID-19 outbreak in the Italian population, one of the most severely affected countries in the world (WHO, 2020). The study was designed to include variables that might be specifically pertinent to the uniqueness of the restrictions imposed by the pandemic scenario and to the current life-threatening pandemic. The results of this preliminary cross-sectional study provide a relevant and original contribution concerning the distinct role of the facilitating use of e-motions and receiving e-support for psychological wellbeing and prosocial behaviors in this new worldwide situation (home confinement and spatial distancing due to COVID-19). In doing so, the present study provides empirical evidence that using technology for online interactions is an effective response to the COVID-19 pandemic for bolstering subjective wellbeing and positive mental health, as theoretically suggested by Bavel et al. (2020). A discussion of the more specific findings is highlighted below.

Regarding the online sharing of emotions, the resulting model suggested that e-motions were positively associated with posttraumatic growth, which in turn was positively associated with better mental health and higher engagement in prosocial behaviors. The results also confirm the important mediating role of posttraumatic growth in the relationship between e-motions and prosocial behaviors. These results are reminiscent of studies concerning the psychological benefits of social media use for individuals experiencing disaster situations (Neubaum et al. 2014; Nilsen et al., 2018) showing that individuals who more actively engaged in social media communication felt emotionally relieved as a part of a like-minded community. Consequently, social media applications might serve as spaces for social sharing of emotions to exchange feelings and critical thoughts about the COVID-19 situation.

1 It is possible that the sharing of emotions can contribute to the reconstruction and reorganization of
2 positive socially shared beliefs about the self and the world, thus fulfilling psychological needs (e.g.,
3 needs for positive meaning, needs for collective and personal self-esteem enhancement) (Epstein,
4 1993) and emphasizing collective positive reactions such as altruistic and pro-social behaviors
5 (Janoff-Bulman, 2004; Rime et al., 2010). Indeed, posttraumatic growth is generally characterized by
6 a stronger appreciation for life, increased personal strength, and more positive relationships with
7 others. As shown by past research, this can translate to positive personal changes (e.g., engaging in
8 health-promoting behaviors) as well as positive interpersonal outcomes, such as a higher willingness
9 to help others and the tendency to behave in a more altruistic way (El-Gabalawy et al., 2020;
10 Shakespeare-Finch & Barrington, 2012).

11 The facilitating use of e-motions may help in coping positively with negative events, therefore turning
12 traumatic experiences into an opportunity to grow from adversity. Use of e-motions might play
13 multiple roles in the process of growth during the COVID-19 pandemic and may contribute to
14 posttraumatic growth by alleviating intrusive rumination (Lepore et al., 2000) and fostering more
15 reflective and deliberate rumination (Treynor et al., 2003) and a greater sense of intimacy and
16 closeness (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996).

17 Previous studies have reported positive associations between openness to experience, emotional
18 expression, and posttraumatic growth (e.g., Jaarsma et al., 2006). It is possible that e-motions lead to
19 posttraumatic growth by fostering positive core beliefs (e.g., openness to the future and identification
20 with humanity; Vasquez et al., 2021; Ellena et al., 2021) and emotional strengths (e.g. bravery, humor
21 and social intelligence, Casali et al., 2020). Beliefs about the facilitating expression of e-motions for
22 overcoming difficulties might also reflect a more general phenomenon of digital emotion regulation.
23 According to Wadley and colleagues (2020), digital emotion regulation refers to the use of digital
24 technologies to strategically influence affective states (including emotions, moods, and stress levels).
25 Therefore, it is possible that at least some digital usage during the COVID-19 pandemic will be

involved in the engagement in positive psychological strategies (e.g., as a means of coping with stressful situations by thinking, of evoking desired emotions and/or of increasing arousal).

Contrary to the hypotheses, e-motions were not associated with positive mental health and analysis did not confirm the mediating role of positive mental health in the relationship between e-motions and prosocial behaviors. These findings are consistent with previous studies showing that emotional disclosure improves posttraumatic growth – but does not produce greater improvement in psychological symptoms (i.e., Slavin-Spenney et al., 2011; Smyth et al., 2008). It is plausible that e-motions might foster changes in beliefs and perspectives (i.e., growth) soon after the onset of the crisis, but simultaneously counter or prevent an individual from feeling better when the stressful situation (the COVID-19 pandemic) is still present (Vazquez et al., 2021).

Regarding receiving online social support, findings indicated that individuals who perceived more online social support during the COVID-19 pandemic were characterized by higher levels of positive mental health, which it turn was positively associated with prosocial behaviors. Receiving online social support via social media and other online platforms provides immediate and long-term relief in terms of sense of belonging and reduction of feelings of loneliness and isolation from others, which result in improved wellbeing and mental health (Herbert & Brunet, 2010; Frison & Eggermont, 2016). The findings are also consistent with previous studies showing that intentions to help others and general prosocial behaviors are more frequent among individuals with higher psychological wellbeing (e.g., Lyubomirsky et al., 2005; Layousa et al., 2017).

It is possible that e-support leads to positive mental health and prosocial behaviors (through positive mental health) by fostering the satisfaction of basic psychological needs, as recently found in a study among the Italian population (Costa et al., 2021). Previous studies have indeed shown that receiving social support helps individuals feel (i) more confident in their skills (need for competence), (ii) more connected with those around them (need for relatedness), and (iii) like more willful agents of their choices (need for autonomy) (Cho et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2016), also during the COVID-19 pandemic

(Zhou & Yao, 2020). As shown in several studies (see Diener et al. [2017] for a review), subjective wellbeing is associated with a variety of positive outcomes, including prosocial behavior. Indeed, positive mental health can make individuals more grateful and more aware of other individuals' needs, consequently fostering the skills and motivation to be more prosocial. Therefore, considering the restrictions implemented by governments because of the COVID-19 pandemic, having the opportunity to benefit from online forms of social support through calls, video meetings, social media, and messages may be particularly important to stem negative consequences, maintain psychological proximity, and promote prosocial behaviors.

Contrary to the hypotheses, e-support was not associated with posttraumatic growth and analysis did not confirm the mediating role of posttraumatic growth in the relationship between e-support and prosocial behaviors. Previous research has found that social support influences trauma victims' cognitions, including appraisals about the cause of the trauma, attributions of self-blame, and perceived dangerousness of the world (Belsher et al., 2011; Robinaugh et al., 2011). However, Woodward et al. (2015) found that support from friends and family was negatively associated with posttraumatic cognitions while no relationship was found between support from a close other and posttraumatic cognitions. The lack of association between social support and posttraumatic growth in the present study might be attributed to the measure of social support used (i.e., a general construct instead of comparing the influence of support from different types of interpersonal relationships, e.g., family members, partners, friends, and colleagues).

The present study also found a positive association between e-motions and e-support possibly suggesting the need for strong emotional cohesion in supportive social networks (Rimé et al., 2010). Indeed, the construct "facilitating use of e-motions" reflects not only the expression of online emotions to overcome personal difficulties but also beliefs about the usefulness of sharing and perceiving others' e-motions in order to improve the relationships with social media contacts (Zych et al., 2017). In this view, expressing one's own e-motions and recognizing the e-motions of other

1 individuals might increase the likelihood of seeing new possibilities, making decisions, and
2 perceiving e-support. The findings here described support the importance of staying virtually
3 connected with others (e.g., by posting emotional content on social media or having someone online
4 who shows love and affection) as a useful coping strategy in complex situations, such as the social
5 isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

6 The study findings also suggest that those who did not have access to offline support may use digital
7 contexts such as social media to search for much-needed support. In support of these findings, Riva
8 et al. (2020) discussed the potential benefits of Positive Technology to generate psychological
9 wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic through three levels (hedonic; eudaimonic; social and
10 interpersonal). It is plausible that e-motions guarantee the hedonic level (e.g., using technology to
11 induce positive and pleasant experiences) while e-support favors the social and interpersonal level
12 (e.g., using technology to support social integration and connectedness). Learning how to take
13 advantage of digital technology should therefore be encouraged for individuals who are less familiar
14 with these innovations (Bavel et al., 2020). Although social support has been identified as the stronger
15 predictor of positive mental health, in contexts where social contact is not possible, as well as in
16 societies characterized by geographic disconnection, it is very important to understand how
17 communication technology can contribute in promoting posttraumatic growth and wellbeing.

18 However, the fact remains that much of the variance in prosocial behaviors remained unexplained
19 and that the estimated parameters of the proposed model are small. It is possible that unconsidered
20 factors associated with prosocial behaviors (e.g., providing social support; Kaniasty, 2020) or
21 posttraumatic growth (e.g., rumination; Rimè et al., 2020; fear of COVID-19; Soraci et al., 2020) may
22 also be relevant in further theoretical models. In addition, most of the literature review for the present
23 study relied on disaster situations, such as terrorist attacks and natural disasters, which differ from
24 the specific situation in which individuals are living in home confinement and engaging in spatial
25 distancing (situations which are unlike the after-effects of natural disasters or terrorist attacks).

1 Additionally, it is important to note that only 11% of the sample reported “living alone” which may
2 have meant that the vast majority of participants in the sample were likely to have been engaging in
3 non-online social interactions on a regular basis.

4 Overall, and despite the limitations of the study (e.g., cross-sectional nature of the design, self-report
5 nature of the data, non-representative sample), the findings provide preliminary support for a digital
6 interaction model in a novel at-risk condition that underlines how psychological and social wellbeing
7 can be maintained despite spatial distancing. As far as the present authors are aware, the present study
8 is the first to support a theoretical model that includes the simultaneous role of e-motions and e-
9 support in helping individuals cope with difficulties raised by home confinement. In addition, the
10 proposed model underlines how positive digital interactions in times of crisis might start a virtuous
11 cycle leading to an increase in prosocial behaviors including, for example, providing social and
12 emotional support (both online and offline). Further studies need to validate the proposed model by
13 using a longitudinal design with a more representative sample which would more clearly show the
14 directionality of the effects. Based on the results, it could be hypothesized that in the initial stage of
15 a life-threatening situation (such as a pandemic) with limited social contacts, interventions aimed at
16 promoting facilitating use of e-motions and e-support might increase posttraumatic growth and
17 positive mental health. This would allow society to be ready to face any challenge in the future. The
18 successful use of digital technology by means of e-motions and e-support and the immediate
19 availability of these resources may also serve to increase the public and governmental acceptance of
20 such technologies for other sectors of healthcare.

22 **References**

1 Abel, T., & McQueen, D. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic calls for spatial distancing and social
2 closeness: Not for social distancing! *International Journal of Public Health*. Advance online
3 publication. doi: 10.1007/s00038-020-01366-7

4 Ahorsu, D.K., Lin, C-Y., Imani, V., Saffari, M., Griffiths, M.D. & Pakpour, A.H. (2020). Fear of
5 COVID-19 Scale: Development and initial validation. *International Journal of Mental Health and*
6 *Addiction*. Advance online publication. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8>

7 APA. (2020). *Keeping Your Distance to Stay Safe*. Retrieved March 30, 2020, from:
8 <https://www.apa.org/practice/programs/dmhi/research-information/social-distancing>

9 Aresi, G., Procentese, F., Gattino, S., Tzankova, I., Gatti, F., Compare, C., ... & Guarino, A. (2020).
10 Prosocial behaviours under collective quarantine conditions. A latent class analysis study during the
11 2020 COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. *PsyArXiv* (preprint). <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/jb5hw>

12 Arpawong, T. E., Rohrbach, L. A., Milam, J. E., Unger, J. B., Land, H., Sun, P., ... & Sussman, S.
13 (2016). Stressful life events and predictors of post-traumatic growth among high-risk early emerging
14 adults. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 11(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.994223>

15 Bareket-Bojmel, L., & Shahar, G. (2011). Emotional and interpersonal consequences of self-
16 disclosure in a lived, online interaction. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 30(7), 732-759.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2011.30.7.732>

18 Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social
19 psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality*
20 *and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173.

21 Bavel, J.J.V., Baicker, K., Boggio, P.S. *et al.* Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-
22 19 pandemic response. *Nature Human Behaviour* (2020). Advance online publication.
23 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0884-z>

24 Belsher, B. E., Ruzek, J. I., Bongar, B., & Cordova, M. J. (2011). Social constraints, posttraumatic
25 cognitions, and posttraumatic stress disorder in treatment-seeking trauma survivors: Evidence for a

1 social-cognitive processing model. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*.
2 Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024362>

3 Berrios, R., Totterdell, P., & Kellett, S. (2018). When feeling mixed can be meaningful: the relation
4 between mixed emotions and eudaimonic well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 19(3), 841-861.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-017-9849-y>

6 Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, trauma, and human resilience: Have we underestimated the human
7 capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 20.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.20>

9 Brand, C., Barry, L., & Gallagher, S. (2014). Social support mediates the association between benefit
10 finding and quality of life in caregivers. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 21(6), 1126–1136.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105314547244>

12 Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G.
13 J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence.
14 *The Lancet*, 395(10227), 912-920. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30460-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8)

15 Brunet, A., Ashbaugh, A. R., & Herbert, C. F. (Eds.). (2010). *Internet use in the aftermath of trauma*
16 (Vol. 72). Ios Press.

17 Caprara, G. V., Steca, P., Zelli, A., & Capanna, C. (2005). A new scale for measuring adults'
18 prosocialness. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 21(2), 77-89.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.21.2.77>

20 Casali, N., Feraco, T., Ghisi, M., & Meneghetti, C. (2020). “Andrà tutto bene”: Associations between
21 character strengths, psychological distress and self-efficacy during Covid-19 lockdown. *Journal of*
22 *Happiness Studies*, Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-020-00321-w>

23 Cellini, N., Canale, N., Mioni, G., & Costa, S. (2020). Changes in sleep pattern, sense of time and
24 digital media use during COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 29(4), e13074.

1 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.13074>

2 Cho, H., Hussain, R. S. B., & Kang, H. K. (2020). The role of social support and its influence on
 3 exercise participation: the perspective of self-determination theory and the theory of planned
 4 behavior. *The Social Science Journal*. Advance online publication.
 5 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03623319.2020.1756176>

6 Codagnone, C., Bogliacino, F., Gómez, C., Charris, R., Montealegre, F., Liva, G., & Veltri, G. A.
 7 (2020). Assessing concerns for the economic consequence of the COVID-19 response and mental
 8 health problems associated with economic vulnerability and negative economic shock in Italy, Spain,
 9 and the United Kingdom. *PloS One*, 15(10), e0240876

10 Cohen, S. (2004). Social relationships and health. *American Psychologist*, 59(8), 676–684.

11 Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological*
 12 *Bulletin*, 98(2), 310-357. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.310>

13 Collier, L. (2016). Growth after trauma: Why are some people more resilient than others - and can it
 14 be taught. *American Psychological Association*, 47(10), 48. [http://www.apa.org/](http://www.apa.org/monitor/2016/11/growth-trauma.aspx)
 15 [monitor/2016/11/growth-trauma.aspx](http://www.apa.org/monitor/2016/11/growth-trauma.aspx)

16 Costa, S., Canale, N., Mioni, G., & Cellini, N. (2021) Maintaining social support while social
 17 distancing: The longitudinal benefit of basic psychological needs for symptoms of anxiety during the
 18 COVID-19 Outbreak. Paper under review.

19 Dickinson, S. (2020). Post-traumatic growth in the twenty-first century: how current trends may
 20 threaten our ability to grow after trauma. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, DOI:
 21 10.1080/17439760.2020.1752782

22 Diener, E., Heintzelman, S. J., Kushlev, K., Tay, L., Wirtz, D., Lutes, L. D., & Oishi, S. (2017).
 23 Findings all psychologists should know from the new science on subjective well-being. *Canadian*
 24 *Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*, 58(2), 87. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cap0000063>

1 Eagle, D. E., Hybels, C. F., & Proeschold-Bell, R. J. (2019). Perceived social support, received social
 2 support, and depression among clergy. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 36(7), 2055–
 3 2073. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407518776134>

4 El-Gabalawy, R., Mackenzie, S. C., Starzyk, K. B., & Sommer, J. L. (2020). Understanding the
 5 relationship between traumatic suffering, posttraumatic growth, and prosocial variables. *Journal of*
 6 *American College Health*. Advance online publication.
 7 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2019.1705841>

8 Ellena, A. M., Aresi, G., Marta, E., & Pozzi, M. (2020). Post-traumatic growth dimensions differently
 9 mediate the relationship between national identity and interpersonal trust among young adults: A
 10 study on COVID-19 crisis in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 576610.
 11 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.576610>

12 Epstein, S. (1993). Emotion and self-theory. In: M. Lewis & J. M. Haviland, (Eds.), *Handbook of*
 13 *emotions* (pp. 313–326). New York: The Guilford Press.

14 Erreygers, S., Vandebosch, H., Vranjes, I., Baillien, E., & De Witte, H. (2017). Nice or naughty? The
 15 role of emotions and digital media use in explaining adolescents' online prosocial and antisocial
 16 behavior. *Media Psychology*, 20(3), 374-400. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2016.1200990>

17 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2020). *Considerations relating to social*
 18 *distancing measures in response to COVID-19 – second update*. Stockholm: ECDC.

19 Forbes, A., & Roger, D. (1999). Stress, social support and fear of disclosure. *British Journal of Health*
 20 *Psychology*, 4(2), 165-179. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135910799168551>

21 Frazier, P., Greer, C., Gabrielsen, S., Tennen, H., Park, C., & Tomich, P. (2013). The relation between
 22 trauma exposure and prosocial behavior. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and*
 23 *Policy*, 5(3), 286-294. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027255>

1 Fredrickson, B. L. (2013). Updated thinking on positivity ratios. *American Psychologist*, 68(9), 814–
2 822. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033584>

3 Frison, E., & Eggermont, S. (2016). Exploring the relationships between different types of Facebook
4 use, perceived online social support, and adolescents' depressed mood. *Social Science Computer*
5 *Review*, 34(2), 153-171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314567449>

6 Gabbiadini, A., Baldissarri, C., Durante, F., Valtorta, R. R., De Rosa, M., & Gallucci, M. (2020).
7 Together apart: The mitigating role of digital communication technologies on negative affect during
8 the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 2763.
9 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.554678>

10 Galea, S., Merchant, R. M., & Lurie, N. (2020). The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and
11 physical distancing. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 28(8), 666–675.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.1562>

13 Garcia, D., & Rimé, B. (2019). Collective emotions and social resilience in the digital traces after a
14 terrorist attack. *Psychological Science*, 30(4), 617-628. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619831964>

15 Gilmour, J., Machin, T., Brownlow, C., & Jeffries, C. (2020). Facebook-based social support and
16 health: A systematic review. *Psychology of Popular Media*, 9(3), 328-346.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000246>

18 Gremigni, P., & Stewart-Brown, S. (2011). Una misura del benessere mentale: Validazione italiana
19 della Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (WEMWBS). *Giornale Italiano di Psicologia*,
20 38(2), 485-508.

21 Grey, I., Arora, T., Thomas, J., Saneh, A., Tohme, P., & Abi-Habib, R. (2020). The role of perceived
22 social support on depression and sleep during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research*, 293,
23 113452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113452>

1 Guitton, M.J. (2020). Cyberpsychology research and COVID-19. *Computers in Human Behavior*,
2 106357. Advanced online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106357>

3 Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Methodology in the social sciences: Introduction to mediation, moderation, and*
4 *conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. New York: Guilford Press.

5 Herbert, C. F., & Brunet, A. (2010). Social networking sites in the aftermath of trauma. In A. Brunet,
6 A. R. Ashbaugh, & C. F. Herbert (Eds.), *Internet use in the aftermath of trauma* (pp. 85–98).
7 Amsterdam, Netherlands: IOS Press.

8 Jaarsma, T. A., Pool, G., Sanderman, R., & Ranchor, A. V. (2006). Psychometric properties of the
9 Dutch version of the posttraumatic growth inventory among cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*:
10 *Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer*, 15(10), 911-920.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1026>

12 Janoff-Bulman, R. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Three explanatory models. *Psychological Inquiry*,
13 15, 30–34. doi:10.1080/08870440903287926

14 Jia, X., Ying, L., Zhou, X., Wu, X., & Lin, C. (2015). The effects of extraversion, social support on
15 the posttraumatic stress disorder and posttraumatic growth of adolescent survivors of the Wenchuan
16 earthquake. *PloS One*, 10(3), e0121480. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121480>

17 Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1996). *LISREL 8: User's reference guide*. Scientific Software
18 International.

19 Kaniasty, K. (2020). Social support, interpersonal, and community dynamics following disasters
20 caused by natural hazards. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 32, 105-109.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.026>

22 Karatzias, T., Shevlin, M., Murphy, J., McBride, O., Ben-Ezra, M., Bentall, R. P., & Hyland, P.
23 (2020). Posttraumatic stress symptoms and associated comorbidity during the COVID-19 pandemic

1 in Ireland: A population-based study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 33(4), 365–
2 370. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22565>

3 Layousa, K., Nelson, S. K., Kurtzc, J.L., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2017). What triggers prosocial effort?
4 A positive feedback loop between positive activities, kindness, and well-being. *Journal of Positive*
5 *Psychology*, 12(4), 385–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2016.1198924>

6 Lee, K., Noh, M., & Koo, D. (2013). Lonely people are no longer lonely on social networking sites:
7 The mediating role of self-disclosure and social support. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social*
8 *Networking*, 16 (6): 413–418. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2012.0553>

9 Leigh-Hunt, N., Bagguley, D., Bash, K., Turner, V., Turnbull, S., Valtorta, N., & Caan, W. (2017).
10 An overview of systematic reviews on the public health consequences of social isolation and
11 loneliness. *Public Health*, 152, 157–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.07.035>

12 Lepore, S. J., Ragan, J. D., & Jones, S. (2000). Talking facilitates cognitive–emotional processes of
13 adaptation to an acute stressor. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(3), 499–508.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.78.3.499>

15 Leung, L., & Lee, P. S. (2005). Multiple determinants of life quality: The roles of Internet activities,
16 use of new media, social support, and leisure activities. *Telematics and Informatics*, 22(3), 161-180.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2004.04.003>

18 Li, S., Wang, Y., Xue, J., Zhao, N., & Zhu, T. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration
19 on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. *International journal of*
20 *Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(6), 2032. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17062032>

21 Li, F., Luo, S., Mu, W., Li, Y., Ye, L., Zheng, X., ... & Chen, X. (2021). Effects of sources of social
22 support and resilience on the mental health of different age groups during the COVID-19
23 pandemic. *BMC Psychiatry*, 21(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-03012-1>

- 1 Liu, D., & Brown, B. B. (2014). Self-disclosure on social networking sites, positive feedback, and
2 social capital among Chinese college students. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 38: 213–219.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.06.003>
- 4 Lowe S. R., Manove E. E., Rhodes J. E. (2013). Posttraumatic stress and posttraumatic growth among
5 low-income mothers who survived Hurricane Katrina. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical*
6 *Psychology*, 81(5), 877–889. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033252>
- 7 Lyubomirsky, S., King, L., & Diener, E. (2005). The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does
8 happiness lead to success? *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(6), 803–855. [https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.803)
9 [2909.131.6.803](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.803)
- 10 Marino, C., Gini, G., Angelini, F., Vieno, A., & Spada, M. M. (2020). Social norms and e-motions in
11 problematic social media use among adolescents. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 11, 100250.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2020.100250>
- 13 Manove E. E., Lowe S. R., Bonumwezi J., Preston J., Waters M. C., Rhodes J. E.
14 (2019). Posttraumatic growth in low-income Black mothers who survived Hurricane
15 Katrina. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 89(2), 144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ort0000398>
- 16 Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. J. Sluyter
17 (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3-34). New
18 York: Harper Collins.
- 19 Mazzoni, E., Baiocco, L., Cannata, D., & Dimas, I. (2016). Is internet the cherry on top or a crutch?
20 Offline social support as moderator of the outcomes of online social support on problematic internet
21 use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 56, 369-374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.032>
- 22 Nenova, M., DuHamel, K., Zemon, V., Rini, C. M., & Redd, W. H. (2011). Posttraumatic growth,
23 social support, and social constraint in hematopoietic stem cell transplant survivors. *Psycho-*
24 *Oncology*, 22(1), 195–202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.2073>

1 Nesse, R. M., & Ellsworth, P. C. (2009). Evolution, emotions, and emotional disorders. *American*
2 *Psychologist*, 64(2), 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013503>

3 Neubaum, G., Rösner, L., Rosenthal-von der Pütten, A. M., & Krämer, N. C. (2014). Psychosocial
4 functions of social media usage in a disaster situation: A multi-methodological approach. *Computers*
5 *in Human Behavior*, 34, 28-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.01.021>

6 Nilsen, L. G., Hafstad, G. S., Staksrud, E., & Dyb, G. (2018). Five reasons for using social media
7 among young terror survivors: Results from the Utøya study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 84,
8 285-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.03.006>

9 Ozer, E. J., Best, S. R., Lipsey, T. L., & Weiss, D. S. (2003). Predictors of posttraumatic stress
10 disorder and symptoms in adults: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(1), 52.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.1.52>

12 Pentina, I., & Zhang, L. (2017). Effects of social support and personality on emotional disclosure on
13 Facebook and in real life. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 36(5), 484-492.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2016.1258086>

15 Perez, S. (2020). *Report: WhatsApp has seen a 40% increase in usage due to COVID 19*
16 *pandemic*. retrieved February 20, 2021, from: [https://techcrunch.com/2020/03/26/report-whatsapp-](https://techcrunch.com/2020/03/26/report-whatsapp-has-seen-a-40-increase-in-usage-due-to-covid-19-pandemic/)
17 [has-seen-a-40-increase-in-usage-due-to-covid-19-pandemic/](https://techcrunch.com/2020/03/26/report-whatsapp-has-seen-a-40-increase-in-usage-due-to-covid-19-pandemic/)

18 Prati, G., & Pietrantonio, L. (2014). Italian adaptation and confirmatory factor analysis of the full and
19 the short form of the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory. *Journal of Loss and Trauma*, 19(1), 12-22.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2012.734203>

21 R Development Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
22 Retrieved February 21, 2020, from: <http://www.R-project.org/>.

23 Rimé, B., Páez, D., Basabe, N., & Martínez, F. (2010). Social sharing of emotion, post-traumatic
24 growth, and emotional climate: Follow-up of Spanish citizen's response to the collective trauma of

- 1 March 11th terrorist attacks in Madrid. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 1029-1045.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.700>
- 3 Riva, G., Mantovani, F., & Wiederhold, B. K. (2020). Positive technology and COVID-
4 19. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 23(9), 581-587.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2020.29194.gri>
- 6 Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. H. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: A eudaimonic approach
7 to psychological well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9(1), 13-39.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9019-0>
- 9 Robinaugh, D. J., Marques, L., Traeger, L. N., Marks, E. H., Sung, S. C., Beck, J. G., ... & Simon, N.
10 M. (2011). Understanding the relationship of perceived social support to post-trauma cognitions and
11 posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 25(8), 1072-1078.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2011.07.004>
- 13 Rosseel, Y. (2012). Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–
14 12 (BETA). *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1-36.
- 15 Salari, N., Hosseini-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., ... &
16 Khaledi-Paveh, B. (2020). Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population
17 during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Globalization and*
18 *Health*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w>
- 19 Sakib, N., Mamun, M.A., Bhuiyan, I., Hossain, S. ... Griffiths, M.D. & Pakpour, A.H. (2020).
20 Psychometric Validation of the Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Confirmatory factor analysis and
21 Rasch analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, Advance online publication.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00289-x>

- 1 Satorra, A., & Bentler, P. M. (1994). Corrections to test statistics and standard errors in covariance
2 structure analysis. In Von Eye, A., & Clogg, C. C. (Eds.), *Latent variable analysis. Applications for*
3 *developmental research* (pp. 399–419). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 4 Shakespeare-Finch, J., and Barrington, A. J. (2012). Behavioural changes add validity to the construct
5 of posttraumatic growth. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 25(4), 433–439.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21730>
- 7 Siedlecki, K. L., Salthouse, T. A., Oishi, S., & Jeswani, S. (2014). The relationship between social
8 support and subjective well-being across age. *Social Indicators Research*, 117(2), 561–576.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0361-4>
- 10 Slavin-Spenny, O. M., Cohen, J. L., Oberleitner, L. M., & Lumley, M. A. (2011). The effects of
11 different methods of emotional disclosure: Differentiating post-traumatic growth from stress
12 symptoms. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(10), 993-1007. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20750>
- 13 Smyth, J.M., Hockemeyer, J.R., & Tulloch, H. (2008). Expressive writing and post-traumatic stress
14 disorder: Effects on trauma symptoms, mood states, and cortisol reactivity. *British Journal of Health*
15 *Psychology*, 13, 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135910707X250866>
- 16 Soraci, P., Ferrari, A., Abbiati, F.A., Del Fante, E., De Pace, R., Urso A. & Griffiths, M.D. (2020).
17 Validation and psychometric evaluation of the Italian version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale.
18 *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Advance online publication.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00277-1>
- 20 Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G., (1996). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory: Measuring the
21 positive legacy of trauma. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9(3), 455-471
- 22 Tedeschi, R. G. & Calhoun, L. G., (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and
23 empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01

1 Tian, L., Tian, Q., & Huebner, E. S. (2016). School-related social support and adolescents' school
2 related subjective well-being: The mediating role of basic psychological needs satisfaction at school.
3 *Social Indicators Research*, 128(1), 105-129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-1021-7>

4 Treynor, W., Gonzalez, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S., (2003). Rumination reconsidered: A
5 psychometric analysis. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 27(3), 247-259.

6 Vazquez, C., Valiente, C., García, F. E., Contreras, A., Peinado, V., Trucharte, A., & Bentall, R. P.
7 Post-traumatic growth and stress-related responses during the COVID-19 pandemic in a national
8 representative sample: The role of positive core beliefs about the world and others. *Journal of*
9 *Happiness Studies*, Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-020-00352-3>

10 Wadley, G., Smith, W., Koval, P., & Gross, J. J. (2020). Digital emotion regulation. *Current*
11 *Directions in Psychological Science*, 29(4), 412-418. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420920592>

12 Wang, E. S. T., & Wang, M. C. H. (2013). Social support and social interaction ties on internet
13 addiction: Integrating online and offline contexts. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social*
14 *Networking*, 16(11), 843-849. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2012.0557>

15 Wlodarczyk A., Basabe N., Páez D., Amutio A., García F. E., Reyes C., et al. (2016). Positive effects
16 of communal coping in the aftermath of a collective trauma: The case of the 2010 Chilean
17 earthquake. *European Journal of Education and Psychology*, 9, 9–19.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejeps.2015.08.001>

19 Woodward, M. J., Eddinger, J., Henschel, A. V., Dodson, T. S., Tran, H. N., & Beck, J. G. (2015).
20 Social support, posttraumatic cognitions, and PTSD: The influence of family, friends, and a close
21 other in an interpersonal and non-interpersonal trauma group. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 35, 60-
22 67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2015.09.002>

23 World Health Organization (2020a). *Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation*
24 *Report*. Retrieved February 21, 2021, from: [https://www.who.int/docs/default-](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200330-sitrep-70-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=7e0fe3f8_2)
25 [source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200330-sitrep-70-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=7e0fe3f8_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200330-sitrep-70-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=7e0fe3f8_2)

1 Yu, Y., Peng, L., Chen, L., Long, L., He, W., Li, M., & Wang, T. (2014). Resilience and social
2 support promote posttraumatic growth of women with infertility: The mediating role of positive
3 coping. *Psychiatry Research*, 215(2), 401-405.

4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2013.10.032>

5 Zych, I., Ortega-Ruiz, R., & Marín-López, I. (2017). Emotional content in cyberspace: Development
6 and validation of E-motions Questionnaire in adolescents and young people. *Psicothema*, 29(4), 563–
7 569. <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2016.340>.

8 Zhang, Y., & Ma, Z. F. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and quality of
9 life among local residents in Liaoning Province, China: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal*
10 *of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(7), 2381. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072381>

11 Zhou, X., & Yao, B. (2020). Social support and acute stress symptoms (ASSs) during the COVID-19
12 outbreak: Deciphering the roles of psychological needs and sense of control. *European Journal of*
13 *Psychotraumatology*, 11(1), 1779494. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1779494>

1 **Table 1.** Mean (M), standard deviations (SDs), skewness, kurtosis and correlation between variable

	Mean	SD	Range	skewness	kurtosis	1	2	3	4	5
1.E-motions	1.74	0.02	1-5	1.33	1.91	-				
2.E-support	2.74	(0.02)	1-4	-0.08	-0.83	0.13** *	-			
3.Posttraumatic growth	2.87	0.03	1-6	0.17	-0.88	0.25** *	0.10** *	-		
4.Positive Mental Health	3.35	0.91	1-5	-0.37	0.47	0.06	0.18** *	0.28** *	-	
5.Prosocial Behaviors	2.09	0.02	1-5	0.37	-0.43	0.13** *	0.08**	0.29** *	0.27** *	-

2 *N*= 1412; ***p*<.01; *** *p*<.001

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Figure 1. The proposed digital interaction model

1 **Figure 2.** Standardized parameters for the model.

2

3

4 *Note: N= 1412; Standardized path coefficients (** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$); Gender: 1= M; 2= F;*

5 *Cohabiting Status: 0= alone; 1= not alone; Education: 0= low; 1= high.*

6